JUDICIAL AND LEGAL REVIEW

Judiciary

Office of the Public Defender

Office of the Attorney General

Office of the State Prosecutor

Maryland Tax Court

Public Service Commission

Office of People's Counsel

Subsequent Injury Fund

Uninsured Employers' Fund

Workers' Compensation Commission

OBJECTIVES

The Judicial Department of Maryland was established as one of the three co-equal branches of State government by Article IV of the State Constitution. The function of this branch of government is the administration of justice through operation of a system of courts. In effect, this involves the determination of guilt or innocence in criminal matters, the imposition of an appropriate punishment where guilt is found, the resolution of disputes between citizens in civil matters, and the award of appropriate compensation or other remedy where liability is found. Statutory provisions dealing with the judicial department are principally found in the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article and the State Personnel and Pensions Article, Title 27 of the Annotated Code.

SUMMARY OF JUDICIARY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Total Number of Authorized Positions	3009.75	3,223.75	3,241.75
Total Number of Contractual Positions	371.00	390.00	372.00
Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits Technical and Special Fees Operating Expenses	171,120,485 8,581,026 93,093,774	186,485,803 11,466,780 87,990,954	195,714,438 10,932,578 99,614,747
Total General Fund Appropriation	260,818,936 2,690,878	270,397,009	
Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Federal Fund Expenditure	258,128,058 12,848,943 1,818,284	270,397,009 13,681,500 1,865,028	291,110,944 13,219,349 1,931,470
Total Expenditure	272,795,285	285,943,537	306,261,763

C00A00.01 COURT OF APPEALS

Program Description:

The Court of Appeals is the State's highest court and generally exercises only appellate jurisdiction. The Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals is the administrative head of the judicial system of the State. The Court's appellate jurisdiction is almost fully discretionary with virtually all initial appeals heard by the Court of Special Appeals. In addition to its appellate adjudicatory functions, the Court of Appeals admits to the bar all persons eligible to practice law in the State; disciplines, suspends and disbars lawyers subject to such action; and makes rules and regulations to govern practice, procedure, and judicial administation in all courts of the State.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	58.00	67.00	67.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00		2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	3,825,812	4,650,002	4,929,446
02 Technical and Special Fees	52,060	10,000	93,880
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	41,385 83,293 58,436 266,800 50,165 104,269 491,738 170,000 22,976 183,916	94,284 109,715 17,520 512,529 94,494 50,000 73,240 250,000 235,031	103,116 109,715 33,011 517,642 92,190 196,565 136,430 250,000 242,709
Total Operating Expenses	1,472,978	1,436,813	1,681,378
Total Expenditure	5,350,850	6,096,815	6,704,704
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation	7,427,297 -2,054,016 5,373,281	5,605,049 491,766 6,096,815	
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure	22,431 5,350,850	6,096,815	6,704,704

C00A00.02 COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

Program Description

The Court of Special Appeals began operations pursuant to constitutional authorization and statutory implementation. It exercises initial appellate jurisdiction in virtually all proceedings, but has no original jurisdiction.

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	79.50	80.50	80.50
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	3.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	5,473,686	6,151,202	6,285,180
02 Technical and Special Fees	36,855	94,313	23,405
03 Communication	45,117 17,602	61,675 20,477	65,915 20,477
07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	46 378,846 33,862 71,214 73,409 46,808 58,207	448,046 46,471 71,000 78,655	466,775 48,330 51,000 1,500 113,500
Total Operating Expenses	725,111	726,324	767,497
Total Expenditure	6,235,652	6,971,839	7,076,082
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	6,704,886 -451,388	6,971,839	
Total General Fund Appropriation	6,253,498 17,846	6,971,839	
Net General Fund Expenditure	6,235,652	6,971,839	7,076,082

C00A00.03 CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES

Program Description:

The Circuit Courts for the 23 counties and Baltimore City are provided for in Article IV of the Constitution and various provisions of the Courts Article of the Code. These courts are Maryland's trial courts of general jurisdiction. They have original jurisdiction in the more serious criminal matters and the more substantial civil cases. In all counties but Montgomery, they exercise juvenile jurisdiction. These courts handle appeals from the District Court and from certain administrative agencies.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	146.00	349.00	349.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	25,062,214	31,763,903	35,952,661
02 Technical and Special Fees	794	10,000	10,000
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges	27 86,415 1,369,517 253 9,285,558 139,668	67,480 843,900 14,445 1,500 7,548,974 255,000	67,480 950,125 14,900 2,500 1,500 8,265,638 255,000
Total Operating Expenses	10,881,438	8,731,299	9,557,143
Total Expenditure	35,944,446	40,505,202	45,519,804
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	38,021,890 -1,548,584	40,505,202	
Total General Fund Appropriation	36,473,306 528,860	40,505,202	
Net General Fund Expenditure	35,944,446	40,505,202	45,519,804

C00A00.04 DISTRICT COURT

Program Description:

Article IV, Section 1, of the Maryland Constitution, created the District Court of Maryland as a Court of Record, with a Seal to be used in the authentication of process being issued by the Court. Section 1-601, of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, established the District Court of Maryland as a court of limited jurisdiction. Sections 41B-411, of Article IV, of the Maryland Constitution provide for the appointment of a Chief Judge, as well as judicial and non-judicial personnel, necessary to the functioning of the District Court of Maryland. CJ 1-602, divides the State into twelve districts and lists the composition of each district. The District Court of Maryland is centrally administered by a Chief Judge. Assisting the Chief Judge with the daily operation of the Court is a Chief Clerk, four Assistant Chief Clerks, a Chief Internal Auditor and a Coordinator of Commissioner Activity. The Chief Judge also receives assistance from the Administrative Judge, the Administrative Clerk, and the Administrative Commissioner in each district.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	1,281.00	1,268.00	1,281.00
Number of Contractual Positions	271.00	303.00	290.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	69,765,981	73,656,205	75,461,685
02 Technical and Special Fees	6,796,938	8,333,471	8,033,118
03 Communication 04 Travel	4,399,183 421,856 192,377 132,058 3,880,519 2,563,797 2,200,062 139,274 9,014,001 2,879,954	4,235,000 432,827 214,700 71,670 4,075,781 2,509,096 767,027 495,058 9,639,662 2,061,187	4,421,429 432,827 214,700 56,865 4,189,296 2,626,000 1,442,590 302,440 10,610,752 1,677,040
Total Operating Expenses	25,823,081	24,502,008	25,973,939
Total Expenditure	102,386,000	106,491,684	109,468,742
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	103,150,440	107,191,676 -699,992	
Total General Fund Appropriation	103,150,440 764,440	106,491,684	
Net General Fund Expenditure	102,386,000	106,491,684	109,468,742

C00A00.05 MARYLAND JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

Program Description:

Established by Maryland Rule 16-802, the Conference consists of the judges of the Court of Appeals, the Court of Special Appeals, the Circuit Courts of the Counties and of Baltimore City, and the District Court. It meets annually to engage in programs of continuing judicial education and to discuss generally "the improvement of the administration of justice and the judicial system in Maryland".

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
149,196 984 313	121,717 4,000 5,249	121,717 3,880 5,270
150,493	130,966	130,867
150,493	130,966	130,867
154,530 -1,719	130,966	
152,811 2,318	130,966	
150,493	130,966	130,867
	149,196 984 313 150,493 150,493 154,530 -1,719 152,811 2,318	Actual Appropriation 149,196 121,717 984 4,000 313 5,249 150,493 130,966 154,530 130,966 -1,719 152,811 2,318 130,966

C00A00.06 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Program Description:

Section 13-101 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article of the Annotated Code provides for the establishment of an Administrative Office of the Courts its personnel, duties and functions. The office is headed by a State Court Administrator appointed by and serving at the pleasure of the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals. The Administrative Office provides staff support to the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and assists the Chief Judge in carrying out the duties of administrative head of the judicial system. The Office attempts to aid in improving the business methods of the courts of the State and enhance their efficiency in performing their judicial functions. The Administrative Office is establishing improved methods of gathering and reporting information through automated data processing; expanding programs of education and training for judicial and non-judicial personnel; and formalizing a planning process for the judicial system by identifying potential problem areas, developing feasible solutions for the problems, devising strategies for implementation of those solutions, and then implementing them.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	76.50	91.50	91.50
Number of Contractual Positions	8.00	17.00	16.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	4,171,480	5,294,991	5,798,626
02 Technical and Special Fees	94,314	819,216	674,129
03 Communication 04 Travel 06 Fuel and Utilities 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures Total Operating Expenses Total Expenditure	346,410 142,455 20,592 8,865 2,919,278 154,259 70,705 1,015,896 6,683,328 621,759 105,662 12,089,209	359,303 228,770 40,010 16,342 5,955,539 168,072 51,300 72,850 7,150,000 344,881 50,000 14,437,067	419,428 228,770 40,010 17,635 7,230,840 175,944 24,500 78,642 7,612,505 354,908 10,000 16,193,182 22,665,937
Original General Fund Appropriation	9,070,531 -2,399,409 6,671,122 40,565 6,630,557 9,724,446 16,355,003	8,836,496 566,284 9,402,780 9,402,780 11,148,494 20,551,274	12,179,594 10,486,343 22,665,937
Special Fund Income: C00301 Land Improvement Surcharge	3,191,118 6,533,328 9,724,446	4,148,494 7,000,000 11,148,494	4,486,343 6,000,000 10,486,343

C00A00.07 COURT RELATED AGENCIES

Program Description:

The Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure and staff are appointed by the Court of Appeals pursuant to Maryland Annotated Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, Sections 13-301 through 13-303 and Maryland Rule 16-801 in order to aid in the exercise of the rulemaking power of the Court under Article IV, Section 18(a) of the Maryland Constitution in regard to the promulgation of rules of practice, procedure and administration of the courts of the State. The State Reporter is appointed by the judges of the Court of Appeals under Maryland Annotated Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, Section 13-201. In accordance with the provisions of Section 13-203 of that Article, it is a duty of the State Reporter to prepare for publication the official reports known as Maryland Reports and Maryland Appellate Reports, of cases decided in the Court of Appeals of Maryland or in the Court of Special Appeals and designated by the respective court to be reported. The program provides for the purchase of copies of each volume of the Maryland Reports and copies of each volume of the Maryland Appellate Reports as specified in the contract. These reports are distributed in accordance with Maryland Annotated Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, Section 13-204(c). The Commission on Judicial Disabilities was created by Article IV, Sections 4A and 4B of the Maryland Constitution, with the assistance of staff appointed under Maryland Rule 16-804, to receive complaints, investigate, hold formal hearings if necessary, and file a report and recommendation with the Court of Appeals of Maryland with respect to the censure, retirement or removal of judges. The State Board of Law Examiners operates pursuant to Maryland Annotated Code, Business Occupations and Professions Article, Sections 10-201 through 10-203, and the Rules Governing Admission to the Bar of Maryland. The Board registers law students, checks their prelegal and legal education, has character investigations made, and administers examinations twice a year to those qualified to take them. It has authority to recommend the admission to the bar of qualified attorneys from other jurisdictions upon proof of good moral character.

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	17.75	17.75	17.75
Number of Contractual Positions	2.00	3.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,132,019	1,103,343	1,165,600
02 Technical and Special Fees	398,150	481,810	514,823
03 Communication 04 Travel	45,152 52,949 11,209 398,733 18,516 2,227 3,408 1,502,251 117,283 6,138	79,468 66,092 2,190 669,550 37,172 7,500 7,400 1,685,000 78,256	84,669 66,092 2,865 695,950 38,200 23,855 9,312 1,779,000 114,450
Total Operating Expenses	2,157,866	2,632,628	2,814,393
Total Expenditure	3,688,035	4,217,781	4,494,816
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	1,883,213 1,844,160	4,217,781	
Total General Fund Appropriation	3,727,373 39,338	4,217,781	
Net General Fund Expenditure	3,688,035	4,217,781	4,494,816

C00A00.08 STATE LAW LIBRARY

Program Description:

The Maryland State Law Library operates under the provisions of Section 13-501 through 13-504 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article. The library consists of three major areas of resource materials; law, State and Federal government documents and a comprehensive collection of Maryland history and genealogy. The State Law Library's primary objective is to serve the legal information needs of the Judiciary and various segments of State government.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	11.00	11.00	11.00
Number of Contractual Positions	3.00	4.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	617,484	642,377	650,165
02 Technical and Special Fees	22,676	75,889	70,240
03 Communication 04 Travel	10,017 4,292 176,539 8,629 32,877 660,551 36,023 928,928	16,415 4,519 259,372 19,453 10,180 686,967	17,920 4,519 259,500 20,600 1,500 744,180 1,400 1,049,619
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation	1,580,233 772 1,581,005	1,703,672	
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure	14,787 1,566,218 2,870	1,703,672 11,500	1,758,524 11,500
Total Expenditure	1,569,088	1,715,172	1,770,024
Special Fund Income: C00302 Xerox Copy Fee	2,870	11,500	11,500

C00A00.09 JUDICIAL DATA PROCESSING

Program Description:

This program is a consolidation of the State supported judicial data processing activities. Service is provided to the District Court case processing system in the areas of traffic adjudication, criminal and civil judgements, juvenile, warrants and office automation projects. Support is given to the Eighth Circuit Court in the court case processing systems of criminal, civil, juvenile and jury selection. Other Circuit Court support includes both statistical reporting and office automation. The Appellate Courts are provided with case management support in addition to statistical reporting and office automation.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	125.50	111.50	114.50
Number of Contractual Positions	14.00	3.00	
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	7,648,476	7,466,064	7,735,410
02 Technical and Special Fees	235,788	94,012	
03 Communication 04 Travel	276,174 41,875 131,383 20,360 7,880,197 369,577 719,679 366,596 669,762 171,733	1,527,571 39,290 201,300 72,664 4,957,600 100,000 306,400 366,224 732,700 110,000	1,479,328 39,290 201,300 40,200 6,748,545 375,000 1,349,300 777,356 735,850 110,000
Total Operating Expenses	10,647,336	8,413,749	11,856,169
Total Expenditure	18,531,600	15,973,825	19,591,579
Original General Fund Appropriation	19,448,511 -782,445 18,666,066	16,892,097 -918,272 15,973,825	
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure	134,466	15,973,825	19,591,579

C00A00.10 CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

Program Description:

Article IV, Section 25 of the Maryland Constitution creates a Clerk of the Circuit Court for each County and Baltimore City. The clerk is elected by a plurality of the qualified voters in the respective County or City, and has charge and custody of records and other papers as required by law. The twenty-four offices' duties include issuing writs, recording of land instruments and other documents, issuing various licenses and administering oaths of office, and handling matters related to operation of courts as directed by law. Some Officials also handle jury selection.

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	1201.50	1,214.50	1,216.50
Number of Contractual Positions	71.00	55.00	55.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	52,614,577	54,696,630	56,703,577
02 Technical and Special Fees	939,235	1,466,697	1,426,959
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures Total Operating Expenses Total Expenditure	2,031,750 72,061 3,712,685 1,405,225 3,033,990 1,109,389 52,282 356,792 11,774,174 65,327,986	1,731,883 152,285 4,067,751 1,771,986 936,908 648,394 66,244 301,575 9,677,026 65,840,353	2,033,109 150,336 4,533,601 1,931,815 1,354,421 507,036 5,000 1,373,066 721,608 12,609,992 70,740,528
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure Federal Fund Expenditure Total Expenditure	65,113,977 -1,299,450 63,814,527 304,825 63,509,702 1,818,284 65,327,986	63,446,611 528,714 63,975,325 63,975,325 1,865,028 65,840,353	68,809,058 1,931,470 70,740,528
Federal Fund Income: 93.563 Child Support Enforcement	1,818,284	1,865,028	1,931,470

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-ALLEGANY COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

Appropriation buttonions	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	16.00	16.00	16.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	689,483	725,555	799,787
02 Technical and Special Fees	739		
03 Communication	21,255	23,271	11,760
04 Travel	738	2,839	2,499
08 Contractual Services	21,659	14,337	23,543
09 Supplies and Materials	26,394	30,423	36,484
10 Equipment—Replacement	475	22,190	16,800
11 Equipment—Additional	1,698	10,925	7,200
13 Fixed Charges	124	1,872	13,300
14 Land and Structures			2,080
Total Operating Expenses	72,343	105,857	113,666
Total Expenditure	762,565	831,412	913,453

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	101.00	101.00	101.00
Number of Contractual Positions	10.00	4,00	4.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	4,303,271	4,469,170	4,600,335
02 Technical and Special Fees	59,911	112,097	110,066
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	140,400 220 89,104 107,133 97,988 4,651 903	161,821 3,780 188,990 119,447 60,057	28,932 3,780 224,041 125,205 70,537 22,245 76,250
Total Operating Expenses	440,399	536,095	550,990
Total Expenditure	4,803,581	5,117,362	5,261,391

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-BALTIMORE COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	122.00	122.00	122.00
Number of Contractual Positions	3.00		
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	4,951,401	5,122,417	5,297,127
02 Technical and Special Fees	-423		
03 Communication	149,853	179,009	45,840
04 Travel	904	3,283	3,283
08 Contractual Services	98,634	219,421	383,624
09 Supplies and Materials	102,936	179,077	157,544
10 Equipment—Replacement	67,396	70,100	67,300
11 Equipment—Additional	41,610	11,610	8,400
13 Fixed Charges	5,588	5,000	87,670
Total Operating Expenses	466,921	667,500	753,661
Total Expenditure	5,417,899	5,789,917	6,050,788
		Wassessess Company of the Company of	

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-CALVERT COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	16.00	16.00	16.00
Number of Contractual Positions			1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	677,569	712,930	753,410
02 Technical and Special Fees	-1,797		27,516
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	14,231 611 35,999 27,610 83,186 1,088	20,578 1,950 44,453 20,328 36,000 45,000 1,515	10,866 1,950 64,958 26,120 31,000 14,100 9,369
Total Operating Expenses	162,725	169,824	158,363
Total Expenditure	838,497	882,754	939,289

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-CAROLINE COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

•	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	8.00	8.00	8.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	368,123	393,259	416,322
02 Technical and Special Fees	26,239	28,462	27,516
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	12,984 556 35,659 11,112 2,103	9,759 378 30,000 10,897 1,500 5,000 2,000	5,247 378 32,600 12,305 3,420 10,020 13,400
Total Operating Expenses	62,520	59,534	77,370
Total Expenditure	456,882	481,255	521,208

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-CARROLL COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	26.00	26.00	26.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00		1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,127,784	1,176,793	1,218,739
02 Technical and Special Fees	10,675		27,516
03 Communication. 04 Travel. 08 Contractual Services. 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges.	46,269 146 19,605 29,500 37,668 2,403 2,456	42,944 2,026 131,200 31,799 15,000 1,500 2,186	13,527 2,026 45,655 38,062 23,984 2,000 21,270
Total Operating Expenses	138,047	226,655	146,524
Total Expenditure	1,276,506	1,403,448	1,392,779

CLLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-CECIL COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

The state of the s	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	24.00	24.00	24.00
Number of Contractual Positions	5.00	3.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,099,623	1,087,568	1,113,807
02 Technical and Special Fees	47,013	84,189	82,549
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures.	21,650 378 24,383 25,999 31,617 1,278 58 2,817	23,331 2,268 48,000 31,301 21,700 1,500 450	10,943 2,268 61,600 40,100 3,520 2,000 32,230
Total Operating Expenses	108,180	128,550	152,661
Total Expenditure	1,254,816	1,300,307	1,349,017

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-CHARLES COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	31.00	31.00	33.00
Number of Contractual Positions	5.00	4.00	2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,421,173	1,452,158	1,557,968
02 Technical and Special Fees	74,624	112,097	44,026
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	21,968 1,325 54,518 53,164 20,889 8,543 2,420	24,170 3,780 96,635 51,698 26,900 4,800 2,400	3,066 3,780 130,626 54,017 30,161 1,500 29,304
Total Operating Expenses	162,827	210,383	252,454
Total Expenditure	1,658,624	1,774,638	1,854,448

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-DORCHESTER COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
15.00	15.00
682,195	707,310
20,165 1,681 20,520 22,116 3,700 1,500 2,389	11,219 1,681 37,103 27,750 4,830 2,650 75,127
72,071	160,360 867,670

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-FREDERICK COUNTY

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
33.50	33.50	33.50
2.00	2.00	2.00
1,431,995	1,582,962	1,668,108
4,802	56,924	55,033
45,203 2,610 163,786 32,999 696 211,227 1,664 26,350	53,399 4,075 153,345 41,934 1,500 17,500 1,275	20,400 2,643 156,400 42,860 16,000 8,500 27,410
484,535	273,028	274,213
1,921,332	1,912,914	1,997,354
	33.50 2.00 1,431,995 4,802 45,203 2,610 163,786 32,999 696 211,227 1,664 26,350 484,535	Actual Appropriation 33.50 33.50 2.00 2.00 1,431,995 1,582,962 4,802 56,924 45,203 53,399 2,610 4,075 163,786 153,345 32,999 41,934 696 1,500 211,227 17,500 1,664 1,275 26,350 484,535 273,028

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-GARRETT COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	463,496	454,048	476,307
02 Technical and Special Fees	14		
03 Communication	9,586 1,489 9,362 16,203 33,188	11,481 1,846 9,139 14,636 2,300 1,500 1,221	6,023 1,846 14,017 19,759 4,750 3,550 10,532
Total Operating Expenses	71,041	42,123	60,477
Total Expenditure	534,551	496,171	536,784

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-HARFORD COUNTY

2002 2003 Actual Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Positions	37.00
Positions	3.00
nge Benefits	1,714,526
Gees	77,430
48,825 57,223 276 2,178 52,329 101,000 46,936 54,809 nt 579 1,500 3,042 31,748 1,988 1,800	22,523 2,128 133,300 64,720 23,900 13,500 27,000
penses 153,975 250,258	287,071
penditure	2,079,027
48,825 57,223 276 2,178 52,329 101,000 46,936 54,809 nt 579 1,500 3,042 31,748 1,988 1,800 penses 153,975 250,258	

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-HOWARD COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
38.00	38.00	38.00
1.00	2.00	2.00
1,707,607	1,765,150	1,806,290
29,269	53,425	55,033
41,964 162 74,747 28,803 46,377 14,011 2,630	39,466 2,165 77,990 56,621 1,500 1,500 2,465 45,700	14,330 2,165 107,812 64,000 28,879 3,500 18,540 47,528
208,694	227,407	286,754
1,945,570	2,045,982	2,148,077
	38.00 1.00 1,707,607 29,269 41,964 162 74,747 28,803 46,377 14,011 2,630 208,694	Actual Appropriation 38.00 38.00 1.00 2.00 1,707,607 1,765,150 29,269 53,425 41,964 39,466 162 2,165 74,747 77,990 28,803 56,621 46,377 1,500 14,011 1,500 2,630 2,465 45,700 208,694 227,407

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-KENT COUNTY

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
9.00	9.00	9.00
458,549	441,797	465,081
12,049	14,552	10,361
570	2,109	2,109
10,983	16,250	21,275
12,188	10,282	12,105
679	10,000	6,500
566	5,000	4,000
2,304	1,507	9,815
39,339	59,700	66,165
497,888	501,497	531,246
	9.00 458,549 12,049 570 10,983 12,188 679 566 2,304 39,339	Actual Appropriation 9.00 9.00 458,549 441,797 12,049 14,552 570 2,109 10,983 16,250 12,188 10,282 679 10,000 566 5,000 2,304 1,507 39,339 59,700

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

Appropriation Statements	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	161.00	174.00	174.00
Number of Contractual Positions	4.00	3.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	6,694,883	7,364,757	7,559,469
02 Technical and Special Fees	65,327	81,844	80,843
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	174,271 1,450 154,114 177,880 64,555 19,223 356 46,589	207,203 9,469 266,492 202,502 21,200 75,000 443	53,000 9,293 240,573 242,797 24,425 14,600 198,005
Total Operating Expenses	638,438	782,309	782,693
Total Expenditure	7,398,648	8,228,910	8,423,005

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
168.00	168.00	168.00
4.00	3.00	3.00
6,884,761	7,018,316	7,443,639
60,010	83,636	79,249
175,334 1,935 202,672 163,893	261,050 4,345 353,386 205,836 57,050	164,700 4,345 482,709 239,300 59,190
4,661 2,384	104,399 2,000 146,200	44,542 85,263 150,000
565,998	1,134,266	1,230,049
7,510,769	8,236,218	8,752,937
	Actual 168.00 4.00 6,884,761 60,010 175,334 1,935 202,672 163,893 15,119 4,661 2,384 565,998	Actual Appropriation 168.00 168.00 4.00 3.00 6,884,761 7,018,316 60,010 83,636 175,334 261,050 1,935 4,345 202,672 353,386 163,893 205,836 15,119 57,050 4,661 104,399 2,384 2,000 146,200 565,998 1,134,266

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-QUEEN ANNE'S COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	10.00	10.00	10.00
Number of Contractual Positions	3.00	2.00	2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	522,849	522,471	563,685
02 Technical and Special Fees	28,030	56,924	55,033
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	13,831 696 9,001 19,956 37,965 3,791 2,112 68,032	17,634 2,041 18,100 15,057 30,000 22,000 1,500	8,290 2,041 44,350 24,837 3,700 4,779 10,550 60,000
Total Operating Expenses	155,384	106,332	158,547
Total Expenditure	706,263	685,727	777,265

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-ST. MARY'S COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	20.00	20.00	20.00
Number of Contractual Positions		1.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	930,182	984,391	1,008,129
02 Technical and Special Fees	16,506	26,711	27,516
03 Communication. 04 Travel. 08 Contractual Services. 09 Supplies and Materials. 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges.	16,297 288 -17,491 31,464 22,650 29,650 2,280	17,570 2,253 23,000 35,566 26,500 1,500 2,792	10,928 2,253 63,098 39,035 36,500 2,500 31,197
Total Operating Expenses	85,138	109,181	185,511
Total Expenditure	1,031,826	1,120,283	1,221,156

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-SOMERSET COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	12.00	12.00	12.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	573,359	580,949	583,877
02 Technical and Special Fees	18,544	28,462	25,810
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	15,634 1,565 8,719 11,867 1,338 1,604 3,370	16,890 2,268 11,150 17,375 1,500 1,500 12,000	9,846 2,268 16,750 18,875 20,500 2,500 17,483
Total Operating Expenses	44,097	62,683	88,222
Total Expenditure	636,000	672,094	697,909

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-TALBOT COUNTY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00
Number of Contractual Positions	2.00	2.00	2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	454,457	450,724	468,033
02 Technical and Special Fees	36,647	56,924	37,917
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	13,726 1,483 36,675 14,832 21,390 1,418 933	13,161 1,693 29,700 16,126 29,800 1,500 1,680	8,960 1,693 31,600 21,300 32,725 1,500 9,325
Total Operating Expenses	90,457	93,660	107,103
Total Expenditure	581,561	601,308	613,053

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-WASHINGTON COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	29.00	29.00	29.00
Number of Contractual Positions	2.00		
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,255,644	1,308,423	1,365,829
02 Technical and Special Fees	12,409		
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	36,427 7,255 31,625 64,190 23,430 2,142 190,000	32,992 8,668 86,720 61,554 22,900 20,000 600 109,675	14,575 8,668 79,706 66,239 88,275 7,650 21,275 185,000
Total Operating Expenses	355,069	343,109	471,388
Total Expenditure	1,623,122	1,651,532	1,837,217

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-WICOMICO COUNTY

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	18.00	18.00	18.00
Number of Contractual Positions	2.00	3.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	823,242	829,385	865,000
02 Technical and Special Fees	42,004	80,414	82,549
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures	31,548 224 55,086 22,795 1,778 290 1,092	38,703 1,714 52,700 22,892 11,175 21,000 2,161	9,130 1,714 114,610 26,690 4,000 12,500 22,247 20,000
Total Operating Expenses	112,813	150,345	210,891
Total Expenditure	978,059	1,060,144	1,158,440

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-WORCESTER COUNTY

Appropriation Statement:

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	20.00	20.00	20.00
Number of Contractual Positions	4.00	3.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	874,000	884,841	937,665
02 Technical and Special Fees	58,609	81,196	84,374
03 Communication. 04 Travel. 08 Contractual Services. 09 Supplies and Materials. 10 Equipment—Replacement. 11 Equipment—Additional. 13 Fixed Charges. 14 Land and Structures.	28,086 1,007 30,410 21,961 7,241 139,487 1,883	25,545 6,049 32,313 23,626 55,000 7,000 3,120	13,575 6,049 101,127 28,900 37,000 19,000 34,720 7,000
Total Operating Expenses	230,075	152,653	247,371
Total Expenditure	1,162,684	1,118,690	1,269,410

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-BALTIMORE CITY

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	262.00	262.00	262.00
Number of Contractual Positions	14.00	13.00	13.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	11,830,554	11,833,089	12,169,967
02 Technical and Special Fees	260,514	304,954	313,447
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	394,554 895 406,989 284,991 16,580 86,778 11,461	419,966 2,577 311,418 350,970 56,000 57,000 11,718	112,351 2,577 485,606 369,311 180,000 125,000 491,634
14 Land and Structures	21,879		250,000
Total Operating Expenses	1,224,127	1,209,649	2,016,479
Total Expenditure	13,315,195	13,347,692	14,499,893

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-AUTOMATION SUPPORT

Appropriation St	atement:
------------------	----------

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	7.00	7.00	7.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	468,247	540,655	557,066
03 Communication. 04 Travel. 08 Contractual Services. 09 Supplies and Materials. 10 Equipment—Replacement. 11 Equipment—Additional. 13 Fixed Charges.	18 420 1,625,219 36,717 2,505,592 422,696	756 1,701,491 55,220 351,836 198,412 150	1,380,718 40,000 536,525 169,300 150
Total Operating Expenses	4,590,662	2,307,865	2,126,693
Total Expenditure	5,058,909	2,848,520	2,683,759

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT-COMMON COSTS

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Contractual Positions		5.00	5.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	268,641	648,164	586,101
02 Technical and Special Fees	18,990	133,562	133,536
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures.	528,767 44,585 463,599 13,895 44 1,642 -75 1,125	76,094 30,001 89,894	1,412,717 76,899 56,200 93,500 5,000
Total Operating Expenses	1,053,582	195,989	1,644,316
Total Expenditure	1,341,213	977,715	2,363,953

C00A00.11 FAMILY LAW DIVISION

Program Description:

Consistent with the expressed interest of the General Assembly, in 1998, the Maryland Judiciary established family divisions, as supported by Maryland Rule 16-204, within the State's five largest jurisdictions. These were established in Anne Arundel County, Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Montgomery County, and Prince George's County. The family divisions have jurisdiction over all civil matters related to the family: divorce, custody, child support, visitation, domestic violence, paternity, adoption, guardianship, involuntary commitments, CINA/CINS, and juvenile delinquency. Those counties without separate family divisions are provided with a family support coordinator and a budget for services to establish a spectrum of services and to reorient its case management processes to ensure the comprehensive and holistic treatment of families. Funds provided by the General Assembly are used to operate Maryland's family law system, which represents 48% of the circuit court caseload. Funds are provided to local jurisdictions in the form of grants. Additional funds are used to provide special project grants to provide access to the family justice system in both the District and Circuit courts. Family law hotlines, domestic violence legal services, high conflict custody representation projects, and other projects that improve the ability of families and children to participate in the family justice system are also provided.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	8.00	9.00	9.00
Number of Contractual Positions		2.00	2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	468,597	527,365	611,760
02 Technical and Special Fees	4,216	81,372	86,024
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services. 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions. 13 Fixed Charges	302 16,579 53,025 2,694 8,687,082 1,082	20,143 195,250 10,479,935 2,900	3,000 20,143 112,650 4,100 1,500 1,700 11,483,257 3,140
Total Operating Expenses	8,760,764	10,698,228	11,629,490
Total Expenditure	9,233,577	11,306,965	12,327,274
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation	8,263,428 971,598 9,235,026	11,275,465 31,500 11,306,965	
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	1,449	11,500,505	
Net General Fund Expenditure	9,233,577	11,306,965	12,327,274

C00A00.12 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Program Description:

The Judiciary will update its land record information system and improve public access through its website. Also, proposed are a pilot program to increase the processing of Maryland business licenses and the next phase in the development of a case management system.

A	windiam.	Statement:

Appropriation statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	5.00	4.00	4.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	340,159	533,721	420,328
04 Travel	14,178 6,685,982 2,278 662,199 317,759	11,624 4,180,377 235,596 340,000 840,343	11,624 4,539,454 140,000 660,000
Total Operating Expenses	7,682,396	5,607,940	5,351,078
Total Expenditure	8,022,555	6,141,661	5,771,406
Total General Fund Appropriation	5,720,481 819,553	3,620,155	
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	4,900,928 3,121,627	3,620,155 2,521,506	3,049,900 2,721,506
Total Expenditure	8,022,555	6,141,661	5,771,406
Special Fund Income: C00301 Land Improvement Surcharge	3,121,627	2,521,506	2,721,506

MISSION

The mission of the Office of the Public Defender (OPD) is to provide superior legal representation to indigent defendants in the State of Maryland by safeguarding fundamental individual rights and ensuring access to the guaranteed protections afforded by the United States Constitution, the Bill of Rights, the Maryland Constitution and Declaration of Rights, and the laws of Maryland.

VISION

The vision of the OPD is to have an attorney available and present at each critical stage of all criminal proceedings in order to provide superior legal representation for all indigent persons charged with incarcerable crimes.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- **Goal 1.** The OPD will provide superior representation to indigent defendants at all critical stages of representation throughout the State of Maryland.
 - Objective 1.1 The objectives, strategies and measures for this Key Agency Goal are included as District Operations Goal 1.
- Goal 2. The OPD will conduct all Agency operations in a fiscally responsible manner.Objective 2.1 The objectives, strategies and measures for this Key Agency Goal are included in General Administration.
- Goal 3. The OPD will provide a sufficient information technology infrastructure to efficiently support all Agency operations.

 Objective 3.1 The objectives, strategies and measures for this Key Agency Goal are included as General Administration Goal 3.
- Goal 4. The OPD will consult and cooperate with the Courts, the State legislature and other public organizations regarding the protection of procedural rights; the due process of law; constitutional safeguards and the administration of criminal justice.

 Objective 4.1 The objectives, strategies and measures for this Key Agency Goal are included as General Administration Goal 5.

C80B00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General Administration Program of the OPD provides coordination of all public defender services including all personnel and fiscal matters. The coordination of budgeting, planning, accounting, data collection, procurement, information technology and continuing legal training is the responsibility of administrative personnel. The administrative staff also review and recommend all legislative proposals for the Public Defender.

MISSION

The mission of the General Administration Program is to obtain and maximize fiscal resources to facilitate the operation of the Agency while providing competent managerial and executive leadership. OPD Administration also seeks to provide competent coordination of support services enabling all Agency personnel to fulfill the Agency's mission. Further, the Administration will maintain collaborative relationships with other criminal justice agencies to ensure the State's criminal justice system safeguards constitutional rights.

VISION

The vision of the OPD General Administration Program is to provide administrative support and professional resources required to ensure superior legal representation to all indigent clients charged with incarcerable crimes.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The OPD will provide sufficient and balanced resource distribution throughout the Agency.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2005, 90% of full-time circuit court attorneys will handle a caseload of between 80% and 120% of American Bar Association (ABA) maximum caseload standards.

Objective 1.2 By fiscal year 2005, 90% of full-time district court attorneys will handle a caseload of between 80% and 120% of ABA maximum caseload standards.

Objective 1.3 By fiscal year 2005, 90% of full-time juvenile court attorneys will handle a caseload of between 80% and 120% of ABA maximum caseload standards.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of cases (district operations)	169,223	177,306	184,398	191,774
Total agency number of cases (districts and divisions)	182,652	191,180	198,827	206,780
Total cases paneled	10,600	11,732	12,201	12,689
Output: Average raw caseloads (district operations)				
Circuit	238	297	250	288
District	920	1,005	1,000	886
Juvenile	318	291	300	242
Average weighted caseloads (district operations)				
Circuit	169	184	170	178
District	752	827	800	729
Juvenile	318	291	300	242
Quality: Percent of attorneys meeting ABA standards*	0%	4%	10%	12%
Percent of attorneys at MFR goal of 120% ABA	N/A	10%	20%	22%
Efficiency: Average number of attorney hours/case	**	**	**	**
Cost – per - case	\$266	\$298	\$286	\$289
Attorney/judicial officer ratios	1.51/1	1.55/1	1.57/1	1.57/1

Note: *ABA maximum case load standards are: Felony - 150; Misdemeanor - 400; Juvenile - 200.

**New measures for which data is not available.

N/A - Not applicable

C80B00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

Goal 2. The OPD will recruit and maintain a qualified and competent workforce.

Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2004, 100% of its OPD attorneys will complete the required 12 hours of Continuing Legal Education (CLE.)

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of attorneys required to earn 12 CLE hours	411	410	431	440
Outputs: Number of attorneys who reach their 12 hours/year	228	248	267	286
Efficiency: Percentage of attorneys who complete CLE requirement	58%	60%	62%	65%

Objective 2.2 By fiscal year 2005, all Public Defender training programs will receive a rating of "Good" or "Excellent" from 90% of the completed individual evaluations submitted after each training program.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of training program attendees	805	709	737	766
Output: Number of completed training program evaluations	100	388	400	420
Quality: Percentage of evaluations rating the training program				
as "Good" or "Excellent"	N/A	87%	88%	90%

Objective 2.3 By fiscal year 2004, the attrition rate for attorneys, with more than 5 years experience will be reduced to 4.1% or less annually.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of attorneys with more than five years				
Experience	194	280	280	280
Outputs: Number of resignations from attorneys with five				
years experience or more	25	22	20	20
Efficiency: Attrition percentage of experienced attorneys	13%	8%	7%	7%

Objective 3.1 By fiscal year 2005, the OPD will web-enable 80% of its services to the internal customers.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of services capable of being web-enabled				
for internal customer	1	1	2	3
Efficiency: Percentage of total web-enabled services	20%	20%	40%	60%

Objective 3.2 By fiscal year 2003, 85% Help Desk calls received at OPD IT headquarters for service and/or repair of IT hardware, software or the OPD network will be resolved and completed within 24 hours.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of Help Desk calls received at OPD IT				
headquarters	2,416	2,463	2,512	2,562
Outputs: Number of all Help Desk calls resolved within 24 hours	N/A	1,372	1,478	1,633
Efficiency: Percentage of all Help Desk calls resolved within 24 hours	N/A	56.8%	60%	65%

Note: N/A – Not applicable

C80B00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

Goal 4. The OPD will improve the management process of senior management to support all Agency operations.

Objective 4.1 By fiscal year 2004, the OPD will implement 90% of the accepted recommendations of the 2001 Office of Legislative Audits performance audit.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of recommendations	N/A	8	8	8
Outputs: Number of audit recommendations implemented	N/A	5	7	7
Efficiency: Percentage of recommendations implemented	N/A	62.5%	87.5%	87.5%

Note: N/A - Not applicable

Goal 5. The OPD will consult and cooperate with the Courts, the State Legislature and other public organizations regarding the protection of procedural rights, the due process of law, constitutional safeguards, and the administration of criminal justice.

Objective 5.1 By fiscal year 2003, the OPD will maintain legislative monitoring of all pending State legislation affecting the administration of criminal justice.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of bills involving criminal justice issues	400	321	360	360
Outputs: Number of bills for which OPD provided testimony	112	148	162	162
Efficiency: Percentage	28%	46%	45%	45%

Goal 6. Pursuant to Senate Bill 694, "Criminal Procedure – DNA Evidence – Post Conviction Review", the OPD will utilize all relevant scientific techniques to identify and exonerate wrongfully convicted inmates.

Objective 6.1 By fiscal year 2004, the OPD will create a protocol to identify cases in which an inmate may have been wrongfully convicted and in which further scientific and factual investigation may lead to exoneration of a wrongfully convicted inmate.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of cases in which inmate has requested				
assistance with an innocence claim	N/A	37	55	82
Outputs: Number of cases accepted for review	N/A	22	33	50
Number of cases litigated	N/A	4	6	10
Outcome: Number of defendants exonerated	N/A	0	1	2

Note: N/A - Not applicable

SUMMARY OF OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Total Number of Authorized Positions	763.30	806.30	876.50
Total Number of Contractual Positions	83.43	107.50	108.50
Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits Technical and Special Fees Operating Expenses	46,838,346 4,800,032 6,889,830	46,392,584 6,123,534 5,797,161	50,724,862 5,819,272 7,594,000
Original General Fund Appropriation	53,700,603 3,500,000	55,666,468 1,249,941	
Total General Fund Appropriation Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	57,200,603 121,000	56,916,409	
Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Federal Fund Expenditure	57,079,603 238,180	56,916,409 201,437 27,684	62,446,186 260,168
Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	1,210,425	1,167,749	1,431,780
Total Expenditure	58,528,208	58,313,279	64,138,134

C80B00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
38.80	53.00	53.00
.98		5.00
3,395,887	3,248,625	3,396,821
30,595	11,100	104,488
47,490 53,046	35,406 41,565	52,113 55,000
48,660 1,160,102	54,928 61,920	43,361 1,003,872
263,112 1,063	303,389 2,980	285,122 2,980
288,699 6,066	290,553 21,600	225,608 61,955
1,868,238	812,341	1,730,011
5,294,720	4,072,066	5,231,320
2,263,554 3,031,166	2,687,556 1,384,510	
5,294,720	4,072,066	5,231,320
	38.80 .98 3,395,887 30,595 47,490 53,046 48,660 1,160,102 263,112 1,063 288,699 6,066 1,868,238 5,294,720 2,263,554 3,031,166	Actual Appropriation 38.80 53.00 .98 3,395,887 3,248,625 30,595 11,100 47,490 35,406 53,046 41,565 48,660 54,928 1,160,102 61,920 263,112 303,389 1,063 2,980 288,699 290,553 6,066 21,600 1,868,238 812,341 5,294,720 4,072,066 2,263,554 2,687,556 3,031,166 1,384,510

C80B00.02 DISTRICT OPERATIONS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of the Public Defender provides legal services to indigent persons through twelve district offices. Each District conforms to the statutory geographic boundaries for the District Court. Legal representation by the Office of the Public Defender extends to all stages in criminal proceedings including custody, interrogation, preliminary hearing, arraignment, trial and appeal. Representation is provided to qualified indigents in District Courts, Juvenile Courts, Circuit Courts, police custody and related collateral hearings, Children In Need of Assistance (CINA) and termination of parental rights (TPR) cases.

MISSION

The mission of District Operations is to provide superior counseling, negotiation, and trial advocacy services for the clients; to safeguard fundamental individual rights; and to ensure access to the guaranteed protections afforded by the United States Constitution, the Bill of Rights, and the Maryland Constitution and Declaration of Rights, and the laws of Maryland.

VISION

The vision of District Operations is that all public defender clients will be interviewed promptly after arrest and will have a competent attorney promptly assigned to his or her case to provide superior legal representation from the initial bond hearing and at every critical stage and hearing thereafter until the case is complete.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The Public Defender Districts and Divisions will provide competent representation to the clients at all critical stages of criminal, CINA, TPR, and juvenile proceedings.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2005, 90% of Agency attorneys shall have minimum mandatory contacts with clients to keep the clients informed as to the status of their cases.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of cases	169,223	177,306	184,398	191,774
Quality: Estimated percentage of attorneys meeting				
minimum contacts	N/A	20%	40%	50%

Objective 1.2 By fiscal year 2006, 90% of OPD attorneys will receive score of "Satisfactory" or "Outstanding" on 95% of their case reviews.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Total number of Agency attorneys	421	421	431	440
Outputs: Number of attorney evaluations	N/A	300	350	375
Quality: Percentage of attorneys rated "satisfactory"				
or "outstanding"	N/A	71%	81%	85%

Goal 2. The OPD will implement and comply with statewide policies affecting all District operations.

Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2004, 95% of open Public Defender files will comply with the Uniform Intake Policies as assessed by internal audit team.

·	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of files audited	N/A	383	500	500
Outputs: Number of files that comply with OPD policies	N/A	308	425	475
Efficiency: Percentage of compliance	N/A	77.8%	85%	95%

Note: N/A – Not applicable

C80B00.02 DISTRICT OPERATIONS

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	652.00	679.50	749.50
Number of Contractual Positions	73.76	97.00	94.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	38,833,497	38,549,143	42,656,448
02 Technical and Special Fees	4,062,036	5,302,489	4,893,124
03 Communication	642,614	770,225	931,251
04 Travel	169,557	125,627	276,578
06 Fuel and Utilities	11,986	9,100	30,700
07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance	65,211	23,859	25,768
08 Contractual Services	1,274,402	474,871	509,956
09 Supplies and Materials	256,113	281,349	306,798
10 Equipment—Replacement	74,540	70,950	15,000
11 Equipment—Additional	245,933		367,895
13 Fixed Charges	1,228,217	1,895,098	2,064,684
Total Operating Expenses	3,968,573	3,651,079	4,528,630
Total Expenditure	46,864,106	47,502,711	52,078,202
Original General Fund Appropriation	45,187,667	47,490,351	
Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	348,834	-1,384,510	
Total General Fund Appropriation	45,536,501	46,105,841	
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	121,000		
Net General Fund Expenditure	45,415,501	46,105,841	50,386,254
Special Fund Expenditure	238,180	201,437	260,168
Federal Fund Expenditure		27,684	
Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	1,210,425	1,167,749	1,431,780
Total Expenditure	46,864,106	47,502,711	52,078,202

C80B00.02 DISTRICT OPERATIONS

Special Fund Income:			
C80302 Inmate Services Projects	55,798	48,378	55,798
C80303 Anne Arundel County Inmate Services	57,679	49,266	57,679
C80304 Criminal Justice Drug Intervention Project AA Co.	65,000	61,047	67,494
C80305 Circuit Court Case Management Anne Arundel			
County	49,337	42,746	49,337
C80307 Maryland Public Interest Law Project	3,294		
C80308 Bail Reconsideration Project	7,072		29,860
Total	238,180	201,437	260,168
Federal Fund Income: 16.579 Byrne Formula Grant Program		27,684	
Reimbursable Fund Income:			
Q00C02 DPSCS-Division of Parole and Probation	281,600	281,000	281,600
Q00P00 DPSCS-Division of Pretrial Detention and Services	601,000	601,000	611,681
V00D01 Department of Juvenile Justice	327,825	285,749	538,499
Total	1,210,425	1,167,749	1,431,780

C80B00.03 APPELLATE AND INMATE SERVICES

APPELLATE SERVICES

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Appellate Services litigates appeals involving OPD clients. It also provides educational and research support for staff and panel attorneys. The Appellate Division provides representation through use of staff and panel attorneys in appellate cases, reviews and files appropriate petitions for writs of certiorari, conducts continuing education seminars, publishes legal updates and newsletters, and provides quick reference and specialist expertise to staff attorneys statewide.

MISSION

The mission of the Appellate Division is to assume statewide responsibility for all appellate litigation involving public defender clients and to provide educational and research services for Agency staff and panel attorneys throughout the State.

VISION

The vision of the Appellate Division is to be recognized in the legal community and by our clients as one of the best appellate legal systems in the nation, which provides superior appellate legal representation to persons in Maryland who are eligible for public defender services.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The OPD will ensure superior, effective appellate representation for its clients.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2005, each appellate attorney shall handle no more than 120% of the American Bar Association's maximum appellate caseload standard of 25 appeals per attorney per year.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of cases	743	699	728	728
Number of attorneys	21	20	22.5	22.5
Output: Existing average number of cases per attorney	35	35	32	32
Quality: Percentage of attorneys meeting caseload standards	0%	0%	10%	10%

Objective 1.2 By fiscal year 2004, the Appellate Division will increase the number of attorneys capable of effectively and competently handling death penalty appeals.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Quality: Current number of attorneys competent to handle				
death penalty appeals	6	6	8	8

Objective 1.3 By fiscal year 2005, create a specialized unit within the Appellate Division to handle Child In Need of Assistance and Termination of Parental Rights (CINA/TPR) appeals.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of CINA and TPR appeals	6	8	10	10

C80B00.03 APPELLATE AND INMATE SERVICES (Continued)

INMATE SERVICES

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Inmate Services, also known as the Collateral Review Division, provides assistance to indigent inmates for post conviction, parole violation, habeas corpus, extradition, and other miscellaneous hearings. This Division operates statewide and provides counsel in collateral criminal proceedings throughout the twelve Districts of the OPD system.

MISSION

The mission of the Inmate Services is to provide superior legal representation, pursuant to Article 27, Section 645(f) of the Annotated Code of Maryland as amended, to clients challenging their criminal convictions based on the denial of constitutional and fundamental rights, and to provide representation to clients at parole revocation hearings.

VISION

The vision of Inmate Services is to be recognized in the legal community, and by our clients, as consistently providing the finest legal representation in post conviction proceedings in the nation.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Inmate Services will provide superior, effective representation for public defender clients.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2004, the Inmate Services Division will establish maximum caseload goals appropriate for its attorneys.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Cases handled	1,896	2,085	2,168	2,255
Quality: Number of attorneys	13	13	13	13
Efficiency: Current caseload per attorney	145	160	167	173

Goal 2. The Inmate Services Division will foster a continuing awareness throughout the OPD of its function, services and resources.

Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2004, attorneys from the Inmate Services Division shall provide information to every District on a semi-annual basis to update the Districts on the services provided by the Division.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of Districts contacted	N/A	6	12	24

Note: N/A - Not applicable

C80B00.03 APPELLATE AND INMATE SERVICES

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	51.00	52.30	52.50
Number of Contractual Positions	7.48	8.50	7.50
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	3,380,727	3,342,885	3,382,973
02 Technical and Special Fees	149,171	214,507	168,318
03 Communication 04 Travel	35,653 21,975 3,627 868,054 16,676 400 5,276 951,661	41,700 27,285 5,988 1,072,082 21,000 6,060 14,200 1,188,315	68,952 24,785 34,767 1,006,352 21,150 3,060 14,200 1,173,266
Total Expenditure Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Net General Fund Expenditure	4,481,559 4,381,559 100,000 4,481,559	4,745,707 3,495,766 1,249,941 4,745,707	4,724,557

C80B00.04 INVOLUNTARY INSTITUTIONALIZATION SERVICES

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Involuntary Institutionalization Services Program provides assistance of counsel to every indigent person involuntarily confined pursuant to Title 10, Health General Article, to a facility under the jurisdiction of or licensed by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. The services include representation of indigents upon their admission to psychiatric institutions, at their sixmonth and annual reviews, and when seeking judicial release from psychiatric institutions.

MISSION

The mission of the Involuntary Institutionalization Services Division (Mental Health Division) is to provide superior representation of all indigent persons facing civil commitment to Maryland psychiatric hospitals and individuals seeking release from civil commitment or commitments resulting from a finding of not criminally responsible or not competent to stand trial. It is also the mission to foster and support a comprehensive system for competent representation of accused criminal clients when mental health issues are central to their defense.

VISION

The vision of the Mental Health Division is to provide superior legal representation that does not improperly detain or deny involuntarily or civilly committed individuals their freedom or proper mental health assistance.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The Mental Health Division will improve consultation and support services to the Districts in criminal cases involving mental health issues.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2004, the Mental Health Division will increase the number of available and qualified mental health experts by 10% over 2001 actual.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of mental health experts	25	30	33	36
Outputs: Number of consultations	241	250	260	270

Objective 1.2 By fiscal year 2004, the Mental Health Division will increase by 50% the number of trial attorneys attending training on mental health issues.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of attorneys in the OPD	421	421	431	440
Outputs: Percent of OPD attorneys within a given year who				
have attended a training seminar on identifying mental				
health issues	21%	37%	40%	45%

Goal 2. The OPD will provide competent legal representation to persons in civil commitment hearings and to persons found not criminally responsible or incompetent to stand trial.

Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2005, have attorney caseload at no more than 120% of the American Bar Association (ABA) standards for attorneys handling civil commitment hearings and other hearings regarding the release of persons found not criminally responsible or incompetent to stand trial.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Current caseloads	662	994	1,000	1,040
Outputs: ABA standards	200	200	200	200
MFR standards of 120% ABA	240	240	240	240
Outcome: Percentage of mental health attorneys meeting				
ABA or MFR caseload standards	0%	0%	0%	0%

C80B00.04 INVOLUNTARY INSTITUTIONALIZATION SERVICES

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	17.50	17.50	17.50
Number of Contractual Positions	1.01	1.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	892,765	936,797	969,576
02 Technical and Special Fees	146,537	136,975	146,818
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	8,295 12,399 568 4,670 5,754 2,627 1,662 1,574	15,800 14,408 1,752 10,300 3,100 3,100 26,900	18,425 14,408 3,010 10,300 4,600 3,100 26,900
Total Operating Expenses	37,549	75,360	80,743
Total Expenditure	1,076,851	1,149,132	1,197,137
Net General Fund Expenditure	1,076,851	1,149,132	1,197,137

C80B00.05 CAPITAL DEFENSE DIVISION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Capital Defense Division (CDD) coordinates the delivery of statewide legal defense services in capital murder cases. The Division consults with the Public Defender and the District Defenders on appointment of counsel, arranges for experts and investigators, supports and advises appointed counsel, gathers data on sentencing in homicide cases. The Division also educates staff and panel attorneys through its publications and training seminars.

MISSION

The mission of the CDD is to provide superior legal representation to indigent persons accused of capital crimes in the State of Maryland.

VISION

The vision of the CDD is to be recognized in the legal community and by our clients as a model and a national leader in providing innovative and effective capital defense representation.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The Capital Defense Division will provide consultation and support to all public defenders and panel attorneys who are involved in capital litigation at the trial level in the State of Maryland.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2004, the CDD will increase the number of Agency attorneys qualified to handle primary and/or secondary responsibility in capital litigation by 10% over 2001.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of Assistant Public Defenders	421	421	431	440
Quality: Number of Assistant Public Defenders who are				
currently qualified to handle primary and/or secondary				
responsibility in capital litigation	58	61	64	70

Objective 1.2 By fiscal year 2005, the OPD will reduce the actual caseloads of qualified Assistant Public Defenders who are assigned an active death penalty case to 75% of felony attorney caseloads.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Average caseload of an OPD felony attorney	238	297	250	260
Outputs: Estimated reduced caseload for attorneys handling				
death penalty case	214	267	225	221

Objective 1.3 By fiscal year 2005, the CDD will increase by 50% the number of panel attorneys and/or pro bono attorneys qualified to accept primary and/or secondary responsibility in capital litigation at the trial level.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of current panel or pro bono attorneys qualified				
to accept primary and/or secondary responsibility in				
capital litigation at the trial level	N/A	21	26	33
Quality: Percentage increase in the number of panel attorneys and/or				
pro bono attorneys qualified to accept primary and/or				
secondary responsibility in capital litigation at the trial level	N/A	N/A	23%	25%

Note: N/A - Not applicable

C80B00.05 CAPITAL DEFENSE DIVISION (Continued)

Goal 2. The CDD will provide services to clients sentenced to death to pursue all further legitimate legal actions.

Objective 2.1 By fiscal year 2004, the CDD will have the ability to provide computer based statistics in relevant categories pertaining to capital litigation (i.e., demographic, geographic, type of aggravating circumstance, etc.) to all attorneys involved in trial level and post trial capital litigation.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of categories of statistical information currently				
tracked manually for attorneys handling death penalty cases	12	15	18	18
Quality: Statistical information by category generated by a computer				
based case tracking system	0	0	0	18

Objective 2.2 By fiscal year 2004, increase by 10% the number of panel attorneys available to handle primary and/or secondary responsibility in post conviction capital litigation.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Quality: Number of panel attorneys available who are				
qualified to handle primary or secondary responsibility				
in post conviction matters in capital cases	25	25	27	33

Note: N/A - Not applicable

C80B00.05 CAPITAL DEFENSE DIVISION

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	4.00	4.00	4.00
Number of Contractual Positions	.20	1.00	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	335,470	315,134	319,044
02 Technical and Special Fees	411,693	458,463	506,524
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges Total Operating Expenses Total Expenditure	841 8,068 14,422 3,953 4,299 32,226 63,809 810,972	1,100 8,807 22,000 1,800 2,520 33,839 70,066 843,663	1,200 9,807 8,448 20,236 5,300 2,520 33,839 81,350 906,918
Original General Fund Appropriation	790,972	843,663	900,918
Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Net General Fund Expenditure	20,000 810,972	843,663	906,918

C81C00.01 LEGAL COUNSEL & ADVICE

MISSION

The Office of the Attorney General represents the State in all legal matters. The Attorney General acts as legal counsel to the Governor, General Assembly, Judiciary and State agencies, boards and commissions.

VISION

The Office of the Attorney General provides superior legal representation and promotes public safety by providing the highest quality of legal services from a staff that is dedicated to excellence and professionalism. The Office of the Attorney General demonstrates integrity by being ethical and accountable and making principle decisions. The Office of the Attorney General embraces diversity as well as teamwork. The Office of the Attorney General is committed to enhancing the quality of our work life.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- **Goal 1.** The Office of the Attorney General will effectively represent the State in all legal matters. We will maintain the quality of excellence and successful outcome of matters.
 - Objective 1.1 Maintain the quality of excellent and successful outcome of matters.
 - Measure See individual Program Measures/Program Indicators.
- Goal 2. To continue the Attorney General's commitment to support the citizens of Maryland.
 - Objective 2.1 The Attorney General is committed to citizen outreach. In order to maximize our citizen response efforts, the Attorney General has created a citizen response unit headed by a citizen response coordinator. It is necessary for this citizen response coordinator to be accessible to the public. The best way to accomplish this is to have a "store front" location for easy access by the public.
 - Measure The Community Help Center (CHC) opened officially in January 2002. Since that time, CHC has received 917 phone calls. Since January 2002, the CHC has met with 125 citizens who came in looking for assistance.
- Goal 3. Establish a mentoring program to help young people in Maryland.
 - Objective 3.1 Encourage responsible behavior and help to develop self-esteem.
 - **Strategy** Work with the schools to follow progress of student participating in program, focusing on school attendance, and the academic progress. Our mentoring program began in FY 2001 with 7th graders, and continued in FY 2002, with those same students graduating from Middle School. The students were very enthusiastic about the program and hoped that the program would continue.
- **Goal 4.** To provide information technology necessary to conduct the legal business of the State objection. Request funds needed for information technology.
 - **Objective 4.1** Provide a more secure business environment. Provide information technology hardware and software necessary to remain competitive in the legal environment.
- Goal 5. To provide timely responses to in-session legislative requests for written advice.
 - **Objective 5.1** Answer all in-session legislative requests for written advice on the average of 48 hours after receipt of inquiry.
 - Measure For the 2002 session, 113 advice letters on specific bills were turned around in an average of 2.6 days.
- **Goal 6.** To complete bill review in a timely manner.
 - **Objective 6.1** Complete the Attorney General's review of bills waiting on Governor's signature no later than seven days prior to the last bill signing.
 - Measure There were 819 bills for review, resulting in 15 bills reviewed per day for a period of 54 calendar days.

SUMMARY OF OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Total Number of Authorized Positions	260.50	247.50	247.50
Total Number of Contractual Positions	3.00	1.20	
Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits Technical and Special Fees Operating Expenses	16,286,331 98,982 5,116,981	17,155,693 23,000 5,941,862	17,435,429 41,000 5,171,934
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer/Reduction	17,625,342 98,364	17,775,745	
Total General Fund Appropriation	17,723,706 355,255	17,775,745	
Net General Fund Expenditure	17,368,451 644,445 1,275,065 2,214,333	17,775,745 1,280,071 1,503,640 2,561,099	18,084,960 606,346 1,435,211 2,521,846
Total Expenditure	21,502,294	23,120,555	22,648,363

C81C00.01 LEGAL COUNSEL AND ADVICE

Program Description:

The Attorney General is the legal advisor to virtually every State agency, board and commission, the Judiciary and the General Assembly. The Attorney General also advises and represents in litigation the Clerks of the Court, Registers of Wills, Sheriffs and State's Attorneys of the 23 counties and Baltimore City.

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	45.00	43.00	43.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	3,088,909	3,003,660	3,142,283
02 Technical and Special Fees	5,137		
03 Communication	474,419	377,470	399,593
04 Travel	57,852	30,500	30,500
07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance	160,378	209,364	209,000
08 Contractual Services	135,932	340,692	396,723
09 Supplies and Materials	141,704	272,000	272,000
11 Equipment—Additional	359,538	37,498	65,255
12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions	150,000	150,000	150,000
13 Fixed Charges	596,944	727,284	740,756
Total Operating Expenses	2,076,767	2,144,808	2,263,827
Total Expenditure	5,170,813	5,148,468	5,406,110
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	5,074,845 115,088	5,148,468	
Total General Fund Appropriation	5,189,933 39,785	5,148,468	
Net General Fund ExpenditureReimbursable Fund Expenditure	5,150,148 20,665	5,148,468	5,406,110
Total Expenditure	5,170,813	5,148,468	5,406,110
Reimbursable Fund Income: C00A00 Judiciary	20,665		

C81C00.04 SECURITIES DIVISION

MISSION

The primary mission of the Securities Division is to protect Maryland investors from investment fraud and misrepresentation. The Division seeks to accomplish this mission by reviewing and registering offerings for securities, franchises and other investment opportunities prior to their offer and sale to the citizens of Maryland. The Division also licenses and regulates individuals engaged in the sale of securities in Maryland.

VISION

To protect the public by investigating and prosecuting cases that implicate important securities, franchise and business opportunities concerns.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle all Securities Division matters.

Objective 1.1 To handle all Securities Division matters effectively.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Broker/Dealer (Firm) Registration and Renewals	2,508	2,381	2,500	2,500
Registered Agents (Stockbrokers)	157,011	141,572	130,000	135,000
Investment Adviser/Financial Planner (Firm)				
Registrations and Renewals	527	492	530	530
Federal Covered Adviser Notice Filings				
Investment Adviser/Financial Planner	881	958	875	875
Representative (Individual) Registration,				
Renewals and Notice Filings	6,394	6,020	6,000	6,000
Securities Registrations, Renewals, and				
Exemption and Notice Filings	20,073	19,467	19,000	19,000
Franchise Registration and Renewals	972	975	970	970
Business Opportunity Registration and Renewals	17	16	15	15
"No Action" Letters and Interpretive Opinion	10	10	10	10
Active Cases, Investigations and Inquiries	1,482	1,442	1,500	1,500
Registration Fees	\$19,368,148	\$18,733,453	\$17,175,000	\$17,350,000
Fines Collected and Restitution Obtained	\$2,332,195	\$2,234,009	\$500,000	\$500,000

Goal 2. To review all regulatory filings.

Objective 2.1 Review all regulatory filings within the statutory deadlines and to review filings and conduct investment adviser audit program with the goal of obtaining a five-year review cycle.

Strategy Track all filings; Beginning in Fiscal Year 2002, track timeliness of reviews for compliance with statutory 10 and 30 day, 30 business days, and other internal and statutory review deadlines; audit in accordance with a schedule designed to meet five year audit cycle. See program Performance Measures.

Objective 3.1 Review investor education materials to ensure accuracy and timeliness of materials and utilize coordinated investor education opportunities with National American Securities Administration Association and other investor organizations.

C81C00.04 SECURITIES DIVISION

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	31.00	27.00	27.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,711,682	1,765,866	1,771,965
04 Travel	6,762 65,526 21 4,159 289,069	5,400 13,635 1,000 294,169	5,400 28,847 1,000 291,112
Total Operating Expenses	365,537	314,204	326,359
Total Expenditure	2,077,219	2,080,070	2,098,324
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	2,168,888 -25,000	2,080,070	
Total General Fund Appropriation	2,143,888 66,669	2,080,070	
Net General Fund Expenditure	2,077,219	2,080,070	2,098,324

C81C00.05 CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION

MISSION

The Mission of the Consumer Protection Division is to protect the citizens of Maryland by:

- 1)Conciliating consumer complaints through both mediation and arbitration;
- 2) Registering Health Clubs and Home Builders;
- 3) Educating the public by developing and disseminating consumer education materials; and
- 4)Enforcing consumer laws against businesses engaging in unfair or deceptive trade practices.

VISION

A state that provides citizens with a marketplace free of deceptive and unfair practices to further the economic well being of consumers and honest businesses.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle all Consumer Protection Division (CPD) matters, including inquiries, complaints, arbitration, and hearings.

Objective 1.1 Handle Consumer Protection matters in a timely manner to achieve beneficial outcome to consumers.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inquiries	87,253	85,665	90,000	90,000
Complaints	13,076	14,294	13,500	13,750
Arbitrations	146	138	130	135
Cease & Desist Hearings	38	32	25	25
Recoveries for Consumers	\$5,403,180	\$12,333,615	\$5,250,000	\$5,250,000

- Goal 2. Increase the public's access to mediation and arbitration services.
 - Objective 2.1 Allow consumers to file complaints over the Internet through the OAG web site.
 - Measure Contractor has almost completed beta version and testing of the Internet filing feature will begin early in FY 2003.
 - **Objective 2.2** Expand citizen outreach efforts. Program and evaluate methods to increase recruitment so as to meet resource demands of complaint and call volume.
 - Measure During FY 2002, CPD sent out 981 letters-recruiting volunteers to news media, senior centers, libraries, and universities. As a result of this effort, 73 volunteers and student interns started to work with CPD during this FY. All of these new people were given multiple training programs and, in addition, all current volunteers were given appropriate refresher training programs.
- **Goal 3.** Handle consumer complaints in a timely manner.
 - **Objective 3.1** Maintain an average time of 110 days between the receipt of consumer complaints and the completion of mediation.
 - Measure During FY 2002, complaints received by the CPD were opened, mediated, and closed in an average of 95 days.
- Goal 4. Provide consumer education materials to Maryland citizens.
 - **Objective 4.1** Help Maryland citizens make informed decisions in the marketplace.
 - Measure During FY 2002, the CPD produced 18 separate consumer education publications, including newsletters, fact sheets, pamphlets, and booklets. A Spanish-language edition of a brochure on property flipping was produced.

The CPD also distributed 10,000 of its publications directly to people. As required by law, it provided new home builders with 85,000 copies of a booklet on "Buying a New Home" for them to give to all prospective purchasers of new homes.

C81C00.05 CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	62.50	60.50	60.50
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	.20	
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	3,212,700	3,596,208	3,676,221
02 Technical and Special Fees	50,465	23,000	41,000
03 Communication	21,925 7,329 103 211,923 2,540 200,894 427,786	65,600 13,200 891 76,653 18,800 35,856 433,336	65,160 11,208 956 108,376 14,000 35,000
Total Operating Expenses	872,500	644,336	676,645
Total Expenditure	4,135,665	4,263,544	4,393,866
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	3,177,607 44,960	3,384,113	
Total General Fund Appropriation	3,222,567 67,621	3,384,113	
Net General Fund Expenditure	3,154,946 544,445 436,274 4,135,665	3,384,113 330,071 549,360 4,263,544	3,244,863 606,346 542,657 4,393,866
Special Fund Income: C81301 Health Spa Fees C81302 Homebuilders C81303 Consumer Protection Recoveries Total	112,477 431,968 544,445	120,000 210,071 330,071	187,616 261,089 157,641 606,346
Reimbursable Fund Income: D80Z01 Maryland Insurance Administration	436,274	549,360	542,657

C81C00.06 ANTITRUST DIVISION

MISSION

To protect the citizens of Maryland by enforcing the antitrust laws of the State of Maryland and the United States fairly and consistently; to advise and educate Maryland's agencies, political subdivisions and business enterprises regarding laws protecting competition for the purpose of promoting effective operations and compliance with the antitrust laws; to be responsive to the concerns of the citizens of Maryland regarding threats to the competitive process in the State; to provide high quality legal defense for state agencies and political subdivisions accused of violating state and federal antitrust laws; and to protect the State from individuals and companies that threaten the integrity of state procurement procedures.

VISION

To promote vigorous economic competition within the State of Maryland by fostering a climate in which participants in the marketplace succeed or fail on the strength of their ingenuity, talent, skills and effort, and not by means of their agreements with competitors to circumvent the competitive process of their success in choking off competition for the purpose of securing a monopoly position; to assure that, whenever possible, the market forces of supply and demand are the primary determinants of price, quality, selection and innovation; and to safeguard the integrity of the state's procurement process.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle all antitrust matters.

Objective 1.1 Handle all antitrust matters for beneficial outcome for the State of Maryland and its citizens.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Investigations, Inquiries and Advice	629	802	700	700
Enforcement Actions	17	16	17	17
Parens Patriae	3	4	4	4
Other Civil	14	12	13	13
Criminal	0	0	0	0
Antitrust Defense	3	2	2	1
Amicus Briefs	2	1	1	2
Debarments	44	49	50	50
Energy Overcharge Actions	47	47	47	47
Funds Recovered for State (in thousands)	\$927	\$632	\$992	\$500
Funds Recovered for Maryland subdivisions				
(in thousands)	0	\$175	0	0
Funds Recovered for Consumers (in thousands)	\$812	\$1,625	\$2,700	\$500

Goal 2. Enhance enforcement capabilities.

Objective 2.1 Enhance our enforcement capabilities and investigations to better protect the public.

Measure Track multi-state cases, track when we took leadership role and track benefit to Maryland. Monitor participation in National Association of Attorney Generals activities.

C81C00.06 ANTITRUST DIVISION

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	11.00	9.00	9.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	785,100	814,890	757,623
04 Travel	6,786 52,017 388 2,821	11,200 67,289 4,000	11,200 72,000 4,000
13 Fixed Charges	107,908	4,585	57,365
Total Operating Expenses	169,920	87,074	144,565
Total Expenditure	955,020	901,964	902,188
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	1,028,320 -71,000	901,964	
Total General Fund Appropriation	957,320 2,300	901,964	
Net General Fund Expenditure	955,020	901,964	902,188

C81C00.09 MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT

MISSION

The Maryland Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (hereinafter the "MFCU") of the Office of the Attorney General investigates and prosecutes instances of Medicaid provider fraud and the abuse and neglect of vulnerable adults. The MFCU has statewide authority to prosecute such cases and utilizes the grand juries of the various counties to this end. The MFCU serves the people of Maryland through the enforcement actions it undertakes. The MFCU seeks to deter the criminal behavior that comes under its purview by bringing enforcement actions, seeking restitution where appropriate, and by seeking appropriate sentences for those individuals or entities that violate the laws pertaining to fraud and abuse and neglect. The MFCU also advises the Attorney General and his constituents, as well as the Medicaid program, on Medicaid fraud matters and policy issues surrounding the abuse and neglect of vulnerable adults.

VISION

The vision of the MFCU is to effectively protect the integrity of the Medicaid program in Maryland and to reduce or eliminate instances of fraud. It is further our vision that all vulnerable adults in Maryland be treated with the dignity and respect they deserve, free from physical abuse. It is further our vision that vulnerable adults in Maryland receive proper and sufficient care and assistance in fulfilling their physical needs.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle all Medicaid Fraud Control Unit matters.

Objective 1.1 Handle all MFCU matters to protect the integrity of the Medicaid program and to help protect vulnerable adults.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Cases Pending Beginning of Year	48	36	50	47
New Cases	24	43	30	36
Total	72	79	80	83
Investigations Completed	35	30	33	36
Pending End of Fiscal Year	36	50	47	47
Fraud Complaints	22	33	24	24
Patient Abuse Complaints	408	558	400	400
Indictments	15	19	20	20
Civil Settlements	2	8	1	2
Fines, Collections, Restitution and/or overpayments	\$1,404,883	\$2,195,437	\$1,500,000	\$1,600,000

Goal 2. Keep improving the administration of the Medicaid program.

Objective 2.1 Reduce incidents of fraud and abuse.

Strategy The MFCU has also maintained regular communication with the Medicaid program. The MFCU has had meetings to discuss fraud and abuse issues and to share information. In past year meetings with DHMH personnel were held on the following dates: 7/9/01, 7/11/01, 8/8/01, 9/5/05, 9/21/01, 10/29/01, 11/5/01, 1/6/02, 1/25/02, 2/21/02, 4/18/02, and 5/8/02. In addition, we maintain regular, on-going phone contacts with program personnel seeking information regarding potential fraud issues.

Goal 3. Help protect vulnerable adults.

Objective 3.1 Raise the awareness of the citizens of Maryland regarding the issues of the abuse and neglect of vulnerable adults.

Strategy The MFCU has maintained regular communication with the Medicaid program through regular meetings to discuss fraud and abuse issues and to share information. In past year meetings with DHMH personnel were held on the following dates: 7/9/01, 7/11/01, 8/8/01, 9/5/01, 9/21/01, 10/29/01, 11/5/01, 1/6/02, 1/25/02, 2/21/02, 4/18/02, and 5/8/02. In addition, we maintain regular, on-going phone contacts with program personnel seeking information regarding potential fraud issues.

Measure During the past year the Unit received 542 abuse referrals. We also produce a monthly report of cases that are unresolved. These are cases that are under active investigation or which require further review.

C81C00.09 MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	22.00	21.00	21.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,205,994	1,408,078	1,369,141
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges Total Operating Expenses	4,484 14,893 21,895 23,700 3,677 9,334 267,763 147,926 493,672	500 8,500 17,585 81,500 9,500 5,500 322,128 150,252 595,465	500 8,500 17,987 85,500 9,500 5,500 287,583 153,272 568,342
Total Expenditure	457,623 33,022 424,601	499,903	502,272
Federal Fund Expenditure Total Expenditure	1,275,065	1,503,640 2,003,543	1,435,211
Federal Fund Income: 93.775 State Medicaid Fraud Control Units	1,275,065	1,503,640	1,435,211

C81C00.14 CIVIL LITIGATION DIVISION

MISSION

To ensure that its attorneys, and all attorneys in the Office of the Attorney General who litigate provide uniformly high quality litigation services to our clients, Maryland's state agencies, officers and employees, by:

- 1) Litigating those cases most important to the core functions and governmental policies of the State of Maryland, and to the rights and interests of its citizens, or that are of a complexity and size that require special expertise, resources, or attention:
- 2) Assisting other OAG units litigate cases, when those cases are of special interest to their clients or their clients' policies, or when the unit needs additional resources to litigate the case;
- Providing quality control to trial litigation throughout the Office, through consultation, advice, recommendations on staffing, response to request for assistance, reporting requirements, and training;
- Providing quality control to appellate litigation throughout the Office, through mandatory brief review, moot courts, and training.

VISION

That the Office of the Attorney General will provide civil litigation services of the highest quality to every State agency, officer and employee that qualifies for representation.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle Civil Litigation Division matters.

Objective 1.1 Handle Civil Litigation Division matters to obtain most beneficial outcome to the State of Maryland.

Measure The Division is handling 183 active litigation matters.

Goal 2. Uphold State Constitution, laws and policies.

Objective 2.1 Maintain high quality litigation services.

The Division has issued 175 advice/approvals to attorneys assigned to other divisions. Measures

The Division has reviewed 442 briefs. The Division has helped maintain high quality litigation services

throughout the office.

C81C00.14 CIVIL LITIGATION DIVISION

Appropriation Statement:			
	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	20.00	20.00	20.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,490,888	1,542,487	1,626,862
02 Technical and Special Fees	23,600		
03 Communication. 04 Travel	2,367 9,532 258,405	16,800 977,200 4,800	9,500 17,200 4,800
13 Fixed Charges	104,138	212,047	211,251
Total Operating Expenses	375,928	1,210,847	242,751
Total Expenditure	1,890,416	2,753,334	1,869,613
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	1,588,312 54,316	1,640,180	
Total General Fund Appropriation	1,642,628 3,498	1,640,180	
Net General Fund Expenditure	1,639,130 100,000 151,286	1,640,180 950,000 163,154	1,743,902
Total Expenditure	1,890,416	<u>2,753,334</u>	1,869,613
Special Fund Income: SWF305 Cigarette Restitution Fund SWF307 Dedicated Purpose Fund	100,000	100,000 850,000	
Total	100,000	950,000	
Reimbursable Fund Income: D15A05 Executive Department-Boards, Commissions and Offices	151,286	163,154	125,711

C81C00.15 CRIMINAL APPEALS DIVISION

MISSION

The mission of the Criminal Appeals Division is to faithfully and competently represent the State of Maryland in all criminal matters in the appellate courts of the State and in the federal courts at all levels, including the United States District Court, the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court. The Division also offers advice, counsel, and training to the 24 local State's Attorney's Offices in the State as well as to other State agencies. It is also the mission of the Division to offer its criminal law expertise in the areas of policy and legislation on behalf of the Office.

VISION

The vision of the Division is one where the citizens of Maryland may live as safe and crime-free as possible, where criminal convictions are upheld and dangerous individuals are isolated from the general public. The Division also would like to see criminal prosecutions be fair and just, to ensure that the guilty are convicted and the innocent are set free.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Goal 1. To competently and efficiently handle all matters assigned to the Division.

Objective 1.1 To have all briefs and major pleadings reviewed by a senior member of the Division prior to its filing in court.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Federal Cases Litigated	118	141	150	150
State Cases Litigated	943	944	1,000	1,000

Goal 2. To represent the State in criminal appeals.

Objective 2.1 To achieve the highest level of affirmances in criminal convictions.

Measure Track cases and their outcome.

Cases initiated in the State appellate courts - 958 dispositions from cases the Division handled. Successful in 834 of those cases (87% success rate). Successful cases included those where the defendant's assertions were rejected entirely or only a part of the case was reversed. The breakdown is set out below.

Court of Appeals of Maryland - 42 decisions in criminal cases. Successful in 23 cases (55% success rate).

Court of Special Appeals - 596 opinions in criminal cases. Successful in 493 cases (83% success rate). The Court also disposed of 302 applications for leave to appeal. Successful in 300 (a 99% success rate). Combining the two categories, cases in which briefs were filed and applications for leave to appeal, there were 898 cases. The State was successful in 793 cases (88% success rate).

Supreme Court - 18 direct appeal cases that were petitioned by defendants to the United States Supreme Court were denied (100% success rate).

Federal Habeas Cases - 99% success rate.

C81C00.15 CRIMINAL APPEALS DIVISION (Continued)

Goal 3. To help improve the quality of criminal justice throughout Maryland.

Objective 3.1 Provide training to Maryland State's Attorneys and others on an ongoing basis.

Measure

The Division conducted the following training programs and presentations:

- 1. Annual Conference for the Maryland State's Attorneys' Association Presentation.
- 2. Maryland State Bar Association participation in panel discussion on appellate practice.
- 3. Legal Counsel to the Maryland Chiefs of Police Presentation.
- 4. Montgomery County State's Attorney's Office Presentation.
- 5. National Association for Attorneys General Conference moderated and participated in panel discussions.
- 6. The Division provides telephone advice to the State's Attorneys Offices on an ongoing basis.
- 7. The Division provides advice and counsel to other State agencies on an ongoing basis.
- 8. The Division has provided educational outlines on the Criminal Law Section Council's web site.

C81C00.15 CRIMINAL APPEALS DIVISION

	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	20.00	20.00	20.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00		
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,584,216	1,553,972	1,614,360
02 Technical and Special Fees	19,780		
04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 11 Equipment—Additional	7,666 -125 53	3,700 500 1,800	3,700 500 1,800
13 Fixed Charges	189,551	191,813	190,815
Total Operating Expenses	197,145	197,813	196,815
Total Expenditure	1,801,141	1,751,785	1,811,175
Original General Fund Appropriation	1,711,543 147,000	1,751,785	
Total General Fund Appropriation	1,858,543 57,402	1,751,785	
Net General Fund Expenditure	1,801,141	1,751,785	1,811,175

C81C00.16 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION

MISSION

The mission of the Criminal Investigation Division (CID) is to analyze, investigate and prosecute conduct which violates Maryland's criminal statutes, with particular emphasis on fraud within or affecting State government, white collar crime, health care fraud, firearms offenses, and multi-county criminal behavior. Our jurisdiction is statewide and our authority to act is at the specific request of the Governor, in accordance with Article 5, Section 3 of the Maryland Constitution.

The Criminal Investigation Division also advises the Attorney General and his constituents on criminal enforcement matters as well as on policy involving crime, criminal justice and law enforcement.

VISION

To identify correctly and prosecute those persons and entities whose criminal behavior jeopardizes the quality of life, government and commerce in Maryland, in such a way that not only punishes the wrongdoers, but also deters future criminal conduct and educates the public.

To serve as a meaningful partner with local, State and federal prosecutors and law enforcement agencies, so as to coordinate and maximize delivery of appropriate criminal justice services to the population of the State.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle Criminal Investigation Division matters.

Objective 1.1 Handle CID matters for most effective investigative and prosecutorial service.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Cases Litigated:				
Maryland Court of Special Appeals	0	0	0	0
Maryland Trial Courts	198	235	230	231
Investigations Conducted	848	1127	1100	1100
Investigations Conducted Litigation Pending	154	162	160	160
Investigations Conducted not Resulting in				
Cases in Litigation	240	400	300	300

Goal 2. Enforce criminal handgun control laws.

Objective 2.1 Identify prosecutable cases and filings of charges.

Measures	2001 Actual	2002 Actual
The Division tracked the following:		
Referrals	29	
Opened for Investigation		10
Charged	6	
Case Assessment Time in weeks for each referral	<4	

C81C00.16 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION (Continued)

Goal 3. Enforce procurement fraud related criminal law.

Objective 3.1 Identify significant instances of procurement fraud and theft from State programs, with a view towards not only punishing the wrongdoers but also improving the procurement process as well as internal accounting controls.

Measures There were 49 referrals made to the Division, and case assessments were completed in less than four (4) weeks from the date of referral.

There were 15 investigation referrals accepted by the Division in which investigation files were opened.

	2001	2002	
	Actual	Actual	
The Division tracked the following:			
Litigation Pending	4		
No Litigation Resulting	1		
Charges Filed		1	
Convictions	0		

Goal 4. Help protect vulnerable adults from financial exploitations.

Objective 4.1 Target financial exploitation of vulnerable adults.

Measures There were 61 referrals made to the Division, and the time between referral and either acceptance or declination of the referral was less than four (4) weeks.

There was one (1) criminal charge filed, with four (4) convictions. Three (3) of the convictions were on charges that were filed prior to FY 02.

	2001	2002
	Actual	Actual
The Division tracked the following:		
Investigations		17
Litigation Pending	14	
No Litigation Resulting	4	

C81C00.16 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DIVISION

Total

Appropriation Statement:	2002	2003	2004
	Actual	Appropriation	Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	17.00	16.00	16.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,003,263	1,218,643	1,189,769
03 Communication 04 Travel	140 8,702 1,968 63 1,114 124,249	12,400 7,000 2,000 125,876	12,400 7,000 2,000 124,401 145,801
Total Expenditure	1,139,499	1,365,919	1,335,570
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	1,356,846 -261,000	1,277,045	
Total General Fund Appropriation	1,095,846 46,892	1,277,045	
Net General Fund ExpenditureReimbursable Fund Expenditure	1,048,954 90,545	1,277,045 88,874	1,204,931 130,639
Total Expenditure	1,139,499	1,365,919	1,335,570
Reimbursable Fund Income: C81901 Maryland State Police Gun Grant D15A05 Executive Department-Boards, Commissions and Offices	90,545	88,874	50,246 80,393

90,545

88,874

130,639

C81C00.17 EDUCATIONAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

MISSION

The Educational Affairs Division is the legal advisor to all State higher education institutions (University System of Maryland, Morgan State University, St. Mary's College of Maryland, Baltimore City Community College), as well as the Maryland Higher Education Commission, Maryland Public Television, the Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services System, the Historic St. Mary's City Commission, and the Maryland Prepaid College Trust.

VISION

The Division seeks to be a constructive contributor to the successful implementation of our clients' respective missions, and to ensure that such implementation is carried out in a manner that best serves the public interest.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. To help ensure consistent advice to clients.

Objective 1.1 To enhance communications between Division attorneys and agency-based attorneys.

Goal 2. Continue to implement new collective bargaining legislation, as clients move from election phase to negotiating phase.

Objective 2.1 Assure the smoothest possible implementation of new collective bargaining legislation, effective fiscal year

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Federal Cases	40	32	37	35
State Cases	89	98	100	100
Administrative Proceedings	80	80	75	80
Advice Letters	525	510	510	515
Contracts Drafted/Reviewed	500	500	500	505
Policies Drafter/Reviewed	125	130	120	125
Legislation Drafted/Reviewed	45	60	50	55

C81C00.17 EDUCATIONAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	570,420	577,741	630,257
04 Travel	4,302 -758 65 4,555	3,000 500 4,000	3,000 500 4,000
13 Fixed Charges	47,499	50,251	49,462
Total Operating Expenses	55,663	57,751	56,962
Total Expenditure	626,083	635,492	687,219
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	623,461 25,000	635,492	
Total General Fund Appropriation	648,461 22,378	635,492	
Net General Fund Expenditure	626,083	635,492	687,219

C81C00.18 CORRECTIONAL LITIGATION DIVISION

MISSION

The Mission of the Correctional Litigation Division is to:

- Provide legal representation to eligible state correctional institutions, officials and personnel, as mandated by the State Government Article, in defense of civil actions brought by inmates for alleged violations of civil constitutional rights or claims arising out of their incarcerations;
- Provide advice, information and training to state corrections officials and personnel relating to the avoidance and defense of inmate litigation;
- Minimize the liability of state corrections officials and personnel in inmate litigation;
- Reduce the amount of inmate litigation; and
- Carry out these tasks in compliance with the Canons of Professional Responsibility.

VISION

The vision of the Correctional Litigation Division is to promote a correctional and legal environment in Maryland in which correctional officials and personnel conduct their mission without fear of inmate suits and where those inmate lawsuits that are brought are resolved expeditiously and without improper interference with the work of the State's correctional system.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Handle Correctional Litigation matters.

Objective 1.1 Handle Correctional Litigation matters for the most beneficial outcome to the State.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Federal Courts:				
U.S. Supreme Court	3	2	2	2
U.S. Court of Appeals	69	80	80	80
U.S. District Court	332	320	320	320
Class Actions	1	2	2	2
State Courts:				
Maryland Court of Appeals	2	0	0	0
Maryland Court of Special Appeals	. 7	6	6	6
Circuit Courts of Maryland	48	28	20	20
District Courts of Maryland	24	19	20	20
Health Claims Arbitration Office	0	3	2	2
Administrative Hearings	2	7	7	7

Goal 2. Provide efficient legal representation to state correctional officials and personnel.

Objective 2.1 Minimize the fiscal impact of inmate litigation on the State and minimize the disruption caused by inmate litigation to the State's correctional system.

C81C00.18 CORRECTIONAL LITIGATION DIVISION

2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
6.00	6.00	6.00
424,380	387,637	415,480
525 66,304	800 800 500 66,988	800 800 500 66,396
66,829	69,088	68,496
491,209	456,725	483,976
437,897 69,000	456,725	***************************************
506,897 15,688	456,725	
491,209	456,725	483,976
	6.00 424,380 525 66,304 66,829 491,209 437,897 69,000 506,897 15,688	Actual Appropriation 6.00 6.00 424,380 387,637 525 800 800 500 66,304 66,988 66,829 69,088 491,209 456,725 437,897 456,725 506,897 456,725 15,688 456,725

C81C00.20 CONTRACT LITIGATION DIVISION

MISSION

The Contract Litigation Unit represents the Departments of General Services and Transportation and the University System of Maryland before the Maryland State Board of Contract Appeals and in the courts of the State in litigation arising out of contract formation disputes and contract disputes. The Unit also provides advice upon request regarding a variety of procurement and contract issues, such as structuring procurement solicitations, drafting contract provisions and procurement regulations, administering contracts, and properly formulating State claims and responses to contractor claims.

VISION

Through litigation or settlement, assist our client agencies to resolve contract disputes, at values that are fair to the contractors and the State, in a manner that promotes the letter and spirit of the Procurement Law.

Assessment of the fair value of a monetary contract claim is one of the most difficult aspects of contract litigation. Despite its extreme difficulty, our vision is to be able to reasonably forecast fair value as early as is reasonably possible in the litigation, to the ideal end that the litigation will be resolved for approximately the value that we forecast.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. To handle contract disputes.

Objective 1.1 To resolve each case for an amount that is within 25 percent of our most recent assessment of case value.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Settlements	*	*	*	25%
Fully litigated cases	*	*	*	25%

Note: Measure is new for FY 2004; therefore, there is no prior year data.

Goal 2. Respond to client agency requests for procurement and contract advice.

Objective 2.1 Respond to requests for advice in a manner that appropriately assists the agency to effectively resolve its issues.

Measure Monitor effectiveness of advice through client input.

C81C00.20 CONTRACT LITIGATION DIVISION

Total

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	17.00	16.00	16.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,208,779	1,286,511	1,241,468
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	2,037 2,416 19,440 106,989 1,325 21,773 152,804	2,000 13,500 19,000 257,650 19,400	2,000 13,500 19,440 257,650 19,400
Total Operating Expenses	306,784	473,200	481,371
Total Expenditure	1,515,563	1,759,711	1,722,839
Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	1,515,563	1,759,711	1,722,839
Reimbursable Fund Income: H00A01 Department of General Services	425,382 863,481 226,700	566,328 962,736 230,647	541,542 940,818 240,479

226,700 1,515,563

1,759,711

1,722,839

C82D00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of the State Prosecutor (OSP) is an independent agency within the executive branch. The State Prosecutor investigates and, where warranted, prosecutes, criminal offenses affecting the honesty and integrity of our governmental officials and institutions and the electoral process. Specifically, the State Prosecutor is authorized to investigate and prosecute criminal offenses under the State election laws and public ethics laws, as well as the bribery laws and offenses constituting criminal malfeasance, misfeasance or nonfeasance in office. These investigations are conducted either upon the initiative of OSP or upon the request of the Governor, the Attorney General, the General Assembly, the State Ethics Commission, or a State's Attorney. In addition, upon the request of the Governor, the Attorney General, the General Assembly, the State Ethics Commission, or a State's Attorney, the State Prosecutor may investigate and prosecute any offense, which takes place in more than one county within the State or in more than one State including Maryland.

MISSION

The mission of OSP is to increase public confidence in, and ensure the honesty and integrity of State government and elections by conducting thorough, independent investigations and when appropriate, prosecutions, of allegations of criminal conduct affecting the integrity of our State and local government institutions, officials, employees and elections.

VISION

The vision of the State Prosecutor is a State in which citizens can have confidence in the honesty and integrity of their government and electoral processes, and are confident that any allegations of corruption will be thoroughly and independently investigated and prosecuted, if necessary.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. For each of the three types of complaints, the Office of the State Prosecutor's investigation shall result in the appropriate legal disposition.

Objective 1.1 In Fiscal Year 2004, 85% percent of the investigations shall achieve an appropriate disposition.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Total number of complaints				
Corruption complaints	42	32	30	30
Election law complaints	161	146	120	120
Other complaints	10	11	10	10
Outputs: Total number of complaints closed				
Corruption complaints	36	32	25	25
Election law complaints	144	117	101	101
Other complaints	14	10	10	10
Outcome: Percent of investigations that result in an appropriate				
legal disposition	91%	84%	85%	85%
Types of Dispositions				
Corruption				
No action	0	0		1
Fails to meet ethical and/or legal requirements				
to be an Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	27	11		I
Referral	3	1		1
Meets ethical and/or legal requirements to be an				
Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	1	19		1
Prosecutorial discretion	5	1		1
Charged	0	0		1
Inappropriate Disposition	0	1		1

C82D00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Types of Dispositions				
Election				
No action	0	7		1
Fails to meet ethical and/or legal requirements				
to be an Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	14	59		1
Referral	0	0		1
Meets ethical and/or legal requirements to be an				
Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	90	40		1
Prosecutorial discretion	34	8		1
Charged	6	3		1
Inappropriate Disposition	0	1		
Other				
No action	5	0		1
Fails to meet ethical and/or legal requirements				
to be an Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	7	8		I
Referral	0	1		I
Meets ethical and/or legal requirements to be an				
Office of the State Prosecutor investigation	1	0		1
Prosecutorial discretion	0	1		,
Charged	1	0		1
Inappropriate Disposition	0	0		1

Note: 1 Values not estimated due to variability of disposition types.

Goal 2. For each of the three types of complaints, a timely completion rate has been established to determine whether or not such complaints were processed in a timely manner.

Objective 2.1 In Fiscal Year 2004, 95% of corruption complaints that are closed during Fiscal Year 2004 shall be closed within the 1-year timely completion rate that has been established.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of corruption complaints received	42	32	30	30
Outputs: Number of corruption complaints closed	36	32	25	25
Quality: Number of corruption complaints that				
were closed in a timely fashion	36	26	24	24
Percent of corruption complaints				
that were closed in a timely fashion	100%	81%	95%	95%

Objective 2.2 In Fiscal Year 2004, 95% of election law complaints that are closed during Fiscal Year 2004 shall be closed within the 6-month timely completion rate that has been established.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of election law complaints received	161	146	100	100
Outputs: Number of election law complaints closed	146	117	100	100
Quality: Number of election law complaints that				
were closed in a timely fashion	117	112	95	95
Percent of election law complaints				
that were closed in a timely fashion	81%	96%	95%	95%

C82D00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

Objective 2.3 In Fiscal Year 2004, 75% of other complaints that are closed during Fiscal Year 2004 shall be closed within the 1-year timely completion rate that has been established.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of other complaints received	10	11	10	10
Outputs: Number of other complaints closed	14	10	10	10
Quality: Number of other complaints that				
were closed in a timely fashion	14	10	10	10
Percent of other complaints				
that were closed in a timely fashion	100%	100%	100%	100%

Goal 3. For judicial dispositions, a satisfactory conclusion rate of 75% has been established.

Objective 3.1 In Fiscal Year 2004, 75% of all judicial dispositions shall have a satisfactory conclusion.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of persons charged	23	17	15	15
Outputs: Number of defendants whose cases				
reached a judicial disposition	7	17	15	15
Outcome: Number of judicial dispositions that				
attained an appropriate conclusion	7	17	11	11
Percent of judicial dispositions				
that attained an appropriate conclusion	100%	100%	75%	75%

C82D00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation Statements	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00
Number of Contractual Positions	2.00	2.00	2.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	604,542	633,707	644,384
02 Technical and Special Fees	24,767	56,532	58,567
03 Communication 04 Travel	26,665 3,619 11,198 150,418 20,445 3,000 26,000 42,930 284,275	11,899 10,700 1,174 104,061 43,400 63,720 234,954	76,513 203,161
Total Expenditure	913,584	925,193	906,112
Total General Fund AppropriationLess: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	916,584 3,000	925,193	****
Net General Fund Expenditure	913,584	925,193	906,112

MARYLAND TAX COURT

C85E00.01 ADMINISTRATION AND APPEALS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Maryland Tax Court has jurisdiction to hear appeals from the decision, determination or order of any final assessing or taxing authority of the State, or of any agency, department or political subdivision thereof, and to assess anew, abate, modify, change or alter any valuation, assessment, classification, tax or appealed final order. Appeals concerning State and local taxes are heard by a single judge or a panel of judges. Real property tax appeals may be heard in Baltimore City or within the counties where the appeals arise. All decisions of the Court are subject to appeal.

MISSION

The Tax Court, an independent unit of State government, provides both the taxpayer and the taxing authority with the ability to appeal and obtain a fair and efficient hearing of a final decision, determination or order from any other unit of State or Local government regarding any tax issue.

VISION

A State in which all taxpayers are provided with highest quality tax dispute resolutions system.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. The efficient processing of appeals.

Objective 1.1 For the year 2003 and beyond, the average time for an appeal to be opened, heard and closed shall be 8 months (240 days) or less.

(2 10 44) 07 1000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of appeals (cases) filed from taxing				
authorities to the Tax Court in a fiscal year	678	800	880	880
Outputs: Number of appeals (cases) disposed of by the				
Tax Court	834	746	950	950
Quality: Number of efficiency complaints	35	30	25	15
Citizen Survey Rating	Above	Above	Excellent	Excellent
	Average	Average		
Outcomes: Percentage of appeals (cases) opened and				
closed within 8 months (Benchmark: 90% w/in 12 months				
for non-jury civil trial)*	62%	70%	75%	80%
Efficiency: Number of appeals (cases) pending at end				
of fiscal year	655	709	639	569
Median time(days) between opening and closing				
of appeals (cases)	234	203	180	180
Clearance Rate (number of cases disposed/total filed)				
(Benchmark: 90%)*	123%	93%	108%	108%

^{*} Benchmarks provided by National Center of State Courts Report, <u>Examining the Work of State Courts</u>, <u>2001</u> and by the Joint Report of the American Bar Association, the Conference of State Court Administrators and the Conference of Chief Justices, <u>Trial Court Performance</u> Standards & Measurement System, 2001.

MARYLAND TAX COURT

C85E00.01 ADMINISTRATION AND APPEALS (Continued)

Goal 2. To provide fair and consistent decisions.

Objective 2.1 For the year 2003 and beyond, the Tax Court will further ensure and attempt to measure its consistent application of the law, policy and procedure.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Quality: Number of fairness complaints	9	7	5	5
Citizen Survey Rating	Above	Above	Excellent	Excellent
	Average	Average		
Outcomes: Number of Maryland Tax Court decisions				
appealed to the Circuit Court	21	20	20	20
Percentage of affirmations				
by the Appellate Courts	87%	N/A ^a	95%	95%

Goal 3. To ensure access to Maryland Tax Court decisions.

Objective 3.1 For the year 2003 and beyond, the Tax Court will maintain, enhance and use an Internet homepage for the provision of information, rules of procedure, opinions and forms to its customers.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcomes: Number of visitors to Internet website	324,119	312,105	340,000	350,000

Goal 4. To enhance customer service through courteous staff assistance.

Objective 4.1 For the year 2003 and beyond, the Tax Court will maintain an excellent rating for the service provided by its staff.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Quality: Number of service complaints	8	6	4	0
Citizen Survey Rating	Above	Above	Excellent	Excellent
	Average	Average		

Note: a Due to lag time at appellate level, incomplete data available for prior fiscal year

MARYLAND TAX COURT

C85E00.01 ADMINISTRATION AND APPEALS

Appropriation Statement:

Appropriation Detection.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00
Number of Contractual Positions	.60	.60	.60
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	478,512	487,187	497,296
02 Technical and Special Fees	15,935	15,659	16,813
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges	5,224 1,855 11,305 6,620 4,826 7,189 3,764	8,120 4,100 12,311 9,500 400 1,600 1,149	9,349 1,855 12,475 7,620 1,000 985
Total Operating Expenses Total Expenditure	535,230	37,180 540,026	33,284 547,393
Total General Fund Appropriation Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	547,650 12,420	540,026	
Net General Fund Expenditure	535,230	540,026	547,393

The Public Service Commission of Maryland was established as an independent unit of the Executive Branch of State government. As such, the Commission must be responsive to the legitimate budgetary oversight responsibilities of the Governor and the General Assembly. The Commission is also charged with quasi-judicial responsibilities. These require the Commission to conduct fair hearings and to make decisions based upon the record.

The goals, objectives and performance measures contained in the document are provided in response to those budgetary oversight responsibilities and will be incorporated into the Commission's performance measurement system. However, decisions in rulemaking and adjudicatory proceedings will continue to be based upon the record in each proceeding, pursuant to the requirements of the Public Utility Companies Article.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Public Service Commission is to promote adequate, safe, reliable, and economic delivery of services to Maryland consumers by companies subject to the Commission's statutory mandates. We accomplish this by ensuring just and reasonable rates, supervising, monitoring, and regulating all public service companies, educating the public about utility issues, and promoting competition where appropriate.

We conduct proceedings in an open, fair, and nondiscriminatory manner balancing the interests of consumers, utilities, businesses, and other affected parties. In our decisions we consider public safety, the economy of the State, the conservation of natural resources, and the preservation of environmental quality.

We are committed to continuing to build an organization marked by teamwork, accountability, innovation, and diversity. We recruit, develop, and retain quality personnel by providing good working conditions, effective leadership, and the opportunity for personal and professional development.

VISION

Our vision is a State in which all public utility services are safe, reliable, and economic as well as a State in which consumers are well informed about those services.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Ensure that public service companies deliver reliable services.

Objective 1.1 The major electric utilities will have an annual System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)¹ equal to or better than the industry average.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Number of SAIDI filings evaluated	5	5	6	6
Outcome: Number of annual SAIDI indices less than				
industry average	1	3	6	6

¹SAIDI is the average time customers are interrupted. It is calculated by dividing the total number of customers served into the sum of customer interruption hours.

Objective 1.2 The major electric utilities will have an annual System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)² equal to or better than the industry average.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Number of SAIFI filings evaluated	5	5	6	6
Outcome: Number of annual SAIFI indices less than				
industry average SAIFI	2	3	6	6

Goal 2. Ensure that public service companies engage in safe practices.

Objective 2.1 Decrease by 10% the number of natural gas-reportable incidents attributable to safety violations by fiscal year 2005.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of natural gas-reportable incidents	1	1	5	5
Output: Number of investigations conducted	1	1	10	10
Outcome: Number of natural gas-reportable incidents				
attributable to safety violations	0	0	2	2

Objective 2.2 Annually maintain a zero fatality rate from incidents attributable to safety violations by regulated natural gas and electric utilities.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of fatality reports reviewed	5	4	4	3
Number of fatality reports investigated	1	4	2	2
Outcome: Number of fatalities attributable to safety				
violations	0	0	0	0

Objective 2.3 Annually maintain a zero rate of reportable physical injuries attributable to safety violations by regulated gas and electric utilities.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of injury reports reviewed	8	5	8	8
Number of injury reports investigated	2	1	4	2
Outcome: Percent of physical injuries attributed to safety				
violations by regulated natural gas & electric utilities	0	0	0	0

Goal 3. Ensure that rates for public utility services are just and reasonable.

Objective 3.1 Annually maintain the average rates charged for electric service at or below the regional average.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of electric utilities providing service in MD	14	14	14	14
Number of electric utilities providing service in				
surrounding states	5	5	5	5
Outputs: Average cost per kilowatt hour charged by MD				
utilities in cents	5.9¢	*	*	*
Average cost per kilowatt hour charged by utilities in				
surrounding states in cents	7.18¢	*	*	*
Outcome: Average percent of rates charged for electric				
service compared to the regional average	-18%	*	*	*

²SAIFI is the average frequency of sustained interruptions per customer. It is mathematically equal to the sum of customer interruptions divided by total number of customers served.

Objective 3.2 Annually maintain 95% household telephone penetration rate in Maryland.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of households in MD	1,975,815	1,980,859	1,980,859	1,980,859
Outputs: Number of households in MD with phone service	1,877,024	1,901,625	1,881,816	1,881,816
Outcome: Percent of telephone penetration rate in MD	95%	96%	95%	95%

Objective 3.3 Annually maintain the average rates charged for natural gas service at or below the regional average.

Performance Measures	2001 Actual	2002 Actual	2003 Estimated	2004 Estimated
Inputs: Number of natural gas utilities in MD	7	7	7	7
Outputs: Average cost of natural gas charged by MD				
utilities in cents	91.2¢	*	*	*
Average cost of natural gas charged by utilities in surrounding states in cents	83.7¢	*	*	*
Outcome: Average percent of rates charged for natural gas in MD compared to regional average	+9%	*	*	*

Objective 3.5 Annually all regulated investor-owned natural gas, electric, and telephone companies in Maryland will maintain at least a BB bond rating.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Bond ratings of utilities	Baa-Al	Baa-Al	Baa-Al	Baa-Al
Number of utilities	7	7	7	7
Output: Number of utilities with at least a BB bond rating	7	7	7	7
Outcome: Percent of utilities with at least a BB bond rating	100%	100%	100%	100%

Goal 4. Conduct open and fair proceedings and render timely decisions in accordance with statutory mandates and applicable law.

Objective 4.1 Annually 100% of Commission orders will be upheld on judicial review.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of cases	394	599	600	600
Outputs: Number of decisions rendered	783	422	450	450
Quality: Percent of orders reversed or remanded	0%	0%	0%	0%

Objective 4.2 Annually 80% of contested matters (e.g., disputed cases) will be completed within 90 days from close of record.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of cases	394	599	400	400
Outputs: Number of decisions rendered	783	422	800	800
Efficiency: Percent of contested matters completed within				
90 days from close of record	*	*	*	*

Note: * Data not available

Objective 4.3 Annually complete 90% of ministerial matters (e.g., letter orders, uncontested filings) and staff comments on utility filings within 30 days.³

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of administrative agenda items	1,111	807	950	950
Number of official filings	5,387	5,315	5,000	5,000
Number of items w/ 30-day deadline				
Outputs: Number of letter orders issued	1,111	805	950	950
Number of cases decided	*	*	*	*
Number of items meeting the 30-day deadline	332	175	470	470
Efficiency: Percent of ministerial matters & staff comments				
on utility filings completed within 30 days	46%	48%	85%	85%

Goal 5. Ensure that all Maryland consumers are educated and informed about public service utility services and have adequate consumer protection.

Objective 5.1 By fiscal year 2002, 80% of residential customers will be aware of electric customer choice.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of customers	2,174,889	2,185,902	2,250,000	2,250,000
Amount of advertising expenditures	\$5,626,830	\$2,859,000	\$2,672,000	±
Output: Number of radio, television, and print advertisement	10,517	9,067	9,152	±
Outcome: Percent of customers who have seen, read, or				
heard of electrical customer choice	67%	75%	80%	±

Objective 5.2 Annually resolve 80% of consumer complaints within 60 days.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of complaints	6,741	6,209	8,000	8,000
Outputs: Number of complaints resolved	4,568	4,368	6,000	6,000
Outcome: Percent of consumer complaints resolved within				
60 days	68%	89.8%	75%	75%

Note: * Data not available

± Consumer education program may not be funded in fiscal year 2004

I — 107

³ Section 4-203 Public Utility Companies Article, Annotated Code of Maryland.

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Total N	Number of Authorized Positions	140.00	138.00	142.00
Total N	Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	4.00
Techni	s, Wages and Fringe Benefits cal and Special Fees ing Expenses	8,405,392 216,546 4,330,135	9,062,303 73,225 1,676,825	9,212,974 164,033 3,368,328
	al General Fund Appropriationer/Reduction	9,947,630 143,000		
Total Less:	General Fund Appropriation General Fund Reversion/Reduction	10,090,630 43,474		
	Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Federal Fund Expenditure	10,047,156 2,762,417 42,500	10,472,353	12,745,335
	Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	100,000	340,000	
	Total Expenditure	12,952,073	10,812,353	12,745,335

C90G00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND HEARINGS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Public Service Commission regulates electric, natural gas, water and sewage, telecommunications companies, electric and natural gas suppliers, and passenger-for-hire services. In addition, the Commission establishes pilotage rates and charges. The Commission hears matters about rate adjustments, applications to exercise franchises, approval of issuance of securities, promulgation of rules and regulations, and quality of utility and common carrier service. It also has the authority to issue a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct new generating stations or transmission lines of a certain capacity. It establishes policies, sets priorities, and provides support for operating units to achieve success, and communicates on behalf of the Commission. Support services include legal, fiscal, and budget, personnel, information technology, commutations, and a variety of special projects. The Commission is a Special Fund agency with revenues equivalent to its operating expenses raised through assessments of the public service companies the Commission regulates.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Administrative Division is to promote adequate, safe, reliable, and economic delivery of services to Maryland consumers by companies subject to the Commission's statutory mandates. We accomplish this by ensuring just and reasonable rates, by supervising, monitoring, and regulating all public service companies, by educating the public about utility issues and by promoting competition where appropriate. We also provide policy direction, coordinate and oversee the functions of various technical divisions, and furnish support services to the Commission.

We conduct proceedings in an open, fair, and nondiscriminatory manner balancing the interests of consumers, utilities, businesses, and other affected parties. In our decisions, we consider public safety, the economy of the State, the conservation of natural resources, and the preservation of environmental quality.

We are committed to continuing to build an organization marked by teamwork, accountability, innovation, and diversity. We recruit, develop, and retain quality personnel by providing good working conditions, effective leadership, and the opportunity for personal and professional development.

VISION

Our vision is a State in which all public utility services are just and reasonable, safe, reliable, and economical as well as a State in which consumers are well informed about those services.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Ensure that rates for public utility services are just and reasonable.

Objective 1.1 Assure adequate and fair rates to utilities and customers by having 100% of Commission rate orders upheld on judicial review.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of rate cases	3	3	3	3
Number of cases appealed	0	0	0	0
Quality: Percent of cases upheld on judicial review	100%	100%	66%	66%

Objective 1.2 Annually maintain 95% household telephone penetration rate in Maryland.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of households in MD	1,975,815	1,980,859	1,980,859	1,980,859
Outputs: Number of households in MD with phone service	1,877,024	1,901,625	1,881,816	1,881,816
Outcome: Percent of telephone penetration rate in MD	95%	95%	95%	95%

C90G00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND HEARINGS (Continued)

Goal 2. Ensure that statutory mandates and orders are satisfied in a timely manner.

Objective 2.1 Annually file 100% of all statutorily mandated reports and orders on time.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Efficiency: Percent of statutorily mandated reports filed on				
time	77%	17%	100%	100%

Goal 3. Utilize technology to improve the business operations of the Commission.

Objective 3.1 Annually achieve 90% customer satisfaction with information technology (IT) services.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of requests for IT services	1,860	1,219	*	*
Outputs: Number of customized programs developed by IT				
staff	16	41	21	21
Number of requests for IT services completed	1,860	1,218	*	*
Quality: Percent of customers surveyed indicating				
satisfaction with IT services	98%	98.5%	98%	98%

Note: * Data not available.

C90G00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND HEARINGS

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	54.00	53.00	61.00
Number of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	3.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	3,627,869	3,778,460	4,065,586
02 Technical and Special Fees	194,401	69,348	139,748
03 Communication 04 Travel	140,558 40,343 83,260 2,856,520 70,674 17,068 18,397 48,470 609,154 3,884,444 7,706,714	127,793 28,458 107,447 179,535 73,200 29,591 49,450 650,099 1,245,573 5,093,381	149,009 40,343 90,613 1,854,096 71,300 24,245 50,603 655,770 2,935,979 7,141,313
Original General Fund Appropriation	4,874,904 83,600 4,958,504 24,290 4,934,214 2,672,500 100,000 7,706,714	4,753,381 340,000 5,093,381	7,141,313 7,141,313
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund SWF307 Dedicated Purpose Fund Total	2,672,500 2,672,500	4,753,381	7,141,313
Reimbursable Fund Income: N00A01 Department of Human Resources	100,000	340,000	

C90G00.02 TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Telecommunications Division provides information and makes recommendations to the Public Service Commission to assist in the regulation of the telecommunications utilities in Maryland.

MISSION

The mission of the Telecommunications Division is to provide high quality and timely advice to the Commission; to assist in the regulation of telecommunications industries in Maryland for the purpose of providing an affordable, reliable, state-of-the-art, telecommunications network infrastructure for the benefit and use of Maryland consumers.

VISION

Our vision is a state in which the consumers have access to an affordable, feature rich, state-of-the-art, telecommunications network.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Ensure that telecommunications companies provide reliable services.

Objective 1.1 Annually 95% of interrupted telecommunications services will be restored to customers within 24 hours.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of major outages reported to Commission	2	3	3	3
Outcome: Percent of interrupted telecommunications				
service restored within 24 hours	50%	66%	66%	66%

Objective 1.2 Annually the major carriers will meet their service objectives for resolving trouble spots, providing timeliness of repair, meeting appointments for installation, answering inquiries to business offices, and maintaining the operability of pay telephones 95% of the time.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Service quality measures submitted by major				
carriers	44	44	44	44
Outcome: Percent of time that major carriers meet their				
service objectives for resolving trouble spots, providing				
timeliness of repair, meeting appointments for				
installation, answering inquiries to business offices, and				
maintaining the operability of pay telephones	93%	93%	93%	93%

Objective 1.3 Annually less than 1% of consumers will report dissatisfaction with the reliability of telecommunications services in Maryland.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcome: Percent of consumers who report dissatisfaction				
with the Reliability of telecommunication services in				
Maryland	0.02%	0.0%	0.02%	0.02%

C90G00.02 TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIVISION (Continued)

Goal 2. Ensure that rates for telecommunication services are just and reasonable.

Objective 2.1 Annually the average cost of telephone services shall be at or below the regional average.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcome: Average cost of telephone services compared to				
the regional average in dollars	\$+2.43	\$+2.43	\$+2.43	\$+2.43

Goal 3: Ensure that the telecommunications industry in Maryland is competitive.

Objective 3.1 Achieve 5% residential market share to new competition by fiscal year 2004.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcome: Percent of residential market share attained by				
new competitors	2%	3%	8%	8%

Goal 4: Expand advanced and broad band services to Maryland consumers.

Objective 4.1 Annually increase the percentage of consumers who are able to obtain broadband services (e.g., highspeed Internet access) to 95% in fiscal year 2005.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcome: Percentage of wirecenters that have broadband				
services available	60%	55%	65%	65%

Goal 5: Provide high quality and timely advice to the Commission on telecommunication issues.

Objective 5.1 Annually the Commission will reject the Division's advice on telecommunication issues once or less.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of cases participated	6	14	5	5
Outcome: Number of decisions in which the Commission				
rejects the Division's advice	1	0	1	1

Objective 5.2 Annually no Commission decisions will be overturned by the courts based on advice from Staff.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of cases participated	6	14	5	5
Quality: Number of Commission decisions overturned by				
the courts that were based on advice of Staff	0	0	0	0

Objective 5.3 Annually maintain the time to process applications to 60 days or less.

Performance Measures	2001 Actual	2002 Actual	2003 Estimated	2004 Estimated
Inputs: Number of new applications	80	80	80	80
Quality: Average time to process telecommunications company applications (days)	80	36	35	35

C90G00.02 TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	8.00	9.00	8.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	525,219	572,853	576,968
04 Travel	4,670 305	11,700 1,895 3,185	4,670 765
Total Operating Expenses	4,975	16,780	5,435
Total Expenditure	530,194	589,633	582,403
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	485,488 45,000		
Total General Fund Appropriation	530,488 294		
Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure	530,194	589,633	582,403
Total Expenditure	530,194	589,633	582,403
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		589,633	582,403

C90G00.03 ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Engineering Investigations Division is responsible for inspecting the physical facilities and operating records of utilities to determine the adequacy, efficiency, and safety of the services provided; makes recommendations on engineering issues before the Public Service Commission; investigates service problems; monitors the heating value of gas and the voltages on electric systems; tests the accuracy of gas, electric and water meters; reviews utility service tariffs; evaluates construction requests for power plants and high voltage transmission lines; and assures compliance with Federal natural gas pipeline safety requirements.

MISSION

The mission of the Engineering Division is to ensure that utilities under the Public Service Commission's jurisdiction provide consumers with safe, adequate, and reliable utility services.

VISION

Our vision is a State in which consumers are provided adequate, safe, and reliable utility services.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Ensure that natural gas and electric utility companies engage in safe practices.

Objective 1.1 Annually maintain a zero rate of reportable physical injuries attributable to safety violations by regulated natural gas and electric utilities through fiscal year 2005.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of injuries reported	8	5	8	8
Outputs: Number of injury reports investigated	2	1	4	2
Outcome: Percent of physical injuries attributed to safety				
violations by regulated natural gas and electric utilities	0	0	0	0

Goal 2. Ensure that public service companies deliver reliable services.

Objective 2.1 Annually have no reportable service interruptions due to insufficient jurisdictional plant maintenance or improper plant operations.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of reportable service interruptions	3	2	9	9
Outputs: Number of reportable service interruptions				
investigated	3	1	7	7
Outcome: Number of reportable service interruptions due to				
insufficient plant maintenance or improper plant				
operations	0	0	0	0

C90G00.03 ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS (Continued)

Goal 3. Ensure that utility systems are adequate to meet customer demand.

Objective 3.1 Annually have no reportable service interruptions due to insufficient jurisdictional plant capacity.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of reportable service interruptions	0	1	3	3
Outcome: Number of reportable service interruptions due				
to insufficient plant capacity	0	0	0	0

Goal 4. Analyze and provide recommendations on all pertinent engineering issues associated with Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) filed with the Commission.

Objective 4.1 For fiscal year 2004, 100% of the Engineering Division's recommendations regarding CPCN applications will be adopted by the Commission.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of CPCN filings assigned to engineering	5	1	6	6
Number of employee-hours to prepare recommendations	54	8	60	60
Outputs: Number of testimonies filed	5	1	6	6
Quality: Percent of Division recommendations				
adopted by the Commission	100%	100%	100%	100%

C90G00.03 ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	11.00	12.00	12.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	762,905	781,661	784,828
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement	230 19,864 9,353 42,500 2,828 2,305	300 22,100 21,017 200 1,120 1,685	300 19,864 23,445 950 1,020
11 Equipment—Additional	695	3,185	770
13 Fixed Charges	759	655	770
Total Operating Expenses	78,534	50,262	46,349
Total Expenditure	841,439	831,923	831,177
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	713,413 85,700		
Total General Fund Appropriation	799,113 174		
Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Federal Fund Expenditure Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	798,939 42,500	831,923	831,177
Total Expenditure	841,439	831,923	831,177
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		831,923	831,177
Federal Fund Income: 20.700 Pipeline Safety	42,500		

C90G00.04 ACCOUNTING INVESTIGATIONS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Accounting Investigations Division audits and assesses the financial performance of public utilities that provide service to the State of Maryland. The Division provides appropriate guidance on a variety of financial issues including the development of utility revenue requirements, financial performance/earnings levels, recovery of fuel costs, cost allocations/standards of conduct, and customer billing. The Division also maintains annual reports for most utilities under the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission.

MISSION

The mission of the Accounting Investigations Division is to provide expert accounting and ratemaking guidance to the Commission on financial and operational issues that affect public service company stakeholders. This is accomplished by assessing, monitoring, and reporting on public service companies' financial conditions, cost allocations, affiliate transactions, revenue requirements, financial reports, and books of accounts.

VISION

Through appropriate monitoring and educational activities, the Commission will be well informed about accounting and financial issues regarding utility companies that provide services in Maryland. This will enable the Commission to make important decisions from which utility stakeholders receive reasonably priced services from financially healthy utilities.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Provide timely expert guidance to the Commission and Technical Staff on accounting related inquiries.

Objective 1.1 Annually 5% or fewer of accounting related bucksheets will require additional information to be submitted to the Commission.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of bucksheets assigned to Accounting				
Division	42	36	40	40
Administrative bucksheet comments processed	42	31	40	40
Efficiency: Average number of days to complete				
bucksheets	12	10	15	15
Quality: Percent of bucksheets returned by Commission	0%	0%	5%	5%
Percent of bucksheets returned by Technical Staff	10%	10%	20%	20%

Objective 1.2 Annually respond to 100% of inquires from the Commission by requested due date.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Responses to Commission inquiries	5	5	5	5
Efficiency: Average number of days to respond to				
inquiries	26	20	14	14
Outcome: Percent of Commission inquiries responded to				
by due date	60%	50%	100%	100%

C90G00.04 ACCOUNTING INVESTIGATIONS (Continued)

Goal 2. Analyze and provide analysis and expert testimony on rate case and fuel cost issues.

Objective 2.1 Annually 5% or fewer of the cases related to accounting issues will be remanded to the Hearing Division by the Commission due to a lack of information on accounting related issues.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of cases assigned to Accounting Division	15	15	20	20
Outputs: Direct testimonies	15	15	20	20
Quality: Percent of cases remanded by the Commission	0%	0%	5%	5%

Goal 3. Monitor and analyze regulated utility results of operations to determine if earned returns are reasonable.

Objective 3.1 Annually 100% of Earned Return Reports filed will be analyzed to determine if earned returns are reasonable.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of Earned Return Reports filed	26	25	26	26
Outputs: Number of Earned Return Analyses	26	0	29	29
Number of Earned Returned Analysis Summaries	2	0	4	4
Outcome: Percent of Earned Return Reports for which a				
reasonableness determination is made	77%	0%	100%	100%

Goal 4. Ensure that affiliate services of public service companies are allocated based on appropriate cost principles.

Objective 4.2 Annually audit actual results of annual cost allocations for all major public service companies required under Order No. 76292 of the Commission in Case No. 8820.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Cost Allocation Manuals	1	10	10	10
Outputs: Cost Allocation Audits	1	1	10	10
Outcome: Percent of required audits completed	100%	10%	100%	100%

Goal 5. Ensure that the Division completes annual fuel audits.

Objective 5.1 Annually perform 100% of required fuel audits.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of fuel audit cases	17	13	13	13
Outputs: Number of fuel audits performed	0	0	13	13
Outcome: Percent of fuel audits performed	0%	0%	100%	100%

C90G00.04 ACCOUNTING INVESTIGATIONS

Appropriation Statement:			
	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	7.00	7.00	6.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	453,152	476,319	429,322
04 Travel	4,578	15,500 1,000	4,578
09 Supplies and Materials	2,072	1,500 140	595
Total Operating Expenses	6,650	18,140	5,173
Total Expenditure	459,802	494,459	434,495
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	494,344 -34,500		
Total General Fund Appropriation	459,844 42		
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	459,802	494,459	434,495
Total Expenditure	459,802	494,459	434,495
Special Fund Income:			
C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund	·····	494,459	434,495

C90G00.05 COMMON CARRIER INVESTIGATIONS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Common Carrier Investigations Program enforces the Public Service Commission's laws concerning the safety, insurance, and services required to be maintained by passenger carriers; taxicab companies in Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Cumberland, and Hagerstown; taxicab drivers in Baltimore City, Cumberland, and Hagerstown; and drivers of intrastate for-hire passenger vehicles with a passenger capacity of less than 16.

MISSION

The mission of the Common Carrier Investigations Program is to promote safe and reliable taxicab service in Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Cumberland, and Hagerstown and promote safe and reliable passenger carrier service throughout Maryland.

VISION

The vision of the Common Carrier Investigations Program is a taxicab and for-hire passenger carrier industry in Maryland that provides passengers with safe and authorized vehicles and drivers, with a full range of services with affordable rates whenever customers require service.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Ensure that taxicabs and passenger-for-hire carriers engage in safe practices.

Objective 1.1 Annually, maintain a zero fatality rate from accidents attributable to safety violations by taxicabs and passenger-for-hire vehicles.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of taxicabs regulated	1,483	1,476	1,476	1,476
Number of passenger-for-hire vehicles regulated with a				
passenger capacity of less than 16	5,404	3,492	3,600	3,750
Outputs: Number of inspections of taxicabs by Commission				
inspectors	3,125	2,236	1,476	1,476
Number of inspections of passenger-for-hire vehicles				
with a passenger capacity of less than 16	9,322	4,925	3,600	3,750
Quality: Percent of vehicles inspected twice annually	90%	95%	100%	100%
Outcome: Number of fatalities from accidents attributable to				
safety violations by taxicabs and passenger-for-hire				
vehicles	0	0	0	0

C90G00.05 COMMON CARRIER INVESTIGATIONS (Continued)

Goal 2. Ensure that taxicabs and passenger-for-hire carriers provide reliable service.

Objective 2.1 Annually, maintain an out-of-service rate no higher than 3% for taxicabs and passenger-for-hire vehicles that are inspected by Commission inspectors and conduct biannual Safety/Record Reviews on carriers who operate vehicles with a seating capacity of 16 or more.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of passenger-for-hire carriers who operate				
vehicles that with a passenger capacity of 16 or more	236	245	250	275
Outputs: Number of taxicabs inspected by Commission				
inspectors placed out of service for safety violations	99	51	45	45
Number of passenger-for-hire vehicles inspected by				
Commission inspectors placed out of service for safety				
violations	74	44	45	45
Number of biannual Safety/Record reviews				
conducted on carriers who operate vehicles with a				
passenger capacity of 16 or more	68	41	125	135
Outcome: Percent of taxicabs inspected by Commission				
inspectors placed out of service for safety violations	3%	4%	3%	3%
Percent of passenger-for-hire vehicles inspected by				
Commission inspectors placed out of service for safety				
violations	1%	1%	1%	1%

Objective 2.2 Ensure that at least 95% of all taxicabs and for-hire carriers maintain liability insurance coverage without interruptions.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of taxicabs	1,483	1,476	1,476	1,476
Number of passenger-for-hire carriers	1,117	1,113	1,150	1,200
Output: Number of insurance citations issued	105	61	60	62
Outcome: Percent of taxicabs and passenger-for-hire				
carriers that maintain liability insurance coverage				
without interruption	96%	98%	98%	98%

Objectives 2.3 Annually ensure that all licensed for-hire drivers meet Commission standards for safety and reliability.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of taxicab drivers licensed	1,912	2,235	1,500	2,000
Number of passenger-for-hire drivers licensed	3,766	5,474	6,500	7,500
Outputs: Number of taxicab driver's licenses suspended or				
revoked	55	192	150	200
Number of passenger-for-hire driver's licenses				
suspended or revoked	4	12	35	40

C90G00.05 COMMON CARRIER INVESTIGATIONS (Continued)

Goal 3. Ensure that all the Division's actions are completed by established deadlines.

Objective 3.1 Annually, resolve or refer to the Hearing Examiner Division 95% of all complaints from customers, other competing companies, and other government agencies within 30 days.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of taxicab complaints received	147	137	140	140
Number of passenger-for-hire complaints received	204	220	235	235
Efficiency: Percent of taxicab and passenger-for-hire				
carrier complaints resolved or referred to				
the Hearing Examiner Division within 30 days	97%	94%	97%	97%

Objective 3.2 Annually, process 80% of all applications for passenger-for-hire operating authority within 30 days.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: Number of applications received	205	194	200	200
Outputs: Number of recommendations for approval or				
denial sent to the Commission within 30 days	134	148	150	153
Number of incomplete applications withdrawn	42	25	25	25
Efficiency: Percent of complete operating authority				
applications processed within 30 days	86%	89%	87%	87%

C90G00.05 COMMON CARRIER INVESTIGATIONS

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	26.00	24.00	20.00
Number of Contractual Positions		······································	1.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	942,781	1,216,726	1,004,553
02 Technical and Special Fees	21,271		24,285
03 Communication 04 Travel 07 Motor Vehicle Operation and Maintenance 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 13 Fixed Charges Total Operating Expenses Total Expenditure	2,656 2,657 52,111 4,895 2,280 -5,639 264 59,224	2,520 6,550 29,041 5,200 2,350 500 3,185 475 49,821	3,216 4,400 50,385 5,200 2,200 2,040 300 67,741 1,096,579
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Total Expenditure	966,508 -15,000 951,508 18,149 933,359 89,917 1,023,276	1,266,547 1,266,547	1,096,579 1,096,579
Special Fund Income: C90301 For-Hire Driving Services Enforcement Fund C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund	89,917	195,722 1,070,825	153,000 943,579
Total	89,917	1,266,547	1,096,579

C90G00.06 WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Pursuant to Sections 10-201 through 10-206 of the Transportation Article, Maryland entered into a compact with Virginia and the District of Columbia to create the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission to regulate and improve mass transportation within the Washington metropolitan area. The metropolitan district includes Montgomery and Prince Georges's counties in Maryland, the District of Columbia and the counties of Arlington and Fairfax in Virginia. The expenses of the Transit Commission are borne by the three signatories in proportion to their population within the metropolitan district.

Performance measures are not used for this program.

C90G00.06 WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

Appropriation Statement:	2002	2003	2004
	Actual	Appropriation	Allowance
12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions	262,625	265,324	275,111
Total Operating Expenses	262,625	265,324	275,111
Total Expenditure	262,625	265,324	275,111
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	262,625	265,324	275,111
Total Expenditure	262,625	265,324	275,111
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		265,324	275.111

C90G00.07 RATE RESEARCH AND ECONOMICS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Rate Research and Economics Division participates in all major rate cases filed with the Public Service Commission as well as most other case filings. The Division conducts ratemaking, statistical, economic, and financial studies and makes evidentiary presentations regarding rate design, class and jurisdictional cost of service allocations, cost of capital, depreciation, economic analysis of market structure and competition, energy choice implementation and other issues in regulatory economics.

MISSION

The mission of the Rate Research and Economics Division is to provide quality and timely support to the Commission and its various divisions on issues related to economics, ratemaking, utility restructuring, and utility finance. We accomplish this by conducting issues analysis, by facilitating settlement and work group processes, by educating consumers, by producing filed comments and evidentiary testimony, and by serving as an expert witness before the Commission.

VISION

The Commission will receive quality support on economic, ratemaking, utility restructuring, and utility finance issues in Maryland.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Provide quality and timely economic research and restructuring support to the Commission.

Objective 1.1 By fiscal year 2005, 95% of bucksheets will be sent forward to the Commission without any substantive revisions required by the Office of the Executive Director.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Bucksheet comments sent to the Commission	74	66	70	70
Quality: Percent of bucksheet comments requiring no				
revisions	95%	94%	93%	93%

Goal 2. Educate consumers and energy professionals about energy regulation and energy service restructuring in Maryland.

Objective 2.1 Annually respond to 85% of consumer information requests or complaints directed or referred to the

Division within three working days.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Information requests and complaints	448	369	409	409
Quality: Percent of information requests and complaints				
answered within 3 days	92%	91%	90%	89%

C90G00.07 RATE RESEARCH AND ECONOMICS

Appropriation Statement:

1. Appropriation Statement	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	8.00	9.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	490,565	537,089	605,047
04 Travel	2,689 1,699	8,100 1,000	5,325 680 1,435
13 Fixed Charges	5,951	5,350	5,950
Total Operating Expenses	10,339	14,450	13,390
Total Expenditure	500,904	551,539	618,437
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation	568,992 -68,000	w.w.	
Total General Fund Appropriation	500,992 88		
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	500,904	551,539	618,437
Total Expenditure	500,904	551,539	618,437
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		551,539	618,437

C90G00.08 HEARING EXAMINER DIVISION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Hearing Examiner Division (HED) conducts formal administrative litigation as well as alternate dispute resolution (ADR) proceedings on all matters delegated by the Public Service Commission, including rate cases for natural gas, electric, telephone, and water companies; applications to construct electric generating stations and transmission lines; Commission investigations, complaints, and requests for assessments of civil penalties against common carriers of passengers. Proposed orders issued by hearing examiners become final orders of the Commission 30 days after their filing unless appealed to the Commission or the Commission takes action on its own motion.

MISSION

The Hearing Examiner Division's mission is to provide prompt, equitable and cost-effective quasi-judicial and quasi-legislative information gathering and decision-making services on all matters delegated to it by the Public Service Commission. The Division accomplishes this through a broad array of procedures, including both hearings and Alternative Dispute Resolution processes, in order to maximize the services provided to the public and minimize the expenditures of time and money by all participants.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Conduct open and fair proceedings and render timely decisions that are in accordance with applicable law and supported by the record in the proceeding.

Objective 1.1 Annually 100% of decisions with statutory deadlines (e.g., rate cases) shall be met.**

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of rate cases delegated to HED	3	1	2	2
Number of §252 arbitrations delegated	1	5	5	5
Number of Certificate of Public Convenience and				
Necessity Cases delegated	7	1	2	2
Outputs: Number of decisions rendered	4	1	1	1
Decisions issued within statutory deadline	3	1	1	1
Quality: Percent of cases with statutory deadlines that are met	75%	100%	100%	100%

Objective 1.2 Annually 80% of transportation matters will be issued within 30 days of close of the record.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of transportation citations	92	105	150	150
Number of transportation applications	137	142	167	167
Number of other transportation cases	114	109	174	174
Outputs: Number of decisions	128 [†]	344	385	385
Decisions issued within 30 days	108 [†]	267	308	308
Decisions issued beyond 30 days	20^{\dagger}	77	77	77
Quality: Percent of transportation decisions issued within 30 days of the close of record	84%	77.6%	80%	80%

^{**}This measure does not include cases for which extensions have been requested and granted (e.g., waiver by parties).

[†]Reflects numbers from January - June 2001, inclusive.

C90G00.08 HEARING EXAMINER DIVISION (Continued)

Objective 1.3 Annually 90% of taxicab matters will be issued within 30 days of close of the record.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of taxicab citations	122	39	122	122
Number of taxicab applications	28	18	28	28
Number of other taxicab cases	105	128	105	105
Outputs: Number of decisions	134 [†]	222	268	268
Decisions filed within 30 days	55 [†]	133	241	241
Decisions filed beyond 30 days	79^{\dagger}	89	27	27
Outcome: Percent of taxicab decisions filed within 30 days of the close of record	41%	59.9%	90%	90%

Goal 2. Recommend rates for public utility service that are just and reasonable.

Objective 2.1 Annually 80% of rate decisions will be affirmed upon review by the Commission without the need for remand or reversal.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of rate cases delegated to HED	3	1	2	2
Number of rate decisions issued by HED	2	1	2	2
Outputs: Number of decisions adopted by Commission	2	1	2	2
Number of decisions reversed or remanded	0	0	0	0
Quality: Percent of rate decisions affirmed by the				
Commission without the need for remand or reversal	100%	100%	80%	80%

^{*}Reflects numbers from January - June 2001, inclusive.

C90G00.08 HEARING EXAMINER DIVISION

Appropriation S	Statement:
-----------------	------------

Appropriation Statements	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	10.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	625,436	658,243	688,632
04 Travel	443	2,200 300	1,060 300
09 Supplies and Materials	25 101	1,685	765 1,435
Total Operating Expenses	569	4,185	3,560
Total Expenditure	626,005	662,428	692,192
Original General Fund Appropriation	601,200 25,000		
Total General Fund Appropriation	626,200 195		
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	626,005	662,428	692,192
Total Expenditure	626,005	662,428	692,192
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		662,428	692,192

C90G00.09 STAFF ATTORNEY

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Staff Attorney program represents staff witnesses in all proceedings before the Public Service Commission; coordinates the presentation and preparation of testimony; advises staff on legal issues; prepares briefs, memoranda of law, and pleadings.

MISSION

The mission of the Staff Attorney Division is to provide quality and timely legal representation to the technical staff of the Commission. The Division accomplishes this by directing and coordinating preparation of the staff position in all matters pending before the Commission.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Provide quality legal representation to the Commission's technical staff.

Objective 1.1 Annually 100% of the Division's submissions are adopted by the Executive Director without any need of substantive legal corrections.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of Reviews and Opinions required by				
Division				
-Transportation	368	292	550	500
-Integrated Resource Planning	88	75	95	100
-Rate Research and Economics	84	53	95	125
-Staff Counsel	25	66	45	75
-Engineering	16	28	25	30
-Accounting	49	23	55	40
-Telecommunications	779	595	550	600
Number of Cases	118	150	110	125
Outputs: Number of completed reviews	1527	1282	1525	1595
Quality: Percent of items returned by Executive				
Director for substantive legal corrections	*	5%	0%	0%

Note: * Data not available.

C90G00.09 STAFF ATTORNEY

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	9.00	9.00	10.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	614,956	607,946	665,423
02 Technical and Special Fees	874	3,877	
04 Travel	2,720 500	3,800 1,340 150	3,300 765 1,435 150
Total Operating Expenses	3,220	5,290	5,650
Total Expenditure	619,050	617,113	671,073
Original General Fund Appropriation Transfer of General Fund Appropriation Total General Fund Appropriation	563,086 56,200 619,286		
Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction	236		
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	619,050	617,113	671,073
Total Expenditure	619,050	617,113	671,073
Special Fund Income: C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund		617,113	671,073

C90G00.10 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLANNING DIVISION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Integrated Resource Planning Division provides analysis of the long-range plans for reliable and economic service of electric utilities operating in Maryland. The division reviews applications for the construction of new power plants, the licensing of electric and natural gas suppliers, and other purchased power contracts, Clean Air compliance plans, emissions disclosure related activities, and affiliate relations and corporate restructuring. The Division also works with electric companies to develop cost effective conservation programs; provides testimony in formal proceedings before the Commission; and assists the Staff Attorney Division in performing discovery, conducting cross-examination of witnesses, and preparing legal briefs. A recent responsibility for this Division has been to track electricity issues in national and regional forums such as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and PJM Interconnection (the regional electric grid operator), and provide summary reports to the Commission.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of Integrated Resource Planning Division is to provide comprehensive and timely recommendations to the Commission and its various divisions regarding the electric industry, energy markets, and electric service reliability in Maryland. We accomplish this by reviewing electric and natural gas license applications, by monitoring electric and gas suppliers, and by annually developing a Ten-Year Plan and a biennial Electric Supply Adequacy Report.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. Provide the Commission with a comprehensive and timely Ten-Year Plan of Maryland electric utilities that is forwarded to Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR).

Objective 1.1 Annually there will be zero substantive changes required in the final version of the Ten-Year Plan.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Ten-Year Plan is submitted by Dec. 31 to DNR	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Quality: Number of substantive changes needed in the final				
version of the Ten-Year Plan	0	3	2	1

Goal 2. Provide expert support and guidance to the Commission on electricity generation and distribution.

Objective 2.1 Annually provide a complete review of 100% of the applications for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for power plant construction.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Administrative bucksheet comments processed	7	15	15	15
Quality: Percent of bucksheets returned by Commission	0%	0%	10%	10%
Percent of bucksheets returned by Technical Staff	0%	0%	20%	20%

Goal 3. Ensure that the Division meets its statutory and internally imposed deadlines.

Objective 3.1 Annually meet statutorily imposed deadlines 100% of the time.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of projects with statutory deadlines	3	3	4	3
Outcome: Percent of statutory deadlines met	100%	67%	100%	100%

C90G00.10 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLANNING DIVISION

C90303 Public Utility Regulation Fund

Appropriation Statement:			
	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	7.00	7.00	6.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	362,509	433,006	392,615
04 Travel	14,918 4,637	6,500 500	9,345 595
Total Operating Expenses	19,555	7,000	9,940
Total Expenditure	382,064	440,006	402,555
Original General Fund AppropriationTransfer of General Fund Appropriation	417,070 -35,000		****
Total General Fund Appropriation	382,070 6		

Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	382,064	440,006	402,555
Total Expenditure	382,064	440,006	402,555
Special Fund Income:			

440,006

402,555

OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S COUNSEL

C91H00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

As mandated by Section 2-201 through 2-206 of the Public Utility Companies Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, the Office of People's Counsel (OPC) evaluates all matters pending before the Commission to determine if the interests of residential users of: gas, electricity, telephones, or water and sewage, or of noncommercial users of other regulated services are affected. It appears before the Public Service Commission (PSC), various Federal agencies, and the courts on behalf of those users in all matters or proceedings over which the PSC has original jurisdiction and in other matters in which the Office of People's Counsel deems their interest to be involved. The Office of People's Counsel makes such investigations and requests the PSC to initiate such proceedings as that Office deems necessary to protect the interests of residential and noncommercial users. OPC also monitors the development of competitive markets in gas, electric, and telephone services, and represents consumers who are solicited by or purchase services from unregulated energy and telecommunications companies.

MISSION

To represent, as effectively as possible, the interests of residential and non-commercial utility customers in proceedings which might affect their interests before the Public Service Commission, Federal agencies and the courts. In addition, to inform the public of the transition to competition in utility services, to protect their interests in these competitive markets, and to influence legislators and regulators to adopt legislation and regulations which protect the reasonable interests of residential consumers in the competitive market.

VISION

A State in which residential and non-commercial utility customers are knowledgeable about choosing suppliers, receive the highest quality service at the lowest possible cost, are informed about the competitive market for energy and telecommunications services and protected from market abuses, and receive basic and adequate utility services regardless of their ability to pay.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. To obtain, preserve and protect fair and equitable prices and high quality and reliable products and services for residential consumers of energy, telecommunications and other regulated utility services.

Objective 1.1 To secure reliable electric power for residential customers by means of a regulated service to be provided by electric companies (distribution utilities) for the period from 7/1/04 through 7/1/12.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Settlement agreements	*	*	2	1
OPC filings in cases before PSC	*	*	8	2

Note: * New measures for which data is not available or cases pending.

Objective 1.2 Annually to ensure that all residential customers, regardless of location, have reasonable rates and charges for local and long distance telephone service, natural gas and electric service (energy) and other regulated services.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Cases before Federal Communications Commission (FCC)				
in which OPC has participated	9	10	12	12
Cases before Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)				
in which OPC has participated	16	15	14	17
Telco cases before PSC in which OPC has participated	16	16	23	20
Energy, water and other cases before PSC in which OPC has participated	35	35	43	45
Cases in courts in which OPC has participated	6	7	14	11

OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S COUNSEL

C91H00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcomes: Favorable decisions by FCC	1	1	1	2
Favorable decisions by FERC		5	4	4
Favorable decisions by PSC	12*	24*	25*	25*
Favorable decisions by Courts	2	4	4	4
Favorable decisions by Federal/State policymakers	5	10	8	8

Note: *An OPC "success rate" cannot be calculated by adding PSC telco and energy *et al.* cases and then dividing that number into the "favorable decisions" number because there are always a substantial number of cases pending before the PSC in which OPC has participated. For example, for 2002, adding 16 telco cases to 35 energy cases and dividing that total into 21 favorable decisions DOES not give an accurate assessment of OPC's effectiveness.

Goal 2. To keep the public informed about changes and new developments in the utility industry.

Objective 2.1 Annually to prepare residential electric utility customers to be able to make intelligent choices of energy and telecommunications companies.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Number of consumer inquiries	897	350	400	400
Number of consumer complaints		200	200	200
Outputs: Consumer complaints investigated	580	120	120	120
Outcome: Number of complaints resolved successfully	513	110	110	110

Objective 2.2 Annually to produce more and to more widely distribute easily understandable, brief written material regarding making choices of providers, avoiding certain sales and marketing tactics, and understanding consumer rights.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Total number of brochures distributed	15,500	12,700	13,000	13,000
Total number of residential customers reached	22,500	19,700	20,000	20,000

Goal 3. Annually to continue to protect consumers from potential harm caused by the transition from fully regulated services to the competitive market.

Objective 3.1 To initiate investigations annually of questionable marketing practices in the energy and telecommunications markets.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of cases initiated	27	55	50	50
Outcomes: Number of cases resolved with favorable results	25	46	40	40

Objective 3.2 To influence the development by FERC of a workably competitive wholesale electric energy market-ongoing.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of collaborative meetings relating to the retail electric				
market	34	29	50	50
Number of collaborative meetings relating to the design of the				
wholesale market	60	130	130	130
Number of Committees of PJM and other electric planning and				
policy groups served on	10	12	22	22

OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S COUNSEL

C91H00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation Statement:

		2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Numb	er of Authorized Positions	18.00	18.00	18.00
Numb	er of Contractual Positions	1.00	1.00	1.00
01 Salarie	es, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,427,191	1,383,598	1,423,493
02 Techn	ical and Special Fees	783,165	887,627	858,037
04 Travel 07 Motor 08 Contra 09 Suppli 10 Equip 11 Equip 12 Grants 13 Fixed	nunication Vehicle Operation and Maintenance actual Services les and Materials Iment—Replacement Iment—Additional S, Subsidies and Contributions Charges Otal Operating Expenses	52,766 20,397 11,235 74,127 24,704 4,711 3,266 7,017 87,311 285,534	48,760 30,763 12,812 68,469 18,937 4,137 4,800 7,017 94,248 289,943	55,629 20,397 13,404 64,901 23,485 7,017 99,905 284,738
Total Less:	Total Expenditure General Fund Appropriation General Fund Reversion/Reduction Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Total Expenditure	2,495,890 2,512,890 17,000 2,495,890 2,495,890	2,561,168 2,561,168 2,561,168	2,566,268 2,566,268 2,566,268
	Fund Income: 301 Public Utility Regulation Fund		2,561,168	2,566,268

SUBSEQUENT INJURY FUND

C94I00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Subsequent Injury Fund reviews and investigates workers' compensation claims that involve pre-existing health conditions that substantially increase the disability of injured workers. The liability of employers' insurers is limited to compensation for the damages caused by the current injury, and the Subsequent Injury Fund incurs all additional liability from the combined effects of all injuries and/or conditions. The Fund derives its income from assessments of insurance companies on awards of compensation for permanent disability.

MISSION

To provide workers' compensation benefits to disabled workers who have combined effects from a pre-existing disability and an accidental work-related injury.

VISION

A state, which has removed the disincentive to hire disabled workers due to employers', concerns of potentially larger workers' compensation claims.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- **Goal 1.** To efficiently defend the Fund's resources against inappropriate use, and to provide monetary benefits to qualified disabled workers injured on the job in accordance with awards passed by the Workers' Compensation Commission.
 - Objective 1.1 All new cases will be promptly reviewed and prepared for legal defense.
 - **Objective 1.2** All ordered claimant payments will begin on time, and periodic payments will follow a standard bi-weekly schedule.
 - **Objective 1.3** The operating budget cost per resolved case will increase by no higher percentage than the increase in the budget due to the cost of living increases in salaries.
- Goal 2. To maintain the adequacy and integrity of the Fund balance.
 - **Objective 2.1** To ensure that adequate funds are available from which to pay claims, the ratio of total Fund expenditures to total collections will remain less than 1:1.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Inputs: Cases set for administrative hearing	1,852	1,836	1,900	1,925
Cases set before appellate courts	325	359	375	390
New cases opened	819	915	1,000	1,100
Cases re-opened	107	123	125	130
Outputs: Number of benefit payments made	21,601	22,067	22,100	22,150
Number of cases resolved	1,095	1,214	1,000	1,100
Dollar amount of assessments collected	\$17,355,863	\$17,280,516	\$17,400,000	\$17,500,000
Interest on fund balance	\$1,979,752	\$1,096,553	\$1,200,000	\$1,350,000
Total benefits paid	\$13,357,730	\$13,380,376	13,400,000	\$13,450,000
Operating expenditures	\$1,557,730	\$1,698,461	\$1,739,783	\$1,790,783
Outcome: Ratio of total Fund expenditures to total collecti	ons			
and interest	0.771:1	0.820:1	0.814:1	0.808:1
Efficiency: Operating budget cost per resolved claim	\$1,423	\$1,400	\$1,740	\$1,628
Quality: Average processing time for authorization of				
award payments from the Subsequent Injury Fund	3 days	3 days	3 days	3 days

SUBSEQUENT INJURY FUND

C94I00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	18.60	17.60	17.60
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	1,181,280	1,178,571	1,241,003
02 Technical and Special Fees	246,055	365,500	326,000
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 11 Equipment—Additional 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges 14 Land and Structures Total Operating Expenses	20,747 21,149 40,244 14,629 8,587 52,679 55,774 56,852 465 271,126	23,054 30,000 7,473 16,000 957 55,860 62,368	23,917 27,000 54,500 19,600 6,000 33,930 62,348 1,000 228,295
Total Expenditure	1,698,461	1,739,783	1,795,298
Special Fund ExpenditureReimbursable Fund Expenditure	1,698,461	1,731,783 8,000	1,779,298 16,000
Total Expenditure	1,698,461	1,739,783	1,795,298
Special Fund Income: C94301 Subsequent Injury Fund	1,698,461	1,731,783	1,779,298
Reimbursable Fund Income: C96J00 Uninsured Employers' Fund		8,000	16,000

UNINSURED EMPLOYERS' FUND

C96J00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Uninsured Employers Fund reviews and investigates claims filed by employees, or in the case of death, by their dependents. If the employer does not pay what is due the claimant, the Fund will directly pay the compensation benefits and medical expenses, and attempt to recover all benefits paid plus certain assessments from the uninsured employer.

The cost of administering the Uninsured Employers Fund and providing benefits to the claimants is derived from assessments placed upon awards of compensation per Labor & Employment Article, Sections 9-1005 through 9-1007.

MISSION

To promptly pay awards ordered by the Workers' Compensation Commission in favor of injured workers against non-insured employers who default on payments, and to maintain an adequate fund balance from which to pay claims through the collection of applicable fines, assessments, and benefit recoveries.

VISION

A state that ensures that all injured workers awarded benefits by the Workers' Compensation Commission are promptly and correctly paid.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Goal 1. To efficiently investigate and defend all designated non-insured cases.

Objective 1.1 All new cases will be promptly reviewed, investigated, and prepared for legal defense.

Objective 1.2 The operating budget cost per resolved case will increase by no higher percentage than the increase in the budget due to the cost of living increase in salaries.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Input: New cases	1,065	1,196	1,200	1,200
Outputs: Investigations	1,260	901	1,000	1,000
Number of cases resolved	850	875	900	900
Workers Comp Commission hearings	1,025	880	950	950
Court hearings	231	123	160	160
Efficiency: Operating budget cost per number of resolved cases	\$1,037	\$1,039	\$1,027	\$1,059

Goal 2. To monitor awards and follow established procedures to ensure prompt payment to claimants and health care providers.

Objective 2.1 By the use of active case monitoring, the agency will promptly initiate the appropriate benefit payments for each case following the determination of the Fund's legal obligation. A processing time of any less than 3 working days is not practical in that important considerations may be otherwise overlooked.

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Number of benefit payments made	2,191	2,021	2,100	2,200
Value of compensation and medical payments made	\$2,123,430	\$1,850,653	\$1,950,000	\$2,050,000
Quality: Average processing time for UEF authorization of				
award payments	3 days	3 days	3 days	3 days

UNINSURED EMPLOYERS' FUND

C96J00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- **Goal 3.** To track and collect fines, assessments, and awards benefits paid by the Fund, and to maintain the adequacy and integrity of the Fund balance.
 - Objective 3.1 The agency seeks to maximize its collections from non-insured employers utilizing all legal processes including as a last resort, Central Collections.
 - Objective 3.2 To ensure that adequate funds are available from which to pay claims, the ratio of total Fund expenditures to total collections will remain less than 1:1. (Maryland law permits increasing the 1% permanency award assessment rate if required. Funds from this source are reliable as the payers are primarily insurance companies.)

	2001	2002	2003	2004
Performance Measures	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs: Assessments on permanency awards (1%)	\$2,648,827	\$2,651,188	\$2,700,000	\$2,750,000
Non-certification penalty	\$29,492	\$37,868	\$39,000	\$40,000
Fines and penalty assessments for being uninsured	\$194,621	\$228,892	\$230,000	\$232,000
Recovery of benefits	\$171,513	\$185,332	\$190,000	\$200,000
Interest on fund balance	\$183,691	\$110,530	\$120,000	\$140,000
Quality: Ratio of total Fund expenditures to total				
collections for the year.	0.932:1	0.859:1	0.877:1	0.893:1

UNINSURED EMPLOYERS' FUND

C96J00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation	Statement:
---------------	------------

Appropriation Statement.	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Number of Authorized Positions	13.00	13.00	12.00
01 Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits	812,858	821,019	840,625
02 Technical and Special Fees	570		
03 Communication 04 Travel 08 Contractual Services 09 Supplies and Materials 10 Equipment—Replacement 12 Grants, Subsidies and Contributions 13 Fixed Charges	14,807 7,517 2,165 4,238 3,160 33,690 29,884	13,740 12,500 3,004 7,500 33,441 33,002	16,668 11,500 3,000 6,950 6,998 33,218 32,412
Total Operating Expenses	95,461	103,187	110,746
Total Expenditure	908,889	924,206	951,371
Special Fund Expenditure	908,889	924,206	951,371
Special Fund Income: C96301 Uninsured Employer's Fund	908,889	924,206	951,371

SUMMARY OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

		2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
Total Number of Authorized Positions		132.50	132.50	131.50
Total Number of Contractual Positions		8.07	12.75	13.75
Techni	s, Wages and Fringe Benefits cal and Special Fees ing Expenses	7,606,407 370,229 2,731,208	8,088,579 477,922 4,020,509	8,313,733 685,664 3,851,726
Total Less:	General Fund Appropriation	10,630,307 148,455		
	Net General Fund Expenditure Special Fund Expenditure Reimbursable Fund Expenditure	10,481,852 165,325 60,667	12,526,708 60,302	12,822,426 28,697
	Total Expenditure	10,707,844	12,587,010	12,851,123

C98F00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Workers' Compensation Commission receives processes, adjudicates claims for injured employees, and refers those claimants who need rehabilitation to the appropriate vocational rehabilitation service providers. Pursuant to a shared agreement, the Commission provides data processing support to the Subsequent Injury Fund and the Uninsured Employers' Fund. All expenditures of the Workers' Compensation Commission and the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation's Occupational Health and Safety Program are recovered from insurance companies and self-insurers through an annual maintenance assessment.

MISSION

The Maryland Workers' Compensation Commission seeks to secure the equitable and timely administration of the provisions of the Maryland Workers' Compensation Law on behalf of its customers, the injured workers and their employers, by providing an efficient and effective forum for the resolution of individual claims.

VISION

The Maryland Workers' Compensation Commission envisions a state wherein injured workers and employers are empowered to create an equitable partnership to facilitate prompt and fair resolution of workers' compensation matters.

KEY GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- Goal 1. To improve the effectiveness and timely delivery of services provided by the Workers' Compensation Commission to its customers.
 - Objective 1.1 In FY2003 to set 90% or more of all non-permanency hearings within 60 days of the date when issues are filed.
 - **Objective 1.2** In FY2003 to ensure that 85% or more of all callers to the Automated Call Directory (ACD) system will not have a wait longer than 90 seconds before being connected to their party or speaking with an attendant.
 - **Objective 1.3** In FY2003 to maintain a conformance rate of at least 95% for the issuance of Commission Orders within 30 days after the conclusion of a hearing.
 - **Objective 1.4** In FY2003 to ensure that certain persons with limited English proficiency (LEP) skills can effectively access services provided by WCC in accordance with the requirements set forth in SB265 passed during the 2002 legislative session.
 - Objective 1.5 In FY2005 to ensure that the Workers' Compensation reimbursement fee structure is fair and equitable for all healthcare providers and payers, and no higher than necessary to ensure appropriate access to care in all geographic areas of the State and therefore, based on a predictable index that can be used as a guide to establish a multiplier of the Resource Based Relative Value System (RBRVS) of all Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Codes.
- Goal 2. To optimize the internal functions of the Workers' Compensation Commission.
 - **Objective 2.1** In FY2003 to eliminate 95% or more of cash transactions while enhancing the convenience of self-service operations to our walk-in customers.
 - **Objective 2.2** In FY2003 to revise the Emergency Data Recovery Plan for business resumption of 100% of the agency's mission critical functions within 24 hours of declaration of a disaster.
 - **Objective 2.3** In FY2003 to increase the document retrieval speed of computer transactions by 20% or more so as to optimize service time requirements for Commission employees.
 - **Objective 2.4** In FY2004 eliminate 70% of the manual tracking of program service requests.
 - **Objective 2.5** In FY2005 to decrease the cost of mail services by 10%.
 - **Objective 2.6** In FY2006 to eliminate 100% of unauthorized access to all electronic medical records to ensure maximum claimant privacy and be in compliance with HIPAA regulations.
- **Goal 3.** To establish and implement a system for the electronic exchange of all claims information documents to ensure program integrity and productivity.
 - **Objective 3.1** In FY2002 to enable the electronic exchange of 50% or more of the processes of the Workers' Compensation Commission that can be electronically exchanged.
 - **Objective 3.2** In FY2003 to enable the electronic exchange of 65% or more of the processes of the Workers' Compensation Commission that can be electronically exchanged.
 - Objective 3.3 In FY2004 to convert 80% or more of customer forms to ICR or electronic format.

C98F00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

- Objective 3.4 In FY2005 to ensure that the transaction speed and traffic do not exceed the recommended capacity of the system at 50%.
- **Objective 3.5** In FY2005 to link 80% or more of all practicing workers' compensation attorneys, insurers and self-insurers to the Workers' Compensation Commission electronic exchange.
- **Goal 4.** To establish an oversight program to ensure the compliance of insurance companies to recently-enacted legislation so that all parties to workers' compensation issues are properly protected.
 - Objective 4.1 In FY2003 to ensure that all workers' compensation work involving 95% or more of all disputed claims performed by insurance companies and self-insured employers is processed by a competent individual within the State of Maryland within 15 days of issues being filed.
- Goal 5. To optimize employee productivity and work satisfaction in order to maximize agency human resources and employee job satisfaction.
 - Objective 5.1 In FY2003 to have in place an agency, unit and employee performance measurement program.
 - **Objective 5.2** In FY2003 to have in place an employee questionnaire instrument to measure job satisfaction, employee accomplishments and employee training requirements.
 - **Objective 5.3** In FY2004 to have in place an employee incentive program for exceptional employee accomplishments that are based on qualitative and quantitative data.
- **Goal 6.** To establish an effective and on-going system for collection and analyzing of all costs associated with the delivery of workers' compensation benefits within the State of Maryland.
 - **Objective 6.1** In FY2004 to establish a statistical database of workers' compensation benefit costs from 80% or more of insurance companies and self-insured employers providing benefits in Maryland workers' compensation claims.
 - **Objective 6.2** In FY2004 to establish a statistical database of vocational rehabilitation costs representing 80% or more of the vocational rehabilitation organizations providing services in Maryland.

Performance Measures	2001 Actual	2002 Actual	2003 Estimated	2004 Estimated
renormance weasures	Actual	Actual	Estimateu	Esumateu
Inputs: Employee claims filed ⁱ	28,421	27,407	28,000	28,000
Issues Filed	36,620	38,215	39,800	41,350
Priority 1 issues filed	2,257	2,377	2,500	2,650
Priority 2 issues filed	10,668	10,957	11,300	11,600
Priority 3 issues filed	23,695	24,881	26,000	27,100
Emergency hearing requests	2,872	2,852	2,900	3,000
Rehabilitation providers certified by WCCii	981	1,170	1,200	1,250
Outputs: Hearings set during period	41,145	41,435	42,000	43,000
Priority 1 hearings set	1,897	2,356	2,940	3,000
Priority 2 hearings set	11,889	13,162	13,860	14,200
Priority 3 hearings set	27,359	25,917	25,200	25,800
Emergency hearings set during periodiii	1,647	1,845	1,800	1,850
Hearings continued	8,081	13,250	7,200	6,500
Number of cases referred for support services	3,828	4,577	5,000	5,000
For medical case management	2,644	3,123	3,400	3,400
For vocational rehabilitation services	1,184	1,454	1,600	1,600
Stipulated awards	2,690	2,326	2,100	2,100
Compromise agreements approved	8,733	8,872	9,000	9,000
Cases appealed to courts	2,244	2,229	2,210	2,210
Rehabilitation Stipulated Orders	413	719	900	900
Outcome: Injured workers returning to employment				
following rehabilitation and/or case management	1,633	1,976	2,200	2,200

¹ Employee claims may include multiple issues with different priorities

ii Count reflects cumulative totals

iii Count is included in Priority 1&2 hearings set

C98F00.01 GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

TOTAL PAYROLLS AND ASSESSMENT RATES

	10	TAL TATROLLS A	TO ASSESSMENT KA	IES	
Fiscal Year	Total Payroll	Estimated Total Expenses	Estimated Cost of Safety Inspection	Assessment Per One Thousand Dollars Of Payroll	
1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	\$54,605,113,744 \$63,079,408,445 \$65,064,521,082 \$64,486,468,402 \$73,885,873,215	\$14,765,950 \$16,777,366 \$16,215,597 \$19,615,072 \$19,012,054	\$5,993,917 \$6,683,406 \$7,184,201 \$7,528,517 \$8,002,651	0.270 0.266 0.249 0.304 0.257	
Appropriati	ion Statement:		2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
X7 1	e a de la companya		122.50		121.50
	f Authorized Positions			132.50	131.50
	f Contractual Positions			····	9 212 722
	Vages and Fringe Benefits and Special Fees			8,088,579 477,922	8,313,733 685,664
	cation			478,173	564,440
04 Travel		***************************************	157,913	122,000	112,419
	Utilitieshicle Operation and Maintenar		31,959 80,612	35,000 119,429	35,000 91,091
	al Services		574,752	669,369	629,433
	nd Materials		156,474	162,398	165,922
	t—Replacement			138,850 59,920	8,000 21,000
	t—Additionalbsidies and Contributions		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52,387	52,387
13 Fixed Cha	rges			968,456 378,940	1,301,629
Total	Operating Expenses		2,431,008	3,184,922	2,981,321
	Total Expenditure	,	10,407,644	11,751,423	11,980,718
	General Fund Appropriation f General Fund Appropriation		10,630,307 -308,701		
	eneral Fund Appropriation eneral Fund Reversion/Reduct		10,321,606 139,954		
	et General Fund Expenditure		10,181,652	11 (01 101	11.052.021
	pecial Fund Expenditure eimbursable Fund Expenditure		165,325 60,667	11,691,121 60,302	11,952,021 28,697
	Total Expenditure		10,407,644	11,751,423	11,980,718
Special Fun	d Income:				
C98330	Self-Insurer Assessment		61,017	59,000	58,500
	Sale of Publications and Phot Registration Fees-Vocational		77,308	85,000	75,000
	tioners		27,000	77,000 11,470,121	53,000 11,765,521
Т	otal		165,325	11,691,121	11,952,021
Reimbursab	ole Fund Income:				
	Subsequent Injury Fund		43,860	43,860	20,473
	Uninsured Employers' Fund.		16,807	16,442	8,224
T	otal		60,667	60,302	28,697

C98F00.02 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This program identifies defined, current major information technology projects in the Workers' Compensation Commission.

C98F00.02 MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Appropriation Statement:	2002 Actual	2003 Appropriation	2004 Allowance
08 Contractual Services	300,200	835,587	870,405
Total Operating Expenses	300,200	835,587	870,405
Total Expenditure	300,200	835,587	870,405
Total General Fund Appropriation Less: General Fund Reversion/Reduction			
Net General Fund ExpenditureSpecial Fund Expenditure	300,200	835,587	870,405
Total Expenditure	300,200	835,587	870,405
Special Fund Income: C98333 Maintenance Assessment		835,587	870,405

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
c00a00 Judiciary							
c00a0001 Court of Appeals							
chf judge court of appeals	1.00	149,218	1.00	150,600	1.00	150,600	
judge court of appeals	6.00	782,279	6.00	789,600	6.00	789,600	
judiciary employee exempt	39.00	1,497,059	45.00	2,212,840	45.00	2,212,840	
judiciary employee non-exempt	12.00	291,196	15.00	552,351	15.00	552,351	
judiciary employee hourly	.00	14,621	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c00a0001*	58.00	2,734,373	67.00	3,705,391	67.00	3,705,391	
c00a0002 Court of Special Appeals	3						
chf judge ct of spec appeals	1.00	125,630	1.00	126,800	1.00	126,800	
judge court of special appeals	12.00	1,330,463				1,485,600	
judiciary employee exempt	53.00	2,245,540	54.50	2,573,075	54.50	2,573,075	
judiciary employee non-exempt	13.50	431,879	13.00	446,239	13.00	446,239	
TOTAL c00a0002*	79.50	4,133,512	80.50	4,631,714	80.50	4,631,714	
c00a0003 Circuit Court Judges							
judge circuit ct	146.00	16,345,588	146.00	17,461,600	146.00	17,461,600	
judiciary employee exempt	.00	0	203.00	7,071,408	203.00	7,071,408	
TOTAL c00a0003*	146.00	16,345,588	349.00	24,533,008	349.00	24,533,008	
c00a0004 District Court							
chf judge dist court of md	1.00	113,161	1.00	123,800	1.00	123,800	
judge district court	107.00	11,504,538	108.00	11,956,815	108.00	11,956,815	
judiciary employee exempt	261.50	12,831,079	256.00	12,299,230	256.00	12,299,230	
judiciary employee non-exempt	911.50	25,978,426	903.00	28,423,316	916.00	28,774,225	New
TOTAL c00a0004*	1,281.00	50,427,204	1,268.00	52,803,161	1,281.00	53,154,070	
c00a0006 Administrative Office of	f the Courts						
judiciary employee exempt	.00	0	1.00	59,064	1.00	59,064	
judiciary employee exempt	.00	0	1.00	37,470	1.00	37,470	
judiciary employee exempt	35.00	2,210,651	40.00	2,353,725	40.00	2,353,725	
judiciary employee non-exempt	41.50	1,283,286	49.50	1,667,497	49.50	1,667,497	
judiciary employee hourly	.00	34,928	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c00a0006*	76.50	3,528,865	91.50	4,117,756	91.50	4,117,756	
c00a0007 Court Related Agencies							
judiciary employee exempt	8.75	569,285	8.75	597,384	8.75	597,384	
judiciary employee non-exempt	8.00	253,006				289,363	
state reporter judiciary	1.00	0				21,103	
judiciary employee hourly	.00	5,238				0	
TOTAL c00a0007*	17.75	827,529	17.75	907,850	17.75	907,850	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
c00a0008 State Law Library							
judiciary employee exempt	6.00	326,197	6.00	337,300	6.00	337,300	
judiciary employee non-exempt	5.00	158,187	5.00	177,088	5.00	177,088	
TOTAL c00a0008*	11.00	484,384	11.00	514,388	11.00	514,388	
c00a0009 Judicial Data Processing	g						
judiciary employee exempt	68.00	4,240,263	68.00	4,403,622	69.00	4,455,487	New
judiciary employee non-exempt	57.50	1,934,267	43.50	1,686,712	45.50	1,740,698	New
judiciary employee hourly	.00	43,830	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c00a0009*	125.50	6,218,360	111.50	6,090,334	114.50	6,196,185	
c00a0010 Clerks of the Circuit Co	ourt						
judiciary clerk of court a	5.00	383,014	5.00	400,000	5.00	412,500	
judiciary clerk of court b	3.00	219,750	3.00	234,750	3.00	242,250	
judiciary clerk of court c	9.00	576,840	9.00	693,900	9.00	716,400	
judiciary clerk of court d	7.00	483,700	7.00	518,700	7.00	536,200	
judiciary employee exempt	76.00	3,740,689	76.00	3,953,891	76.00	3,953,891	
judiciary employee non-exempt	1,101.50	33,207,358	1,114.50	35,568,914	1,116.50	35,626,486	New
judiciary employee hourly	.00	358,949	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c00a0010*	1,201.50	38,970,300	1,214.50	41,370,155	1,216.50	41,487,727	
c00a0011 Family Law Division							
judiciary employee exempt	6.00	275,116	7.00	430,423	7.00	430,423	
judiciary employee non-exempt	2.00	57,851	2.00	62,791	2.00	62,791	
TOTAL c00a0011*	8.00	332,967	9.00	493,214	9.00	493,214	
c00a0012 Major Information Techno	ology Develo	pment Projects					
judiciary employee exempt	5.00	71,893	4.00	357,775	4.00	357,775	
TOTAL c00a0012*	5.00	71,893	4.00	357,775	4.00	357,775	
TOTAL c00a00 **	3,009.75	124,074,975	3,223.75	139,524,746	3,241.75	140,099,078	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure		Appropriation			Symbol
c80b00 Office of the Public Def	ender						
c80b0001 General Administration							
pub defender	1.00	117,286	1.00	119,600	1.00	119,600	
dep public defender	1.00	65,258	1.00	93,602	1.00	93,602	
exec vi	.00	61,867	1.00	89,745	1.00	89,745	
asst pub defender v	1.00	89,319	1.00	85,143	1.00	85,143	
prgm mgr senior i	2.00	102,494	1.00	83,502	1.00	83,502	
administrator iv	1.00	62,083	1.00	62,801	1.00	62,801	
asst pub defender hq supv	2.00	195,083	5.00	375,721	5.00	375,721	
asst pub defender hq lead	.00	0	1.00	73,107	1.00	73,107	
asst pub defender supervisor	2.00	54,703	3.00	219,321	3.00	219,321	
fiscal services administrator i	1.00	61,314	1.00	67,645	1.00	67,645	
asst pub defender iii	1.80	18,939	1.00	65,811	1.00	65,811	
computer network spec mgr	.00	47,876	1.00	65,811	1.00	65,811	
computer network spec supr	.00	46,270	1.00	62,801	1.00	62,801	
fiscal services administrator i	1.00	57,040	1.00	57,658	1.00	57,658	
personnel administrator ii	.00	33,381	1.00	55,472	1.00	55,472	
administrator ii	.00	11,952	1.00	49,017	1.00	49,017	
computer network spec ii	.00	0	1.00	50,941	1.00	50,941	
personnel administrator i	1.00	21,129	.00	0	.00	0	
administrator i	1.00	50,055	1.00	50,535	1.00	50,535	
computer network spec i	.00	56,105	5.00	209,238	5.00	209,238	
equal opportunity officer iii	1.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
accountant ii	1.00	46,902	1.00	47,319	1.00	47,319	
admin officer iii	1.00	46,965	1.00	47,319	1.00	47,319	
personnel officer ii	1.00	47,432	2.00	81,327	2.00	81,327	
admin officer ii	1.00	44,026	2.00	87,786	2.00	87,786	
personnel officer i	.00	12,472	1.00	43,472	1.00	43,472	
admin officer i	1.00	40,777	.00			0	
computer info services spec i	.00	0		71,276	2.00	71,276	
personnel specialist iii	1.00	13,086		0	.00	0	
admin spec iii	.00	27,408		0	.00	0	
pub defender intake supervisor	.00	12,684	.00	0	.00	0	
pub defender invest iii	1.00	0		0		0	
agency buyer i	1.00	32,843	1.00	33,493		33,493	
fiscal accounts technician supv		53,291	2.00	71,382		71,382	
paralegal ii	1.00	7,555	1.00	30,982		30,982	
personnel associate iii	2.00	73,302	2.00	72,824	2.00	72,824	
fiscal accounts technician ii	1.00	40,790	1.00	35,740	1.00	35,740	
personnel associate ii	2.00	7,925	1.00	32,500	1.00	32,500	
fiscal accounts technician i	1.00	7,429	1.00	30,465	1.00	30,465	
paralegal i	.00	8,283	.00	0	.00	0	
personnel associate i	.00	9,232	1.00	36,835	1.00	36,835	
personnel clerk	1.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
pub defender intake spec ii	.00	20,121	.00	0	.00	0	
management associate	.00	0	1.00	38,448	1.00	38,448	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
c80b00 Office of the Public Def	ender						
c80b0001 General Administration							
admin aide	2.00	37,556	1.00	26,958	1.00	26,958	
office supervisor	.00	25,373		0	.00	0	
office secy iii	.00	30,157		26,243	1.00	26,243	
office services clerk lead	.00	22,503	.00	0	.00	0	
office services clerk	1.00	29,099	1.00	28,337	1.00	28,337	
fiscal accounts clerk i	1.00	5,483		22,487	1.00	22,487	
TOTAL c80b0001*	38.80	1,854,848	53.00	2,802,664	53.00	2,802,664	
c80b0002 District Operations							
exec vi	1.00	21,883	.00	0	.00	0	
prgm mgr senior iii	1.00	97,524	1.00	99,198	1.00	99,198	
prgm mgr senior ii	1.00	75,782	1.00	80,967	1.00	80,967	
prgm mgr senior i	3.00	307,090	3.00	248,894	3.00	248,894	
dist pub def metropolitan	6.00	535,465	6.00	530,710	6.00	530,710	
dist pub defender	5.00	414,813	5.00	430,973	5.00	430,973	
asst dist pub defender	11.00	809,326	11.00	854,981	11.00	854,981	
asst pub defender hq supv	5.00	285,527	7.00	521,718	7.00	521,718	BPW(1)
asst pub defender hq lead	1.00	17,826	.00	0	.00	0	
asst pub defender supervisor	42.00	3,134,835	46.00	3,226,997	49.00	3,382,088	BPW(3);New
asst pub defender iii	132.50	8,341,467	132.00	8,639,670	140.00	9,026,910	BPW(8);New
computer network spec mgr	1.00	16,047	.00	0	.00	0	
asst pub defender ii	93.50	5,154,432	96.00	5,281,194	106.50	5,754,445	New
computer network spec supr	1.00	15,313	.00	0	.00	0	
social work manager, criminal j	2.00	112,782	2.00	114,022	2.00	114,022	
asst pub defender i	64.00	2,581,514	75.50	3,329,128	95.50	4,178,188	BPW(12);New
administrator ii	.00	7,759	.00	0	.00	0	
computer network spec ii	.00	12,421	.00	0	.00	0	
social worker adv, criminal jus	.00	0	1.00	49,969	1.00	49,969	
computer network spec i	5.00	95,307	1.00	37,255	1.00	37,255	BPW(1)
equal opportunity officer iii	.00	27,277	.00	0	.00	0	
social worker ii, criminal just	.00	0	1.00	37,255	1.00	37,255	
social worker ii, criminal just	.00	0	.00	0	6.00	223,530	New
admin officer iii	1.00	0	1.00	34,908	1.00	34,908	
social worker i, criminal justi	4.00	176,182	4.00	170,439	4.00	170,439	BPW(1)
admin officer ii	2.00	75,068	1.00	44,314	1.00	44,314	
social worker prov, criminal ju	1.00	36,298	.00	0	.00	0	
admin officer i	18.00	693,280	18.00	731,382	18.00	731,382	
computer info services spec i	3.00	91,119	.00	0	.00	0	
admîn spec iii	3.00	37,063	4.00	131,667	4.00	131,667	BPW(1)
pub defender intake supervisor	15.00	519,398	19.00	651,041	19.00	651,041	BPW(1)
admin spec ii	2.00	70,113	2.00	70,806	2.00	70,806	
legal assistant ii	.00	0	2.00	53,916	2.00	53,916	BPW(2)
pub defender invest ii	4.00	122,969	6.00	184,662	10.00	285,806	New

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbol
c80b0002 District Operations							
pub defender invest iii	28.50	969,524		989,749		989,749	
pub defender invest i	3.00	60,307		27,517		27,517	
paralegal ii	11.00	479,756		437,947		437,947	
personnel associate iii	.00	14,727		0		0	
personnel associate ii	.00	34,355		0		0	
fiscal accounts technician i	.00	22,946		0	.00	0	
paralegal i	8.00	204,242		256,081	12.00	357,225	BPW(1);New
personnel associate i	.00	15,522		0	.00	0	
personnel clerk	.00	11,384	.00	0	.00	0	
pub defender intake spec ii	35.00	823,233	41.00	1,092,995	41.00	1,092,995	BPW(3)
pub defender intake spec i	4.00	96,151	5.00	115,201	5.00	115,201	BPW(1)
admîn aide	17.00	623,131	21.00	710,140	21.00	710,140	
office supervisor	1.00	8,232	1.00	33,759	1.00	33,759	
legal secretary	6.00	131,139	6.00	184,544	6.00	184,544	BPW(2)
office secy iii	40.50	1,319,367	44.50	1,374,391	44.50	1,374,391	BPW(1)
office secy ii	12.00	271,746	8.00	224,308	15.00	390,362	New
office services clerk lead	1.00	8,301	1.00	31,992	1.00	31,992	
office secy i	7.00	146,211	5.00	125,460	6.00	147,720	New
office services clerk	5.00	137,646	6.00	164,286	6.00	164,286	
data entry operator ii	.00	5,483	1.00	22,487	1.00	22,487	
fiscal accounts clerk i	.00	15,146	.00	0	.00	0	
obs-office clerk ii	.00	0	1.00	20,894	1.00	20,894	BPW(1)
office clerk ii	42.00	874,642	40.00	903,127	46.50	1,038,938	BPW(3);New
obs-office clerk i	2.00	0	2.00	39,234	2.00	39,234	
office clerk i	1.00	36,470	2.00	44,543	2.00	44,543	BPW(1)
TOTAL c80b0002*	652.00	30,195,541	679.50	32,354,721	749.50	34,969,306	
c80b0003 Appellate and Inmate Ser	rvices						
chf appellate service pub def	1.00	74,057	1.00	87,526	1.00	87,526	
chf inmate services pub def	1.00	81,407		94,628		94,628	
asst pub defender ha supv	2.00	207,860	2.00	156,256	2.00	156,256	
asst pub defender ha lead	.00	53,863		0	.00	0	
asst pub defender supervisor	5.00	343,211	4.00	292,428	4.00	292,428	
asst pub defender iii	17.00	721,494		913,017		926,496	
asst pub defender ii	5.00	260,970	9.00	483,830	9.00	483,830	
asst pub defender i	3.00	354,428		215,617		215,617	
computer network spec ii	.00	8,572		0	.00	0	
computer network spec i	.00	27,826		0	.00	0	
admin officer i	2.00	110,983		81,436	2.00	81,436	
pub defender invest iii	1.00	35,220		35,740	1.00	35,740	
pub defender invest i	.00	20,787		0	.00	0	
paralegal ii	1.00	38,555		38,145	1.00	38 , 145	
parategat i	1.00	24,872		33,493	1.00		
pub defender intake spec ii	.00	19,983		33,493	.00	33,493 0	
pub derender filtake spec II	.00	17,703	.00	O	.00	U	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbol
c80b0003 Appellate and Inmate Ser	vices						
admin aide	1.00	35,047	1.00	35,740	1.00	35,740	
legal secretary	2.00	65,882	2.00	66,986		66,986	
office secy iii	3.00	98,108		99,849		99,849	
office secy ii	2.00	60,851	2.00	62,782		62,782	
office secy i	1.00	17,773	.00	. 0	.00	. 0	
office services clerk	1.00	29,135	1.00	29,988	1.00	29,988	
office clerk ii	.00	18,482		. 0	.00	. 0	
office clerk i	2.00	30,581	2.00	46,716	2.00	46,716	
TOTAL c80b0003*	51.00	2,739,947	52.30	2,774,177	52.50	2,787,656	
c80b0004 Involuntary Institutiona	lization Se	rvices					
chf învolntary inst ser pub def	1.00	87,519	1.00	89,249	1.00	89,249	
asst pub defender hq supv	1.00	76,613	1.00	78,128	1.00	78,128	
asst pub defender iii	1.00	144,911	1.00	68,416	1.00	68,416	
asst pub defender ii	.50	107,235	1.50	80,220	1.50	80,220	
asst pub defender i	2.00	10,752	1.00	44,096	1.00	44,096	
admin officer i	1.00	40,428	1.00	40,718	1.00	40,718	
pub defender intake supervisor	1.00	30,679	1.00	38,145	1.00	38,145	
pub defender invest ii	.00	24,413	.00	0	.00	0	
pub defender invest iii	3.00	80,558	3.00	107,220	3.00	107,220	
pub defender invest i	1.00	6,710	1.00	27,517	1.00	27,517	
paralegal ii	2.00	17,921	2.00	74,169	2.00	74,169	
pub defender intake spec ii	.00	17,313	.00	0	.00	0	
office secy iii	3.00	65,086	4.00	122,261	4.00	122,261	
data entry operator ii	1.00	16,818	.00	0	.00	0	
office clerk ii	.00	592	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c80b0004*	17.50	727,548	17.50	770,139	17.50	770,139	
c80b0005 Capital Defense Division							
chf capital defense division	1.00	85,060	1.00	85,837	1.00	85,837	
chf capital defense division	.00	-887	.00	. 0	.00	0	
asst dist pub defender	1.00	76,383	1.00	78,128	1.00	78,128	
asst pub defender hq supv	.00	61,435	.00	0	.00	. 0	
asst pub defender iii	1.00	. 0	1.00	65,811	1.00	65,811	
admin officer i	1.00	9,929	1.00	40,718	1.00	40,718	
TOTAL c80b0005*	4.00	231,920	4.00	270,494	4.00	270,494	
TOTAL c80b00 **	763.30	35,749,804	806.30		876.50		
TOTAL COODSO	103.30	33,749,604	000.30	38,972,195	0/0.30	41,600,259	
c81c00 Office of the Attorney G							
c81c0001 Legal Counsel and Advice							
attorney general	1.00	100,000	1.00	106,250	1.00	114,584	
dep attorney general	2.00	237,084	2.00	241,953	2.00	241,953	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure		FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos		Symbol
c81c00 Office of the Attorney G							
c81c0001 Legal Counsel and Advice							
senior exec assoc attorney gene		224,473		•		229,092	
div dir ofc atty general	2.00	205,762		210,122		210,122	
asst attorney general viii	4.00	173,106		238,106		238,106	
prgm mgr senior ii	1.00	83,049		84,181		84,181	
asst attorney general vii	1.00	82,602		83,502		83,502	
asst attorney general vi	2.00	134,069		•		156,256	
data base spec manager	1.00	71,816		•		73,939	
dp asst director i	1.00	63,796		64,548		64,548	
administrator iv	1.00	59,830		61,597		61,597	
administrator iii	1.00	55,958		56,555		56,555	
dp programmer analyst ii	1.00	45,052		46,287		46,287	
administrator i	1.00	50,055		50,535		50,535	
admin officer iii	2.00	46,902		47,319		47,319	
admin officer ii	2.00	40,535		•		41,839	
assoc librarian ii admin officer i	1.00	43,955		•		44,314	
computer info services spec i	1.00	41,449		41,504 37,721		41,504	
admin spec iii	.00 1.00	29,095 -337		31,121		37,721 0	
obs-fiscal associate i	1.00	-337 811		0		0	
exec associii	1.00	42,939				46,287	
exec assoc ii	2.00	86,103		90,412		90,412	
exec assoc i	3.00	128,512		130,434		130,434	
management assoc	2.00	79,374		81,451		81,451	
office manager	.00	38,237		39,191		39,191	
admin aide	1.00	35,636		35,740		35,740	
legal secretary	1.00	17,172		33,493		33,493	
fiscal accounts clerk ii	.00	29,686		31,391		31,391	
office services clerk lead	.00	21,553		•		0	
office services clerk lead	.00	18,358		61,098		61,098	
obs-fîscal clerk iii	1.00	-496		0		0.,0,0	
obs-office supervisor i	3.00	52,525		0		0	
office services clerk	.00	17,020	2.00	53,097		53,097	
office clerk ii	2.00	19,724	2.00	46,017		46,017	
TOTAL c81c0001*	45.00	2,375,405	43.00	2,564,231	43.00	2,572,565	
c81c0004 Securities Division							
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	92,991	1.00	94,320	1.00	94,320	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	89,019	1.00	89,249	1.00	89,249	
asst attorney general vii	2.00	161,889	2.00	165,392	2.00	165,392	
asst attorney general vi	3.00	146,876	3.00	229,957	3.00	229,957	
administrator iii	1.00	57,290	1.00	57,658		57,658	
asst attorney general v	1.00	46,024	.00	0	.00	0	
staff atty ii attorney genral	4.00	157,095		153,397		153,397	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbo
81c0004 Securities Division	4 00	10.017	4 00	F7 07F	4 00	F3 07F	
computer network spec ii	1.00	42,943		53,975		53,975	
administrator i	4.00	144,929		149,679		149,679	
admin officer ii	2.00	44,547		44,314		44,314	
fraud investigator law departme		82,017		83,008		83,008	
admin spec iii	4.00	150,321		152,580		152,580	
admin aide	1.00	34,374		35,740		35,740	
legal secretary	4.00	120,878	4.00	132,095		132,095	
OTAL c81c0004*	31.00	1,371,193	27.00			1,441,364	
81c0005 Consumer Protection Divis	sion						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	106,043	1.00	108,140	1.00	108,140	
asst attorney general viii	.00	26,920	1.00	89,249	1.00	89,249	
asst attorney general vii	2.00	141,139	1.00	83,502	1.00	83,502	
asst attorney general vi	6.00	501,987	9.00	642,153	9.00	642,153	
prgm mgr iii	1.00	59,850	1.00	62,598	1.00	62,598	
administrator v	1.00	31,992	1.00	48,405	1.00	48,405	
prgm mgr i	1.00	53,647	1.00	54,851	1.00	54,851	
administrator iii	.00	23,680	1.00	57,658	1.00	57,658	
asst attorney general v	6.00	171,324	3.00	172,364	3.00	172,364	
administrator ii	1.00	93,852	2.00	106,919	2.00	106,919	
computer network spec ii	1.00	47,401	1.00	48,084	1.00	48,084	
administrator i	2.00	59,640	1.00	45,902		45,902	
chf investigator consumer fraud		33,356		. 0	.00	. 0	
admin officer iii	13.00	498,189	13.00	558,421	13.00	558,421	
admin officer i	2.00	11,834	1.00	30,664		30,664	
fraud investigator law departme	5.00	128,036		158,465		158,465	
consmr affairs supervisor	2.00	68,806		77,025		77,025	
admin spec ii	1.00	34,386		35,066		35,066	
visual communications supv	.50	21,203		21,495		21,495	
computer operator ii	.00	15,223		37,423		37,423	
obs-data proc oper tech iv	1.00	20,956	.00	. 0	.00	. 0	
management assoc	2.00	78,450	2.00	77,668	2.00	77,668	
admin aide	1.00	47,650	2.00	71,480	2.00	71,480	
legal secretary	11.00	300,931	10.00	324,833	10.00	324,833	
office secy ii	1.00	30,958	1.00	31,992	1.00	31,992	
OTAL c81c0005*	62.50	2,607,453	60.50	2,944,357	60.50	2,944,357	
81c0006 Antitrust Division							
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	106,543	1.00	108,140	1.00	108,140	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	88,019	1.00	89,249	1.00	89,249	
asst attorney general vii	1.00	81,352	1.00	83,502	1.00	83,502	
asst attorney general vi		166,531					
	2.00	100,001	3.00	239,206	3.00	239,206	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbol
c81c0006 Antitrust Division							
staff atty i attorney general	1.00	8,394	.00	0		0	
admin officer i	1.00	39,056	1.00	39,947	1.00	39,947	
fraud investigator law departme	1.00	37,405	1.00	38,145	1.00	38,145	
management assoc	.00	22,888	1.00	40,718	1.00	40,718	
admin aide	1.00	15,691	.00	0	.00	0	
legal secretary	1.00	6,926	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c81c0006*	11.00	635,965	9.00	638,907	9.00	638,907	
c81c0009 Medicaid Fraud Control U	nit						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	104,239	1.00	106,045	1.00	106,045	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	82,851	1.00	84,181	1.00	84,181	
asst attorney general vii	1.00	64,764				83,502	
asst attorney general vi	1.00	81,098				133,347	
administrator iii	2.00	115,741		117,566	2.00	117,566	
asst attorney general v	1.00	41,022	.00	0	.00	0	
administrator ii	1.00	36,074	2.00	94,793	2.00	94,793	
obs-fiscal administrator i	1.00	35,811	.00	0	.00	0	
administrator í	1.00	45,703		46,792	1.00	46,792	
computer info services spec ii	1.00	47,971		47,319	1.00	47,319	
admin officer ii	3.00	119,557		=		129,672	
admin officer i	.00	0				41,718	
fraud investigator law departme	6.00	121,019	4.00			155,996	
fraud investigator law departme	.00	3,383	1.00	37,423	1.00	37,423	
obs-legal assistant ii	1.00	20,235				0	
admin aide	1.00	34,386		35,066	1.00	35,066	
TOTAL c81c0009*	22.00	953,854	21.00	1,113,420	21.00	1,113,420	
c81c0014 Civil Litigation Divisio	n .						
senior exec assoc attorney gene		103,792	1.00	105,935	1.00	105,935	
principal counsel	1.00	89,611	1.00	91,749		91,749	
asst attorney general viii	2.00	157,056	2.00	178,498	2.00	178,498	
prgm mgr senior ii	1.00	69,554		70,718		70,718	
asst attorney general vii	1.00	82,602		83,502		83,502	
asst attorney general vi	6.00	383,371		501,078		501,078	
administrator iv	1.00	56,958		58,124		58,124	
administrator iii	1.00	52,354		52,353		52,353	
staff atty ii attorney genral	1.00	6,772		0		. 0	
administrator ii	1.00	50,453		50,941		50,941	
staff atty i attorney general	.00	36,626		49,969		49,969	
paralegal ii	1.00	16,863				0	
parategat ii	.00	20,992		37,423		37,423	
management assoc	2.00	85,708		85,530		85,530	
admin aide	1.00	7,944		0		0	
TOTAL c81c0014*	20.00	1,220,656	20.00	1,365,820	20.00	1,365,820	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	ab1
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	ALLOW POS	Allowance	Symbol
c81c0015 Criminal Appeals Divisio	n						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	105,257	1.00	108,140	1.00	108,140	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	85,358	1.00	85,837	1.00	85,837	
asst attorney general vii	2.00	164,766	2.00	167,004	2.00	167,004	
asst attorney general vi	10.00	710,651	10.00	761,525	10.00	761,525	
staff atty i attorney general	1.00	42,195	1.00	49,017	1.00	49,017	
paralegal ii	1.00	17,168	.00	0	.00	0	
paralegal ii	.00	20,887	1.00	38,145	1.00	38,145	
management assoc	2.00	79,134	2.00	82,222	2.00	82,222	
legal secretary	2.00	61,066	2.00	61,764	2.00	61,764	
TOTAL c81c0015*	20.00	1,286,482	20.00	1,353,654	20.00	1,353,654	
c81c0016 Criminal Investigation D	ivision						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	94,814	1.00	96,179	1.00	96,179	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	72,277	2.00	152,269	2.00	152,269	
asst attorney general vii	2.00	107,670	1.00	83,502	1.00	83,502	
asst attorney general vi	2.00	144,398	2.00	147,659	2.00	147,659	
administrator iii	1.00	57,598	1.00	58,783	1.00	58,783	
asst attorney general v	2.00	68,553	2.00	122,019	2.00	122,019	
obs-fiscal administrator ii	1.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
administrator ii	3.00	160,067	3.00	162,977	3.00	162,977	
administrator i	.00	0	2.00	74,510	2.00	74,510	
obs-fiscal specialist iii	2.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
admin officer iii	1.00	46,465	1.00	47,319	1.00	47,319	
fraud investigator law departme	1.00	37,329	1.00	40,718	1.00	40,718	
TOTAL c81c0016*	17.00	789,171	16.00	985,935	16.00	985,935	
c81c0017 Educational Affairs Divi	sion						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	106,400	1.00	108,140	1.00	108,140	
asst attorney general vi	3.00	163,823	3.00	234,384	3.00	234,384	
admin officer i	1.00	37,240	1.00	37,721	1.00	37,721	
management assoc	1.00	37,702	1.00	38,448	1.00	38,448	
admin aide	1.00	35,547	1.00	35,740	1.00	35,740	
legal secretary	2.00	59,074	2.00	64,541	2.00	64,541	
TOTAL c81c0017*	9.00	439,786	9.00	518,974	9.00	518,974	
c81c0018 Correctional Litigation	Division						
asst attorney general vii	1.00	81,852	1.00	83,502	1.00	83,502	
asst attorney general vi	1.00	141,216	2.00	145,012	2.00	145,012	
asst attorney general v	1.00	2,087	.00	0	.00	0	
paralegal ii	.00	22,921	1.00	38,145	1.00	38,145	
asst librarian	1.00	33,593				33,493	
management assoc	1.00	41,178		40,718	1.00	40,718	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbol
c81c0018 Correctional Litigation	Division						
admin aide	1.00	15,123	.00	0	.00	0	
TOTAL c81c0018*	6.00	337,970	6.00	340,870	6.00	340,870	
c81c0020 Contract Litigation Divi	ision						
div dir ofc atty general	1.00	106,543	1.00	108,140	1.00	108,140	
asst attorney general viii	1.00	86,733	1.00	89,249	1.00	89,249	
asst attorney general vi	7.00	488,810	7.00	519,501	7.00	519,501	
asst attorney general v	1.00	31,722	1.00	51,697	1.00	51,697	
admin officer i	1.00	41,199		41,504		41,504	
paralegal ii	2.00	53,175		38,145		38,145	
paralegal ii	.00	19,889		35,345		35,345	
management assoc	1.00	39,532		41,504		41,504	
legal secretary	3.00	94,274		70,274		70,274	
TOTAL c81c0020*	17.00	961,877	16.00	995,359	16.00	995,359	
TOTAL c81c0020" TOTAL c81c00 **	260.50	12,979,812		14,262,891		14,271,225	
c82d0001 General Administration state prosecutor senior asst state prosecutor administrator iii spec agent ii state prosecutor	1.00 2.00 1.00	117,458 123,241 59,143 5,638	2.00 1.00	119,600 131,087 58,783 0	2.00 1.00	119,600 131,087 58,783 0	
administrator ii	2.00	99,366		105,117		105,117	
personnel officer iii	1.00	29,389		50,535		50,535	
paralegal ii	1.00	32,154		33,399		33,399	
admin aide	1.00	34,970		35,740		35,740	
TOTAL c82d0001*	9.00	501,359	9.00	534,261	9.00	534,261	
TOTAL c82d00 **	9.00	501,359		534,261		534,261	
c85e00 Maryland Tax Court							
c85e0001 Administration and Appea	als						
administrator iv	1.00	62,787	1.00	64,029	1.00	64,029	
chf judge tax court	1.00	36,009		36,721		36 , 721	
judge tax court	4.00	122,399		124,820		124,820	
clerk tax court	1.00	79,653		81,228		81,228	
management assoc	1.00	39,555		40,718		40,718	
office secy i	1.00	29,406		29,988		29,988	
TOTAL c85e0001*	9.00	369,809	9.00	377,504	9.00	377,504	
TOTAL c85e00 **	9.00	369,809		377,504		377,504	
	,	307,307	,	3,,,504	7.00	311,304	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
00.00							
c90g00 Public Service Commissio							
c90g0001 General Administration a	-						
chair pub service commission	1.00	112,182		114,400		114,400	
commissioner pub service	4.00	381,827		389,376		389,376	
prgm mgr senior iii	.00	95,916		99,198		99,198	
exec dir public service comm	1.00	19,050		0		0	
exec secy public service comm	1.00	84,103		85,837		85,837	
gen counsel public service	1.00	90,022		91,007		91,007	
prgm mgr iv	3.00	235,299		238,065		238,065	
admin prog mgr iii	1.00	73,580		74,542	1.00	74,542	
dp director i	1.00	74,322	1.00	76,005	1.00	76,005	
dp asst director i	1.00	65,674	1.00	67,100	1.00	67,100	
administrator iv	.00	12,869	1.00	60,416	1.00	60,416	
administrator iv	.00	19,066	1.00	67,864	1.00	67,864	
administrator iii	1.00	47,424	.00	0	.00	0	
administrator iii	1.00	57,848	1.00	58,783	1.00	58,783	
asst gen counsel iii pub ser co	3.00	182,027	4.00	263,322	4.00	263,322	
asst gen counsel ii pub ser com	1.00	28,540	.00	0	.00	0	
fiscal services administrator i	1.00	63,178	1.00	62,801	1.00	62,801	
prgm mgr i	.00	0	.00	0	2.00	90,658	New
regulatory economist iii	1.00	22,199	.00	0	.00	0	
asst gen counsel i pub ser com	.00	0	.00	0	1.00	39,766	New
computer network spec ii	2.00	105,523	2.00	105,888	2.00	105,888	
personnel administrator i	1.00	52,417	1.00	52,944	1.00	52,944	
accountant, advanced	1.00	49,331	1.00	49,572	1.00	49,572	
computer network spec i	.00	37,373	1.00	46,792	1.00	46,792	
dp programmer analyst i	1.00	56,178	2.00	90,058	2.00	90,058	
psc regulatory economist	.00	0	.00	0	2.00	74,510	New
pub utility auditor	.00	0	.00	0	1.00	37,255	New
admin officer iii	1.00	46,652	1.00	47,319	1.00	47,319	
computer network spec trainee	1.00	15,402	.00	0	.00	0	
admin officer îi	3.00	163,398	4.00	175,572	4.00	175,572	
fiscal accts clrk mgr i	.00	0	.00	0	1.00	32,715	New
personnel officer i	.00	43,369	1.00	44,314	1.00	44,314	
admin officer i	2.00	49,237	1.00	41,504	1.00	41,504	
personnel specialist iii	1.00	8,747	.00	. 0	.00	. 0	
admin spec iii	1.00	97,427	3.00	114,448	3.00	114,448	
admin spec ii	3.00	53,813	2.00	64,787	2.00	64,787	
admin spec i	1.00	24,547	1.00	31,048	3.00	81,620	
obs-data proc oper tech iii	1.00	24,794	.00	, 0	.00	0	
fiscal accounts technician i	1.00	26,227	1.00	27,237	1.00	27,237	
management associate	5.00	186,511	6.00	224,454	6.00	224,454	
admin aide	3.00	50,817	2.00	63,386	1.00		Abolish
office secy iii	3.00	85,255	2.00	63,328	2.00	63,328	
office secy ii	1.00	2,549	.00	0	.00	03,320	
TOTAL c90g0001*	54.00	2,844,693	53.00	2,991,367	61.00	3,289,885	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
c90g0002 Telecommunications Divis	sion						
prgm mgr senior î	1.00	-1,586	.00	0	.00	0	
prgm mgr iv	.00	62,528		84,456		84,456	
prgm mgr iii	1.00	76,754		76,005	1.00	76,005	
psc regulatory economist iii	.00	76,884		109,926		109,926	
pub serv engr iii	1.00	47,711		56,555	1.00	56,555	
regulatory economist iii	1.00	60,388		58,783		58,783	
regulatory ecomonist ii	2.00	22,926		0		0	
psc regulatory economist	.00	0		37,255		_	Abolish
regulatory economist i	1.00	47,313		45,902		45,902	ADOLISH
office secy iii	1.00	33,343		33,493		33,493	
office seey iff			7.00	33,473	1.00		
TOTAL c90g0002*	8.00	426,261	9.00	502,375	8.00	465,120	
c90g0003 Engineering Investigation	ons						
chf engineer pub service comm	1.00	75,322	1.00	51,697	1.00	51,697	
asst chf engineer pub ser comm	2.00	127,568		130,564	2.00	130,564	
pub serv engr iii	6.00	327,466	6.00	335,337	6.00	335,337	
pub serv engr ii	1.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
pub serv engr i	.00	37,403	2.00	83,415	2.00	83,415	
office secy iii	1.00	33,343		33,493	1.00	33,493	
TOTAL c90g0003*	11.00	601,102	12.00	634,506	12.00	634,506	
c90g0004 Accounting Investigation	าร						
prgm mgr iv	1.00	83,068	1.00	84,456	1.00	84,456	
administrator iv	1.00	64,587		65,282		65,282	
pub utility auditor senior	2.00	95,558		110,054	2.00	110,054	
pub utility auditor	2.00	85,514		101,070		•	Abolish
office secy iii	1.00	32,538		33,493	1.00	33,493	
TOTAL c90g0004*	7.00	361,265	7.00	394,355	6.00	343,820	
TOTAL CAUSEOUT	7.00	301,203	7.00	374,333	0.00	343,020	
c90g0005 Common Carrier Investiga	ations						
prgm mgr iii	1.00	75,501	1.00	76,005	1.00	76,005	
administrator iii	.00	38,116	2.00	101,785	2.00	101,785	
administrator ii	2.00	51,487	.00	0	.00	0	
admin officer i	1.00	36,716	1.00	37,009	1.00	37,009	
trns supervisor ii	.00	4,243	.00	0	.00	0	
admin spec iii	1.00	38,144	1.00	38,145	1.00	38,145	
admin spec îi	4.00	114,503	4.00	133,504	3.00	106,546	Abolish
admîn spec i	7.00	104,181	7.00	200,959	5.00	150,387	Abolish
common carrier insp iii	7.00	164,056	6.00	196,801	5.00	171,515	Abolish
office secy iii	1.00	24,980	1.00	34,135	1.00	34,135	
office secy ii	2.00	45,120	1.00	23,722	1.00	23,722	
TOTAL c90g0005*	26.00	697,047	24.00	842,065	20.00	739,249	

01	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	ALLOW POS	Allowance	Symbol
c90g0007 Rate Research and Econom	nics						
prgm mgr iv	1.00	72,915	1.00	84,456	1.00	84,456	
prgm mgr i	1.00	40,221		65,282		65,282	
regulatory economist iii	3.00	153,590		177,544		177,544	
psc regulatory economist ii	.00	5,792		49,969		49,969	
regulatory ecomonist ii	2.00	45,958		52,944		52,944	
psc regulatory economist	.00	. 0		. 0		37,255	
regulatory economist i	1.00	35,438		0		, 0	
office secy iii	1.00	29,238		33,493		33,493	
TOTAL c90g0007*	9.00	383,152	8.00	463,688	9.00	500,943	
c90g0008 Hearing Examiner Division	on						
prgm mgr senior iii	.00	70,933	1.00	99,198	1.00	99,198	
prgm mgr senior ii	1.00	20,336		0	.00	0	
prgm mgr iv	1.00	80,242		81,228	1.00	81,228	
hearing exam sr pub ser comm	3.00	183,853		190,651	3.00	190,651	
hearing exam ii pub ser comm	1.00	59,773		60,416		105,745	New
taxicab license hearing officer	1.00	20,473	1.00	25,148		25,148	
management associate	1.00	41,878	1.00	41,504	1.00	41,504	
office secy iii	1.00	27,859	1.00	29,347	1.00	29,347	
TOTAL c90g0008*	9.00	505,347	9.00	527,492	10.00	572,821	
c90g0009 Staff Attorney							
chf staff atty pub ser com	1.00	70,009	1.00	74,542	1.00	74,542	
prgm mgr ii	1.00	66,242	1.00	69,755	1.00	69,755	
staff atty iii pub ser comm	1.50	132,126	2.00	115,372	3.00	160,701	New
staff atty ii pub ser comm	1.50	33,512	1.00	52,353	1.00	52,353	
staff atty i pub ser comm	2.00	105,726	2.00	112,735	2.00	112,735	
office secy iii	2.00	62,062	2.00	65,473	2.00	65,473	
TOTAL c90g0009*	9.00	469,677	9.00	490,230	10.00	535,559	
c90g0010 Integrated Resource Plan	nning Divisi	on					
prgm mgr iii	1.00	72,092	1.00	79,019	1.00	79,019	
prgm mgr í	1.00	0	1.00	45,329	.00	0	Abolish
regulatory economist iii	1.00	54,082	1.00	58,783	1.00	58,783	
regulatory ecomonist ii	1.00	48,195	1.00	51,933	1.00	51,933	
psc regulatory economist	2.00	81,196	2.00	86,702	2.00	86,702	
office secy iii	1.00	31,346	1.00	33,493	1.00	33,493	
TOTAL c90g0010*	7.00	286,911	7.00	355,259	6.00	309,930	
TOTAL c90g00 **	140.00	6,575,455	138.00	7,201,337	142.00	7,391,833	

Classification Title	FY 2002 Pos Count	FY 2002 Expenditure	FY 2003 Pos Count	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2004 Allow Pos	FY 2004 Allowance	Symbol
c91h00 Office of the People's Co c91h0001 General Administration	ounsel						
peoples counsel	1.00	97,193	1.00	99,116	1.00	99,116	
dep peoples counsel	1.00	93,551	1.00	95,401	1.00	95,401	
asst peoples counsel iv	4.00	313,502	4.00	319,700	4.00	319,700	
asst peoples counsel iii	1.00	76,613	1.00	78,128	1.00	78,128	
asst peoples counsel ii	1.00	131,255	2.00	137,940	2.00	137,940	
asst peoples counsel i	1.00	3,368	.00	0	.00	0	
consumer liaison peoples couns	1.00	65,174	1.00	67,100	1.00	67,100	
administrator ii	1.00	53,960	1.00	55,027	1.00	55,027	
administrator i	.00	22,574	1.00	56,738	1.00	56,738	
admin officer ii	2.00	73,760	1.00	40,267	1.00	40,267	
admin spec ii	1.00	35,047	1.00	35,740	1.00	35,740	
management associate	1.00	40,699	1.00	41,504	1.00	41,504	
admin aide	2.00	69,441	2.00	71,480	2.00	71,480	
office secy i	1.00	26,516	1.00	27,291	1.00	27,291	
TOTAL c91h0001*	18.00	1,102,653	18.00	1,125,432	18.00	1,125,432	
TOTAL c91h00 **	18.00	1,102,653	18.00	1,125,432	18.00	1,125,432	
c94i00 Subsequent Injury Fund c94i0001 General Administration	1.00	01 727	1.00	93,541	1.00	93,541	
exec dir subsequest injury fund	1.00	91,727		93,341 86,818		93,341 86,818	
prgm mgr senior i	6.00	85,634 382,377		390,640		390,640	
asst attorney general vi mbr subsequent injury fnd bd	.00	19,855		21,000		21,000	
fiscal services administrator i	.60	33,924		34,595		34,595	
administrator ii	1.00	53,960		55,027		55,027	
admin spec iii	1.00	37,055		38,145		38,145	
admin spec i	1.00	31,327		32,246		32,246	
fiscal accounts technician supv		41,318		41,504		41,504	
fiscal accounts technician i	.00	3,365		27,237		27,237	
admin aide	1.00	34,720		35,740		35,740	
legal secretary	2.00	0		58,779		, 58,779	
fiscal accounts clerk ii	1.00	22,790		. 0		. 0	
office secy i	2.00	85,620		54,855		54,855	
TOTAL c94i0001*	18.60	923,672	17.60	970,127	17.60	970,127	
TOTAL c94i00 **	18.60	923,672		970,127		970,127	
c96j00 Uninsured Employers' Func c96j0001 General Administration	d						
exec dir uninsured employer fun	1.00	91,727	1.00	93,541	1.00	93,541	
prgm mgr senior i	1.00	85,134	1.00	86,818	1.00	86,818	
asst attorney general vi	2.00	153,227	2.00	156,256	2.00	156,256	
mbr uninsured employers fund	.00	3,558	.00	6,000	.00	6,000	

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance	Symbol
c96j000 Uninsured Employers' Fur c96j0001 General Administration	nd						
admin officer ii	1.00	43,047	1.00	44,314	1.00	44,314	
claims investigator iv	3.00	103,506		106,546		106,546	
fiscal accounts technician ii	1.00	32,870		32,500		32,500	
office secy iii	2.00	65,077		66,986	2.00	66,986	
fiscal accounts clerk ii	1.00	24,599		25,545		25,545	
office secy i	1.00	22,691	1.00	23,964	.00	0	Abolish
TOTAL c96j0001*	13.00	625,436	13.00	642,470	12.00	618,506	
TOTAL c96j00 **	13.00	625,436		642,470		618,506	
c98f00 Workers' Compensation Co	nmmiceion						
c98f0001 General Administration	JIIII 1331011						
chair workers comp commission	1.00	111,032	1.00	113,200	1.00	113,200	
commissioner workers comp	9.00	983,993		1,003,500		1,003,500	
dir admin workers comp	1.00	71,458		72,871		72,871	
dp director iii	1.00	77,206		77,246		77,246	
asst attorney general vi	.50	4,335		27,610		28,687	
dp asst director i	2.00	132,986		134,226		134,226	
data base spec supervisor	1.00	62,499		62,801		62,801	
dp programmer analyst superviso		56,958		58,124		58,124	
dp technical support spec super		52,270		52,794		52,794	
dp programmer analyst lead/adva		55,183		56,555		56,555	
fiscal services administrator i		51,393		57,658		57,658	
administrator ii	3.00	160,806		161,946		162,472	
computer network spec ii	1.00	40,501		41,302		41,302	
dp programmer analyst ii	2.00	39,756		81,068		120,834	
hearing reporter supervisor	1.00	53,429		53,975		53,975	
obs-fiscal administrator i	1.00	53,450	1.00	55,027	1.00	55,027	
webmaster ii	1.00	47,449	1.00	49,017	1.00	49,017	
administrator i	2.00	95,712	2.00	98,199	3.00	135,454	New
computer network spec i	1.00	49,555	1.00	50,535	1.00	50,535	
dp programmer analyst i	1.00	48,403	1.00	49,572	1.00	49,572	
hearing reporter lead	1.00	49,555	1.00	50,535	1.00	50,535	
personnel officer iii	1.00	42,549	1.00	50,535	1.00	50,535	
accountant ii	1.00	0	1.00	34,908	1.00	34,908	
hearing reporter îi	11.00	505,826	11.00	510,906	11.00	510,906	
asst to the comm ii workers com	n 8.00	366,419	9.00	399,839	9.00	399,839	
personnel officer i	1.00	31,407	1.00	32,715	.00	0	Abolish
admin officer i	3.00	121,797	3.00	122,940	6.00	214,932	New
workers comp rehab spec	2.00	0	2.00	61,328	.00	0	Abolish
admin spec iii	4.00	148,406	4.00	148,569	4.00	148,569	
admin spec ii	3.00	101,086	3.00	101,633	3.00	101,633	
computer operator lead	1.00	39,928	1.00	40,718	1.00	40,718	
computer operator ii	8.00	202,638	8.00	253,340	7.00	224,591	Abolish

	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	
Classification Title	Pos Count	Expenditure	Pos Count	Appropriation	Allow Pos	Allowance S	ymbol
c98f00 Workers' Compensation Co	mmission						
c98f0001 General Administration							
dp programmer trainee	2.00	56,512	2.00	58,694	2.00	58,694	
services supervisor i	1.00	33,723	1.00	34,135	1.00	34,135	
obs-data proc oper tech ii, gen	10.00	227,741	10.00	267,930	10.00	267,930	
agency procurement specialist l	1.00	41,660	1.00	41,736	1.00	41,736	
asst to the comm lead workers c	1.00	47,019	.00	0	.00	0	
obs-fiscal accounts supervisor	1.00	36,932	1.00	38,880	1.00	38,880	
admin aide	2.00	62,718	2.00	63,722	2.00	63,722	
claims reviewer supervisor	1.00	32,058	1.00	35,740	1.00	35,740	
office supervisor	2.00	62,311	2.00	71,480	2.00	71,480	
asst to the comm trnee workers	2.00	68,977	2.00	69,632	2.00	69,632	
data entry operator supr	2.00	62,549	2.00	62,096	2.00	62,096	
office secy iii	4.00	102,500	4.00	131,586	4.00	131,586	
office secy iii	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
claîms reviewer îi	7.00	219,707	7.00	216,907	7.00	216,907	
fiscal accounts clerk ii	1.00	29,903	1.00	31,992	1.00	31,992	
obs-office supervisor ii	1.00	30,497	1.00	31,391	1.00	31,391	
office secy ii	1.00	28,070	1.00	31,391	1.00	31,391	
services specialist	1.00	30,100	1.00	31,992	1.00	32,298	
office services clerk	14.50	368,240	15.00	418,727	14.00	389,440 A	bolish
obs-office clerk ii	.50	0	.00	0	.00	0	
supply officer ii	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	
office clerk i	1.00	20,890	1.00	21,895	.00	0 A	bolish
TOTAL c98f0001*	132.50	5,420,092	132.50	5,825,118	131.50	5,822,066	
TOTAL c98f00 **	132.50	5,420,092		5,825,118		5,822,066	